











PS2 main parameters

Parameter	unit	PS2	PS
Injection energy kinetic	GeV	4.0	1.4
Extraction energy kinetic	GeV	20 - 50	13 - 25
Circumference	m	1346	628
Max. bunch intensity LHC (25ns)	ppb	4.0 x 10 <sup>11</sup>	1.7 x 10 <sup>11</sup>
Max. pulse intensity LHC (25ns)	ppp	6.7 x 10 <sup>13</sup>	1.2 x 10 <sup>13</sup>
Max. pulse intensity FT	ррр	1.0 x 10 <sup>14</sup>	3.3 x 10 <sup>13</sup>
Linear ramp rate	T/s	1.5	2.2
Repetition time (50 GeV)	S	~ 2.4	1.2/2.4
Max. stored energy	kJ	800	70
Max. effective beam power	kW	350	60

In comparison with PS: line density  $\times$  2, circumference  $\times$  2, energy  $\times$  2

Chamonix Summary, 5th Feb 2010



1			à	Ŧ	19		KN.	11	13	100		A.	2	2								E		100		1	Ĩ								
C	P:	5	2	/l	F	)_	. 5	51	P	L	1	D	2	ıf	t	1	ti	m	Ie	li	in	e			S							T	9		145972
٢	$\mathcal{M}$	123	1	<u>(</u> ()	. TE	24	1		27	y	Ţ	Л	EL.	3	<u>v</u>	171	1	10	10		1		Ċ	4				Level.	3		1	2	J		2
																										DUCC									
		:	2009	,	2	010		2	011		2	2012		20	13		20	014		20	15		2016	5	1	2017	,		2018	3	2	019	T	20	20
		1	2 3	4	1 2	3	4	1 2	2 3	4	1	2 3	4	1 2	3	4 1	. 2	3	4 1	2	3 4	1	2 3	4	1	2 3	5 4	1	2 3	4	1 2	3	4 1	2	3 4
1	All SPL and PS2 Parameters defined		*	_					-																	+	1		+		_		+	$\downarrow$	_
2	Integration layout (sufficient staff number)		+						1			1				Ť							×	K					$\perp$		_				
3	Definition of main parameters for all tunnels and building	gs			*																X	N	V						7						
4	Call for tender for CE Consultancy				-													1	1				N	L											
5	CE preliminary study and geological investigations													5	1	1			X		K					X		N							
6	Design CE totaly frozen								7					1									1	X			X		V						
7	Environmental impact study				m	фиц	m		m	i	+	N			$\backslash$			È	1-2	ye	ars	pre	epa	ra	tio	n fo	or c	or	str	uct	ion	ne	ed	ed	
8	Preparation of tender drawings and cost estimation						N								V	T	N		bef	or	eap	pr	ova	l t	o n	nai	inta	ain	the	e ti	me	line	2		
9	Cost Estimate / Project Proposal	1	7	Í		1		N		1	*	1	$\bigstar$	Pre	ojec	ts a	app	rov	ed	A			X												
10	Call for tender for CE works	X							X		V	1	•	1		V		1	7		V			1					N	$\geq$					
11	Civil Engineering works - underground		V			N				-				4	-																				
12	Civil Engineering works - surface				1		1			N		5	1		X											-									
13	CV, EL, Handling & lifting, access syste, safety systems			N		X	1				V			1		1	1				ľ			-	-	-									
14	Delivery of the infrastructure and equipment										N				N		P											7	r						
15	SPL and PS2 machine installation				X		L	1				N	/	X											,	mm						-			
16	SPL and PS2 commisionning		E	ar	lie	est	1	)e	liv	/er	۳y	of	FF	s	2/1	P	-5	SPL	- i	n	20	20	)							H	÷		+	•	
15	Installation TL PS2 - TT10 and SPS 50 MeV inejction system	m	I	f١	we	: d	lor	1't	• •	on	nn	nit	r	250	u	°C e	es	f	or	tł	۱e												+	•	
16	SPS and TT10 commisssioning with PS2		P	ore	pa	ira	ti	on	10	of	tł	ne.	co	ns	tr	uc	tic	on	b	ef	ore	2					Π							-	
17	Start operation for physics		Q	<b>i</b> pp	pro	va	1.	th	IS	w	ou	lid	S	ıp	to	) 0	irc	JUI	۱d	2	02	2.												7	r
	Nota: The planning of EL.CV.CSE and works needs to be approved by the different TS corresponding Groups																																		
	Chamonix Summary, 5th Feb 2010 9																																		









CERN		
NA	Impact on the PS	Ser.
Ind rat Ho and and Th the	reasing the LHC bunch intensity in the PS to ~2.7×10 <sup>+11</sup> t of new issues to the machine Electron cloud instabilities at extraction Longitudinal coupled bunch instabilities wever these already manifest themselves at the present I are not 'hard' limits as potential solutions exist and must implemented. e increased intensities available from the PSB could bring shielding of the PS tunnel This should not be an issue for the 'clean' LHC beam But might limit the use of the possibilities for higher int other users <b>To be studied in detail</b>	<sup>1</sup> will bring a intensities st be studied g issues with tensities for
	ChamonixSummary, 5th Feb 2010	14









CERN		Conclusions I	
The pre	e session looked at the possib sent limitations and upgrade	le upgrade of the complex with LP- possibilities for the existing comple	SPL/PS2 and the x.
The	e outcome here must be put i	nto the context of what the LHC act (sessions 8 and 9)	ually wants
Sor •	ne things are already very cle The present injector compley for 15-25 years more.	ear: k must run with high performance a Consolidation Plan/Risk A	nd high reliability nalysis to be done
•	The present bottleneck in the	e complex is the SPS and this would	remain even with
	SPL/PS2	Urgently Launch a Task Force to com propose upgrade projects.	plete studies and
•	A possible upgrade path in the Booster to 2GeV has been id	he existing complex by increasing th entified	e energy of the PS
	Launch	a Study/Project to Upgrade the PSB	Energy to 2 GeV
		Chamonix Summary, 5th Feb 2010	19

