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## Why rare leptonic decays?

Meson decays are the simpler, the fewer hadrons there are in the final state. Here "simple" refers to theory, particularly QCD

| decay type | strong dynamics | \# observables |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leptonic | decay constant |  |
| $B \rightarrow \mathrm{lv},\left.\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{l}^{+}\right\|^{-}$ | $\langle 0\| j^{\mu}\|B\rangle \propto f_{B}$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)$ |
| semileptonic, radiative $B \rightarrow K^{*} I v, K^{*} Y$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { form factors } \\ \langle\pi\| j^{\mu}\|B\rangle \propto f^{B \pi}\left(q^{2}\right) \end{gathered}$ | O(10) |
| Nonleptonic 2-body $B \rightarrow \pi m, \pi K, \rho \rho, \ldots$ | full matrix element $\langle\pi m\| Q_{i}\|B\rangle$ | O(100) |

Decay constants are accessible by first principle methods (lattice QCD). Price to pay: small branching fractions, few observables

## Leptonic decay, NP and LHC


$\propto \frac{m_{\mu}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { loop and helicity } \\ & \text { suppressed in SM }\end{aligned}$

$$
\mathcal{B}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=(3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-9}
$$

Buras et al 2010


$$
\propto \frac{m_{b}^{2} m_{\mu}^{2}}{M_{W}^{4}} \tan ^{6} \beta
$$

Yukawa suppressed in SM
in 2HDM (or MSSM) Yukawas can be very large
Loop suppression and possible removal of helicity/Yukawa suppression imply strong sensitivity to new physics


## Standard Model

- Mediated by short-distance Z penguin and box - long distance strongly CKM / GIM suppressed

- including QCD corrections, matches onto single relevant effective operator $\mathcal{H}_{\text {eff }}=\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha}{\pi \sin ^{2} \theta_{W}} V_{t b}^{*} V_{t q} Y Q_{A}$
$Y\left(\bar{m}_{t}\left(m_{t}\right)\right)=0.9636\left[\frac{80.4 \mathrm{GeV}}{M_{W}} \frac{\bar{m}_{t}}{164 \mathrm{GeV}}\right]^{1.52}$

(approximates NLO to $<10^{-4}$ ) $\begin{aligned} & \text { IBuchalla\&Buras } 93, \\ & \text { Misiak\&Urban } 99 \text {; }\end{aligned}$
$Q_{A}=\bar{b}_{L} \gamma^{\mu} q_{L} \bar{\ell} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \ell$
Artuso et al 0801.1833]
higher orders negligible
- branching fraction
$B\left(B_{s} \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}\right)=\tau\left(B_{s} \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{\pi}\left(\frac{\alpha}{4 \pi \sin ^{2} \Theta_{W}}\right)^{2} F_{B_{s}}^{2} m_{l}^{2} m_{B_{s}} \sqrt{1-4 \frac{m_{l}^{2}}{m_{B_{s}}^{2}}}\left|V_{t b}^{*} V_{t s}\right|^{2} Y^{2}\right.$
main uncertainties: decay constant, CKM
for $D$ or $K$ decays long-distance contributions are important


## st?nO? NOMO?

- $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Bs}}=(238.8 \pm 9.5) \mathrm{MeV}$
lattice QCD average

- error can be reduced by normalizing to $B_{s}-\bar{B}_{s}$ mixing

$$
B\left(B_{q} \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)=C \frac{\tau_{B_{q}}}{\hat{B}_{q}} \frac{Y^{2}\left(\bar{m}_{t}^{2} / M_{W}^{2}\right)}{S\left(\bar{m}_{t}^{2} / M_{W}^{2}\right)} \Delta M_{q}
$$

where S is the $\Delta \mathrm{F}=2$ box function and C a numerical const and in the bag factor $\hat{B}_{B_{s}}=1.33 \pm 0.06$, some systematic uncertainties cancel. Then

$$
\mathcal{B}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)=(3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-9}
$$

- Very precise test of SM from hadronic observables at LHC!
- same trick for $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}, \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}, \mathrm{e}^{+} \mu^{-}$, etc
- not for $\mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$or $\mathrm{K} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$as mixing is not calculable


## Long distance

- For $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$long distance effects are CKM suppressed
- for $D \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$(or $K \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$), short-distance itself GIM suppressed, so LD relevant and in this case dominant


Burdman et al 2001

$$
\mathcal{B} r_{D^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}}^{(\gamma \gamma)} \simeq 2.7 \times 10^{-5} \mathcal{B} r_{D^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma} \sim 10^{-13}
$$

- "background" effects such as undetected soft photons are not included in uncertainties quoted before and are traditionally left to experimentalists...


## Experiment

- present upper bounds

|  | CDF | D0 | SM theory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | $4.310^{-8} 95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ | $5.210^{-8} 95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ | $(3.2 \pm 0.2) 10^{-9}$ |
| $\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | $7.610^{-9} 95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ |  | $(1.0 \pm 0.1) 10^{-10}$ |
| $D \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ | $3.010^{-7} 95 \% \mathrm{CL}$ |  | $\sim 10^{-13}$ |

CDF public note 9892 D0 arXiv:1006.3469 D0 arXiv:1008.5077
Kreps arXiv:1008.0247 Buras et al arXiv:1007.1993

- early LHCb prospects

Burdman et al 2001



## Beyond the SM

- New physics can modify the Z penguin ....
... induce a Higgs penguin ...

... or induce (or comprise) four-fermion contact interactions directly
- most general effective hamiltonian


$$
\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha}{\pi \sin ^{2} \theta_{W}} V_{t b}^{*} V_{t q}\left[C_{S} Q_{S}+C_{P} Q_{P}+C_{A} Q_{A}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad B\left(B_{q} \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-}\right)= \\
& \frac{G_{F}^{2} \alpha^{2}}{64 \pi^{3} \sin ^{4} \theta_{W}}\left|V_{t b}^{*} V_{t q}\right|^{2} \tau_{B_{q}} M_{B_{q}}^{3} f_{B_{q}}^{2} \sqrt{1-\frac{4 m_{\ell}^{2}}{M_{B_{q}}^{2}}} \\
& \text { could violate } \\
& \text { lepton flavour! }
\end{aligned}
$$

## MSSM - large $\tan \beta$

In SM, higgs couplings flavour diagonal (proportional mass matrix)

$$
M_{i j}^{d}=v Y_{i j}^{d}
$$

## MSSM - large tan $\beta$

In SM, higgs couplings flavour diagonal (proportional mass matrix)

$$
M_{i j}^{d}=v_{d} Y_{i j}^{d}+v_{u} \Delta_{i j}
$$

In MSSM, 3 neutral higgses, 2 vevs $v_{u}, v_{d}$


## MSSM - large tan $\beta$

In SM, higgs couplings flavour diagonal (proportional mass matrix)
parametrically

$$
M_{i j}^{d}=v_{d} Y_{i j}^{d}+v_{u} \Delta_{i j}
$$



In MSSM, 3 neutral higgses, 2 vevs $v_{u}, v_{d}$ $\tan \beta=v_{u} / v_{d}$

## MSSM - large tan $\beta$

In SM, higgs couplings flavour diagonal In SM, higgs couplings flavo
(proportional mass matrix) In MSSM, 3 neutral higgses, 2 vevs $v_{u}, v_{d}$

parametrically large if $v_{u} \gg v_{d}$

## MSSM - large tan $\beta$



## MSSM - large tan $\beta$ - MFV

- huge rates possible, even for minimal flavour violation
- correlation (for MFV) [Buras etal 2002] with $\Delta M_{B_{s}}$ [Gorbahn, SJ, Nierste, Tine 2009] bound on $B R\left(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$in these models implies closeness of $\Delta M_{B_{s}}$ to SM. In turn, $\Delta M_{B_{s}}$ at present does not constrain $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$
- beyond MFV, no correlations !
 not necessarily suppression of $B_{d} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ with respect to $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu$


## MSSM - small tan $\beta$

- Z penguin contributions now relatively more important and interference effects possible

complete 1-loop calculation in general MSSM
[Dedes, Rosiek, Tanedo 2008] implemented in public computer program "SUSY_FLAVOR"
[Rosiek, Chankowski, Dedes, SJ, Tanedo 2010]

(in this plot the $Z$ penguin does not receive large contributions, in general it can)


## Randall-Sundrum

- Warped extra-dimensional models "explain" SM flavour structure by localizing the SM degrees of freedom differently in the extra
 dimension. Higher Kaluza-Klein states of the gauge bosons have tree-level FCNC couplings to the SM particles


without / with custodial protection higgs on IR brane


## Little(st) Higgs (with T parity)

- Higgs is pseudo-Goldstone boson. Implies new particles with non-MFV couplings

- enter at 1 loop through $Z$ penguin, finite calculable contribution
[Goto et al 0809.4753]
[de Aguila et al 0811.2891]
- effect less pronounced than in MSSM or RS but should be distinguishable from Standard Model
- no observable effects in $D \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$

[Blanke et al 0906.5454]
[Paul et al 1008.3141]


## Fourth generation

- (in simplest form:) one extra family of fermions with SM quantum numbers same diagrams as in SM
 extra masses and "CKM" elements provide rich non-minimal source of flavour violation

[Buras et al arXiv:1004.4565]


## $D \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$

- Generically, this receives contributions from a $Z$ penguin (negligible in SM due to GIM) which might not be small; Z' etc would
 also contribute
- Generic discussion and correlation with D mixing in [Golowich et al 0903.2830] $B R\left(D \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$of up to $10^{-9}$ in some scenarios
- However, analysis in LHT model shows unobservably small effects, reason are constraints in B and K physics (for any values of NP masses and mixings)
[Paul et al 1008.3141]
The authors ask whether this might be generically so.
(why) is e.g. this diagram
(not) accompanied by a contribution to neutral Kaon mixing ?

- I think depending on experimental prospects this deserves further study


## Conclusions

- Rare leptonic decays are theoretically clean
- They can be new physics dominated
- and $\operatorname{LHCb}$ can measure $\operatorname{BR}\left(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$down to the $S M$ value (and below)
- Without a theory of flavour, we cannot predict hierarchies between $B R\left(B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$and $B R\left(B_{d} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)$, or even between lepton-flavour-conserving and violating modes
- I encourage experimenters to look beyond $B_{s} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$where feasible ( $\mu^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}, \mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-}$? $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ !). (If encouragement is needed.)
- if $D^{0} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$were observed in an experiment, it would be an unambiguous new physics discovery and/or measurement

