



Observation of $B_s \to D_s^{*-}\pi^+$, $D_s^{(*)-}\rho^+$ and $D_s^{(*)+}D_s^{(*)-}$, and Estimate of $\Delta\Gamma_{CP}$ at Belle

S.Esen for Belle Collaboration University of Cincinnati







- \succ Confirmed large potential of B-factories for B_s^0 investigations
 - low multiplicities of charged and neutral particles
 - high reconstruction efficiencies
- \succ Tests of HQET, factorization, etc.
 - similarities predicted between B^0 and B_s^0
- \succ Precise measurements of exclusive modes
 - provides normalization for B_s^0 decay at LHC experiments
- \succ Measurements of B^0_s and B^*_s properties (masses, widths, angular distributions)





- \succ Cabibo-favored decays
 - relatively large branching fractions
- \succ Dominated by spectator process
- \succ neutral particles in the final states (photon, π^0)
- \succ full reconstruction of the final states
 - $$\begin{split} &-D_s^{*\pm} \to D_s^{\pm} \gamma \\ &-D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+, K_S K^+, K^{*0} K^+ \end{split}$$















 $\succ N(B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*) = 92.2^{+14.2}_{-13.2}(stat)^{+4.3}_{-4.2}(syst) \quad (8.2\sigma)$ $\succ \mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to D_s^- \rho^+) = (8.5^{+1.3}_{-1.2}(stat.) \pm 1.1(syst.) \pm 1.3(fs)) \times 10^{-3}$



S.Esen/ ICHEP2010





- \succ Longitudinal and Transverse polarizations are possible
- \succ BF measurement depends on the polarization:
 - different reconstruction efficiency
 - different M_{bc} and ΔE signal shapes

$$\succ$$
 4D fit (ΔE , M_{bc} , $cos\theta_{D_s^{*-}}$, $cos\theta_{\rho^+}$)

- \succ Simultaneous extraction of $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to D_s^{*-}\rho^+)$ and $f_L(B_s \to D_s^{*-}\rho^+)$
- \succ test of the factorization hypothesis







 $\succ N(B_s^*\bar{B}_s^*) = 77.8^{+14.5}_{-13.4} \ (7.4\sigma)$

 $\succ \mathcal{B}(B^0_s \to D^{*-}_s \rho^+) = (11.9^{+2.2}_{-2.0}(stat.) \pm 1.7(syst.) \pm 1.8(fs)) \times 10^{-3}$

 \succ Fraction of longitudinal polarization: $f_L = 1.05^{+0.08+0.03}_{-0.10-0.04}$







$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma_s^{CP}}{\Gamma_s} = \frac{2\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to D_s^{(*)-} D_s^{(*)+})}{1 - \mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to D_s^{(*)-} D_s^{(*)+})}$$

Aleksan et. al., PLB 316, 567 (1993), Dunietz et. al., PRD 63, 114015 (2001)

 \succ 3-body $D_s D_s X$ final states are not included



Study of $B_s \to D_s^{(*)-} D_s^{(*)+}$



 \succ Exclusively reconstructed $D_s^+ D_s^-, \, D_s^{*\pm} D_s^{\mp}$ and $D_s^{*+} D_s^{*-}$ modes

$$\succ D_s^{*\pm} \to D_s^{\pm} \gamma$$

$$\succ D_s^+ \to \phi \pi^+, K_S K^+, K^{*0} K^+, \phi \rho^+, K^{*+} K_S, K^{*+} K^{*0}$$

 \succ 2D simultaneous fit of three modes to count for large cross-feeds



 \succ one candidate selection per event with minimum

$$\chi^{2} = \frac{1}{2+N} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[(\widetilde{M}_{D_{s}^{i}} - M_{D_{s}}) / \sigma_{M} \right]^{2} + \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[(\widetilde{\Delta M}_{D_{s}^{*i} - D_{s}^{i}} - \Delta M_{D_{s}^{*} - D_{s}}) / \sigma_{\Delta M} \right]^{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

S.Esen/ ICHEP2010





















 \succ Using 22.6^{+4.7}_{-3.9} events in total, we obtain

- $-\mathcal{B}(B_s^0 \to D_s^{(*)-} D_s^{(*)+}) = (6.85^{+1.53+1.26}_{-1.30-1.25} \pm 1.41)\%$
- $-\Delta\Gamma_s^{CP}/\Gamma_s = (14.7^{+3.6+4.4}_{-3.0-4.2})\%$
- -1.3σ higher than D0 measurement V.M. Abazov *et. al.* Phys.Rev.Lett.102, 091801(2009)
- Consistent with SM expectation : $(12.7 \pm 2.4)\%$
- Theoretical error of $\pm 3\%$ expected Aleksan *et. al.*, PLB 316, 567 (1993)





- \succ Results from Belle with 23.6 fb^{-1} data
- \succ CKM-favored: $B^0_s \rightarrow D^{*-}_s \pi^+, \, D^-_s \rho^+$ and $D^{*-}_s \rho^+$
 - First observations, large signals seen
 R. Louvot et al. (Belle), PRL 104, 231801 (2010)

 $\succ B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{(*)+} D_s^{(*)-}$ exclusively studied: $\Delta \Gamma^{CP} / \Gamma^{CP}$ measured.

- First observation of $D_s^{*+}D_s^-$ and first evidence of $D_s^{*+}D_s^{*-}$
- Competitive measurement of $\Delta\Gamma_{CP}/\Gamma_{CP}$ S. Esen et al. (Belle), arXiv:1005.5177 [hep-ex], submitted to PRL