A new model for Minimum Bias and the Underlying Event in Sherpa

Korinna Zapp (with F. Krauss, M. Ryskin, V. Khoze, A. Martin, H. Hoeth)

> Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology Durham University

Workshop on Hadron-Hadron & Cosmic-Ray Interactions at multi-TeV Energies, Trento 30.12.2010



Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results Conclusions &

Outline

Introduction

Khoze-Martin-Ryskin Model

Monte Carlo Realisation

First Results

Conclusions & Outlook

Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results Conclusions &

Introduction: Why Minimum Bias Events? The bird's eye view

- name suggests: most complete view of physics (at the LHC or any other experiment)
- as such: intellectual challenge up to now no complete model including all facets elastic scattering, diffractive events & hard jets on the same footing
- intimate connection to underlying event
- first day physics at the LHC

For example

- Higgs search strategies at LHC largely rely on event topologies with rapidity gaps (VBF process, diffractive Higgs, ...)
- ▶ essential for S/B: rapidity gap and its survival probaility

Minimum Bias in Sherpa

Korinna Zapp

Introduction

KMR Model

MC Realisation

First Results

Introduction: Why Minimum Bias Events?

Upshot

- gap survival depends on: extra jets & underlying event
- only former calculable from first principles (PT)
- underlying event completely model dependent
- general problem: access to implementable models that (analytically) predict rapidity gap survival probability
- need a straw-man to test and validate ideas:
 central diffractive production processes
- therefore important to have model embedding hard and semi-hard QCD, diffraction, elastic scattering
- so far, no such a model has never been directly implemented in a standard MC like PYTHIA, HERWIG etc. - only specific codes available (e.g. ExHume)

Minimum Bias in Sherpa

Korinna Zapp

Introduction

KMR Model

MC Realisation

First Results

s-Channel Unitarity and Cross Sections

 optical theorem relates total cross section σ_{tot} to elastic forward scattering amplitude A(s, t) through

$$\sigma_{ ext{tot}}(s) = rac{1}{s} \operatorname{\mathsf{Im}}[\mathcal{A}(s,t=0)$$

▶ rewrite A(s, t) as A(s, b) in impact parameter space

$$\mathcal{A}(s,t=-\mathbf{q}_{\perp}^2)=2s\int\!\mathrm{d}\mathbf{b}\,e^{i\mathbf{q}_{\perp}\cdot\mathbf{b}}\mathcal{A}(s,b)$$

cross sections

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\rm tot}(s) &= 2 \int d\mathbf{b} \, {\rm Im}[A(s, b)] \\ \sigma_{\rm el}(s) &= 2 \int d\mathbf{b} \, |A(s, b)|^2 \\ \sigma_{\rm inel}(s) &= \sigma_{\rm tot}(s) - \sigma_{\rm el}(s) \end{aligned}$$

▶ N.B.: real part of A(s, b) vanishes

Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp

KMR Model MC Realisation First Results

Single-Channel Eikonal Model

 in eikonal model elasic amplitude given by sum of all Regge exchange diagrams:

$$A(s,b) = i\left(1 - e^{-\Omega(s,b)/2}\right)$$

- $\Omega(s, b)$ is called eikonal or opacity
- ► eikonal is Fourier transform of two-particle irreducible amplitude a(s, q⊥)

$$\Omega(s,b) = rac{-i}{4\pi^2}\int\!\mathrm{d}\mathbf{q}_\perp\,e^{i\mathbf{q}_\perp\cdot\mathbf{b}_\perp}a(s,q_\perp)$$

pictorially:



Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results Conclusions &

Single-Channel Eikonal Model

cross sections in eikonal model

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\text{tot}}(s) &= 2 \int \! \mathrm{d} \mathbf{b} \, \left(1 - e^{-\Omega(s,b)/2} \right) \\ \sigma_{\text{el}}(s) &= 2 \int \! \mathrm{d} \mathbf{b} \, \left(1 - e^{-\Omega(s,b)/2} \right)^2 \\ \sigma_{\text{inel}}(s) &= \int \! \mathrm{d} \mathbf{b} \, \left(1 - e^{-\Omega(s,b)} \right) \end{split}$$

Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results Conclusions & Outlook

Motivation

- impossible to describe "diffractive excitation" (like e.g. $p \rightarrow N(1440)$) with one eikonal only: such processes are a consequence of the internal structure of the colliding hadrons
- for description employ high-energy limit: in this limit the Fock states of the hadrons "frozen",

(lifetime of fluctuations $\tau = E/m^2$ large)

and each component can interact separately, destroying coherence of the colliding hadrons

Minimum Bias in Sherpa

Korinna Zapp

Introduction

KMR Model

MC Realisation

First Results

Good-Walker states

introduce Good-Walker states (diffractive eigenstates):

 $|p
angle = \sum\limits_i a_i |\phi_i
angle$, where $\langle \phi_i |\phi_k
angle = \delta_{ik}$ and $\sum\limits_i |a_i|^2 = 1$

► these states diagonalise the *T*-matrix:

$$\langle \phi_i | \mathrm{Im} \mathcal{T} | \phi_k \rangle = T_k^D \delta_{ik}$$

therefore only "elastic scattering" of these states

N.B.: use two states (more later),

 $|p, N^*\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|\phi_1\rangle \pm |\phi_2\rangle],$

related to two different form factors,

$$\mathcal{F}_{1,2}(q_{\perp}) = eta_0^2(1\pm\kappa)rac{\exp\left[-rac{(1\pm\kappa)\xi q_{\perp}^2}{\Lambda^2}
ight]}{\left[1+rac{(1\pm\kappa)q_{\perp}^2}{\Lambda^2}
ight]^2}$$

Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results Conclusions &

Cross sections with Good-Walker states

► decompose incoming state $|j\rangle = a_{jk}|\phi_k\rangle$ and write $\langle j|\mathrm{Im}\mathcal{T}|j\rangle = \sum_k |a_{jk}|^2 T_k \equiv \langle T\rangle$

allows to write cross sections as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{tot}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{b}} = 2\mathrm{Im}\langle j|\mathcal{T}|j\rangle = 2\langle T\rangle$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{el}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{b}} = |\langle j|\mathcal{T}|j\rangle|^2 = \langle T\rangle^2$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{el+SD}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{b}} = |\langle \phi_k|\mathcal{T}|j\rangle|^2 = \sum_k |a_{jk}|^2 T_k^2 = \langle T^2\rangle$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{SD}}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{b}} = \langle T^2\rangle - \langle T\rangle^2$$

 single diffraction given by statistical dispersion of absorption probabilities of diffractive eigenstates Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results Conclusions & Outlook

Khoze-Martin-Ryskin Model

Bare Pomeron Contribution

 evolution equation for elastic bare Pomeron exchange amplitude

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_k(y)}{\mathrm{d}y} = \Delta\Omega_k(y)$$

where $\Delta = \alpha_{\mathbb{P}}(0) - 1$

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & \\ & & & \\$$

 can be interpreted as evolution of parton density of "hadron" k with Δ being probability for emitting an additional gluon per unit rapidity Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results

Khoze-Martin-Ryskin Model

Rescattering

- ▶ high density & strong coupling regime → rescattering important (⇐⇒ large triple pomeron vertex)
- sum over rescattering/absorption diagrams on k and i

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_k(y)}{\mathrm{d}y} = \Delta\Omega_k(y)e^{-\lambda[\Omega_k(y)+\Omega_i(y)]/2}$$

with
$$\lambda = g_{3\mathbb{P}}/g_{\mathbb{P}N}$$

 multi-pomeron diagrams give rise to high mass dissociation Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp

KMR Model

First Results

Khoze-Martin-Ryskin Model

Boundary Condition

boundary condition for parton densities: hadron form factor

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Omega_i({\bf b}_1,{\bf b}_2,-Y/2) &=& F_i({\bf b}_1^2) \\ \Omega_k({\bf b}_1,{\bf b}_2,Y/2) &=& F_k({\bf b}_2^2) \end{array}$$

Eikonal

eikonal given by overlap of parton densities

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{ik}(\mathbf{b}) &= \\ \frac{1}{2\beta_0^2} \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{b}_1 \mathrm{d}\mathbf{b}_2 \,\delta^2(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}_1 - \mathbf{b}_2) \Omega_i(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, y) \Omega_k(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, y) \end{split}$$

Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results

Selecting the Modes

select elastic vs. inelastic processes according to

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{pp} = 2 \int d\mathbf{b} \sum_{i,k=1}^{5} \left\{ |a_i|^2 |a_k|^2 \left[1 - e^{-\Omega_{ik}(b)/2} \right] \right\}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{pp} = \int d\mathbf{b} \sum_{i,k=1}^{3} \left\{ |a_i|^2 |a_k|^2 \left[1 - e^{-\Omega_{ik}(b)} \right] \right\}$$

$$\sigma_{\mathsf{el}}^{pp} = \int \mathsf{d}\mathbf{b} \left\{ \sum_{i,k=1}^{S} \left[|a_i|^2 |a_k|^2 \left(1 - e^{-\Omega_{ik}(b)/2} \right) \right] \right\}^2$$

Selecting Gross Features of Scattering Mode

▶ if elastic is chosen, fix *t* according to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathsf{el}}}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left\{ \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{b} \, e^{i\mathbf{q}_{\perp}\cdot\mathbf{b}} \right.$$

$$\sum_{i,k} \left[|a_i|^2 \, |a_k|^2 \left(1 - e^{-\Omega_{ik}(b)/2}\right) \right] \right\}^2$$

 if inelastic is chosen, fix {*ik*} according to partial contribution and **b** according to integrand,

$$\mathcal{P}_{ik}(b) = \pi b \left(1 - e^{-\Omega_{ik}(b)}\right)$$

Inelastic Scattering: Generating Ladders

- assume no correlations between ladders
- select (naive) number of (primary) ladders to be exchanged according to Poissonian:

$$\mathcal{P}_{n=N_{\text{naive}}-1} = \frac{[\Omega_{ik}(b)]^n}{n!} \exp[-\Omega_{ik}(b)]$$

• for each ladder, fix $\mathbf{b}_{1,2}$ with $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{b}_2$:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Omega_{ik}(b)}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{b}_1} = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_i(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2)\Omega_k(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2)$$

Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results Conclusions & Outlook

Inelastic Scattering: Generating Ladders

 for each ladder pick incoming particles: primary (beam particles) or secondary (rescattering)

•
$$\hat{\sigma}_{\mathsf{prim}} = \frac{x_1 f_1(x_1, 0) x_2 f_2(x_2, 0)}{2\hat{s}} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{\hat{s}_{\min}}\right)^{1+\eta}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{sec} = \frac{1}{2\hat{s}} \left(\frac{\hat{s}}{\hat{s}_{\min}} \right)^{1+\eta}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{sec} = A \exp \left[-\frac{\lambda}{2} \left(Q_{sec} (h_{sec} h_{sec} 0) + Q_{sec} (h_{sec} h_{sec} 0) \right) \right]$$

- \hat{s}_{\min} calculated for each eikonal separately
- need infra-red continued pdf's

 after each ladder, check momentum of incoming hadrons

if E_1 or E_2 exhausted terminate exchanging ladders therefore $N_{\text{ladders}} \leq N_{\text{naive}}$

Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp

KMR Model

MC Realisation

First Results

Inelastic Scattering: Generating Emissions

- assume emissions to be ordered in rapidity
- generate emissions with Sudakov form factor

$$S(y_0, y_1) = \exp\left\{-\int_{y_0}^{y_1} \mathrm{d}y \int \mathrm{d}k_{\perp}^2 \frac{\alpha_s(k_{\perp}^2 + K_0^2)}{(k_{\perp}^2 + K_0^2)} \right.$$
$$\times \left[\frac{K_0^2}{q^2 + K_0^2}\right]^{\frac{3\alpha_s(q^2 + K_0^2)}{\pi}|y - y_0|}$$
$$\times \left.\exp\left[-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(\Omega_{i(k)}(y) + \Omega_{(i)k}(y)\right)\right]\right]$$

Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results Conclusions & Outlook

 $\Rightarrow\,$ Regge dynamics generates dynamical Pomeron intercept with $\langle\Delta\rangle=0.1\dots0.2$

Inelastic Scattering: Generating Emissions

for each emission

- generate gluon's rapidity from Sudakov form factor
- construct kinematics
- for each t-channel propagator select colour

(assume only colour singlet and octet exchange)

$$\mathcal{P}_1 \propto \left\{1 - \exp\left[-rac{1}{2}\left(rac{\Omega_i(y_{i+1})}{\Omega_i(y_i)} - 1
ight)
ight]
ight\}^2$$

after having filled the entire ladder

find hardest octet exchange and correct with ME to account for correct form of parton-parton scatter Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp

KMR Model

MC Realisation

First Results

Total Cross Section



Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp ntroduction

MC Realisation

First Results

Elastic Cross Section



Minimum Bias in Sherpa

Korinna Zapp

ntroduction

KMR Model

MC Realisation

First Results



Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation

First Results



Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation

First Results



Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp ntroduction

MR Model

MC Realisation

First Results



Minimum Bias in Sherpa

Korinna Zapp

ntroduction

KMR Model

MC Realisation

First Results

Conclusions

- understanding of minimum bias physics an essential part of first years of running at the LHC
- potentially important signals depend on our understanding of minimum bias
- various models on the market, most sophisticated ones so far basing on eikonal picture
- convincing model for inclusive properties by KMR
- however, up to now no model available that describes all aspects of minimal bias (total xsec, elastic scattering, diffraction, jet production) in one unified framework and is capable of modelling exclusive final states
- started implementing a model based on KMR

Minimum Bias in Sherpa

Korinna Zapp

ntroduction

KMR Model

MC Realisation

First Results

Outlook

- Next steps (short timescale):
 - validate the physics/tune the parameters
 - single and double low-mass diffraction (implemented but requires validation)
 - formulate as underlying event model (easy but has to be implemented)
 - formulate as model for dynamic generation of intrinsic k_{\perp}
 - ▶ publish the module as part of SHERPA 1.3.
- Near future:
 - include secondary Reggeon (quarks!)
 - allow for open and closed heavy flavour production
 - include k_{\perp} dependence into differential equations

Minimum Bias in Sherpa

Korinna Zapp

ntroduction

KMR Model

MC Realisation

First Results

Minimum Bias in Sherpa

Korinna Zapp

ntroduction

MR Model

MC Realisation

First Results

Comments

- ▶ if all components of *j*, i.e. all eigenstates φ_k, experienced same absorption:
 - \longrightarrow dispersion vanishes
 - \longrightarrow diffractive production cross section vanishes
- this happens in black disc limit ($T_k = 1$), at small b
- consequence: already at Tevatron energies diffractive production processes due to large b
- this region responsible for small t components
- also: there eikonal (equivalent to optical density, opacity) is small
 - \longrightarrow large rapidity gap survival probability

(due to small density, only few scatters)

Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp ntroduction

KMR Model

MC Realisation

First Results

Aside: continued pdf's

- \blacktriangleright sea (anti)quarks: scale down to vanish as $Q^2
 ightarrow 0$
- \blacktriangleright valence quarks: transform to pure valence contribution as $Q^2 \rightarrow 0$
- ▶ same shape as valence quarks as $Q^2 \rightarrow 0$, scale to satisfy momentum sum rule



Minimum Bias in Sherpa Korinna Zapp Introduction KMR Model MC Realisation First Results Conclusions &

Outlook