



# Overview

- SR Lattice Errors
  - Sources of Vertical Emittance
  - Sources of Vertical Dispersion
- SR Lattice Calibration
  - Beta Function Measurement
  - Beta Function Correction
- SR Multipole Correctors
- SR Dispersion Correction
- SR Betatron Coupling Correction
- SR Emittance (Sigma) Monitor
- SR Sigma and Emittance
- SR Summary
- SR Lattice Errors
  - SR Sextupole Beam-Based Alignment
  - SR Girder Re-alignment









- Betatron coupling: dQ=0.007 (in commissiong year 2001)
  - Emittance coupling in absence of spurious vertical dispersion: 0.2% (Guignard)
- Left: Emittance coupling after betatron coupling correction with initially 6 skew quadrupoles  $\approx 0.1\%$  (simulation for 200 seeds)







- Left: Dispersion waves from quadrupoles and correctors in antiphase if BPM-quadrupole errors are small (<50 µm RMS) (→ Beam-Based Alignment) after correction to quad centers using "hard correction" (all SVD weighting factors used).
- Right: Main contribution to dispersion from sextupoles through betatron coupling (simulation for 200 seeds) ! Contributions from quads and correctors cancel !







distortions during the measurement !

SWISS LIGHT SOURCE



















Inner colls (6)

### **SR - Multipole Correctors**

## **Versatile Sextupoles**





## **SR - Dispersion Correction I**



SWISS LIGHT SOURCE







Michael Böge 🖶

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **SR - Dispersion/Betatron Coupling Correction**

**Dispersive and** non-dispersive Skew quads  $h_{00101}$  $\Rightarrow Q_v \Rightarrow \eta_v$ *h*<sub>10100</sub>  $\Rightarrow Q_x + Q_y$ *h*<sub>10010</sub>  $\Rightarrow Q_x - Q_y$ **Sextupoles**  $h_{21000}$  $\Rightarrow Q_{r}$ *h*<sub>30000</sub>  $\Rightarrow 3Q_x$  $\begin{array}{l}h_{10200}\\ \Rightarrow Q_x + 2Q_y\end{array}$  $\begin{array}{c} h_{10020} \\ \Rightarrow Q_x - 2Q_y \end{array}$ 

![](_page_11_Figure_5.jpeg)

Empirical Optimization of skew quads by mini– mization of driving terms in the hamiltonian by observing beamsize over lifetime. (court. A. Streun)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Left: Layout of the vertical asymmetrical "polarization" bump consisting of four successive dipole correctors (magenta bars) for the dipole (thick blue bar) beamline PolLux. Dedicated skew quadrupoles (red bars) are used to locally compensate for the betatron coupling induced by the sextupoles (green bars) within the bump (→coupling feed-forward).
- **Right:** Twist of the electron beam ellipse as a function of the longitudinal SLS storage ring position for a -300 µrad steering for the PolLux beamline before (green line) and after (red line) betatron coupling correction. The arrow denotes the location of the 4-bump for the PolLux beamline.
- The 4-bump is implemented as a reference change of 2 BPMs within the framework of the Fast Orbit Feedback with a feed-forward table for the skew quadrupoles (< 2 Hz switching frequency).

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Does the minimization of the beam size σ<sub>y</sub> @ one dipole imply the minimization of the emittance ε<sub>y</sub> ? Yes, at least for a small number of skew quadrupoles (22 skew quads, simulation for 100 seeds) → left plot !
- Is it equivalent to minimize the beam size  $\sigma_y$  instead of the emittance  $\epsilon_y$ ? Yes, it nearly is (22 skew quads, simulation for 100 seeds)  $\rightarrow$  right plot !

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

SR - Sigma and Emittance - Operation

Åke Andersson, CLIC workshop, Oct.16, 2008:

# $\epsilon_v$ reduction in user top-up operation, I=400mA

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **SR - Lattice Errors - Sources of Vertical Emittance II**

For randomly distributed alignment errors, the vertical dispersion makes a contribution to the vertical emittance, given by:

$$\varepsilon_{y} = 2J_{\varepsilon} \left\langle \begin{array}{c} \left\langle \eta_{y}^{2} \right\rangle \\ \left\langle \beta_{y} \right\rangle \end{array} \right\rangle \sigma_{\delta}^{2}$$

Vertical dispersion, in turn, is generated entirely by COD and skew quads:

$$\eta_{y}(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\beta_{y}(s)}}{2\sin(\pi v_{y})} \int_{s}^{s+C} F(s') \sqrt{\beta_{y}(s')} 2sin(\pi v_{y}) \int_{s}^{s+C} F(s') \sqrt{\beta_{y}$$

with

$$F(s) = (K + S\eta_x) y_c - K_{sq}\eta_x + G_y$$

where *K*, *S*,  $K_{sq}$  and  $G_y$  are the normal quad, sextupole skew quad strengths and vertical steering respectively and  $y_c$  is the closed orbit displacement

- Term K + Sη<sub>x</sub> related to local chromaticity ξ (≈0 for corrected local ξ).
- Term  $G_y \approx 0$  for well (to centers of quadrupoles) corrected  $y_c$ .
- Term  $K_{sq}\eta_x$  is small since the quadrupole roll errors are small.
- Local  $\xi$  ONLY  $\approx 0$  if  $y_c$ is corrected in quadrupoles and sextupoles simultaneously !

![](_page_18_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **SR - Lattice Errors - Sextupole Beam-Based Alignment I**

- With stable orbit, measure beam position with BPMs where individual magnet strength changes has a null effect
- Gradient error from sextupoles is source of DA reduction, so ideal would be to align to sextupole magnetic centers
- First order effect is a tune shift due to gradient

$$\Delta Q_x \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \beta_x(s) (K_2 L) x = \frac{1}{2\pi} \beta_x(s) (b_3 L) x$$
$$\Delta Q_y \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \beta_y(s) (K_2 L) x = \frac{1}{2\pi} \beta_y(s) (b_3 L) x$$

Courtesy: S.L. Kramer, NSLS-II

No tune shift with y coordinate except through coupling

Resolution of tune shift dependent on energy spread and chromaticity, at best  ${<}30\mu\text{m}$ 

Synchro-betatron coupling could easily increase resolution to ~100 $\mu$ m

M. Kikuchi, et.al. (KEK), introduced gradient coils to shift orbit rather than tunes

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

Figure 3. Example orbit with the superimposed fit. The dashed line shows the location of the sextupole under test.

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

Figure 4: Fitted offsets, derived from trim kicks, as a function of the reading in the nearby BPM. The reported error in the intercept is 6 microns.

• At KEK ATF skew quadrupole trims  $(K=0.01 \text{ m}^{-1})$  on the sextupoles were used (sextupole center = skew quad center). The kick induced by the offset of the beam in the skew quad is determined from the difference orbit using the machine model. This fit is done for several closed orbit bump amplitudes at the location of the sextupole under test. At the SLS 36 out of 120 sextupoles are equipped with auxiliary skew quadrupoles ( $K=0.03 \text{ m}^{-1}$ ) for betatron coupling and dispersion correction.

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **SR - Lattice Errors - Girder Re-alignment**

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

- Corrector Pattern can be used to determine alignment errors ( $\rightarrow$ No Cutoff).
- Prominent girder-girder alignment errors related to local corrector patterns (circles).
- Girder-girder errors introduce mechanical steps driving the adjacent correctors.
- Leads to saturation of correctors in machines with large alignment errors (→Eigenvalue Cutoff = "Long Range Correction").
- $\rightarrow$  Beam-based girder alignment (magnets on girders as super-correctors).