

### The International Design Study for the





### K. Long, 23 July, 2010

on behalf of the IDS-NF collaboration



### **Acknowledgements:**

- Many thanks to those who provided information or material:
  - And in particular the International Design Study for the Neutrino Factory (the IDS-NF) collaboration and the EUROnu collaboration



### **Neutrino Factory:**



#### Neutrino oscillations, an established phenomenon

- → Neutrino mass is not zero and neutrinos mix
  - i.e. Standard Model is incomplete
- $\rightarrow$  Either:
  - Majorana neutrino: a new state of matter; or
  - New physics:
    - To distinguish Dirac neutrino from Dirac anti-neutrino
- Phenomenological description:
  - Mixing of mass states  $\rightarrow$  flavour states
    - Potentially yields additional source of CP violation
- Observations:
  - Neutrino mixing pattern substantially different to that of the quarks
  - Neutrino masses are tiny



Why?

### Standard neutrino Model (SvM):

### • Phenomenological description:

$ \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{e} \\ \nu_{\mu} \\ \nu_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{2} \end{pmatrix} $	$     \begin{array}{c}       0 \\       s \\       s \\       s \\       c \\     $	C <sub>13</sub> 0 0 1 <sub> 3</sub> e <sup>-iδ</sup> 0	s <sub>13</sub> e <sup>iδ</sup> 0 c <sub>13</sub>	$ \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_1 \\ -s_{12} & c_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $	$ \begin{array}{ccc} 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \\  & 1 \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix} $
$\Delta m_{21}^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2 > 0$					
$\Delta m_{31}^2 = m_3^2 - m_1^2$					
. 2 . 2					
$ \Delta m_{31}  >> \Delta m_{21}^2$		R	ef. [1]	Ref. [2] (N	IINOS updated)
$\left \Delta m_{31}^2\right  >> \Delta m_{21}^2$	parameter	Re best fit±1σ	ef. [1] 3σ interval	Ref. [2] (N best fit $\pm 1\sigma$	IINOS updated) $3\sigma$ interval
$ \Delta m_{31}^2  >> \Delta m_{21}^2$	parameter $\Delta m_{21}^2 [10^{-5} \text{eV}^2]$	Red best fit $\pm 1\sigma$ $7.65^{+0.23}_{-0.20}$	ef. [1] 3σ interval 7.05–8.34	Ref. [2] (N best fit $\pm 1\sigma$ $7.67^{+0.22}_{-0.21}$	IINOS updated) 3σ interval 7.07–8.34
$ \Delta m_{31}^2  >> \Delta m_{21}^2$	parameter $\Delta m_{21}^2 \left[ 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2 \right]$ $\Delta m^2 \left[ 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2 \right]$	Red best fit $\pm 1\sigma$ 7.65 <sup>+0.23</sup> <sub>-0.20</sub> $\pm 2.40^{\pm 0.12}$	ef. [1] 3σ interval 7.05–8.34 +(2.07–2.75)	Ref. [2] (M best fit $\pm 1\sigma$ $7.67^{+0.22}_{-0.21}$ $-2.39 \pm 0.12$	IINOS updated) 3σ interval 7.07–8.34 –(2.02–2.79)
$ \Delta m_{31}^2  >> \Delta m_{21}^2$	parameter $\Delta m_{21}^2 [10^{-5} \text{eV}^2]$ $\Delta m_{31}^2 [10^{-3} \text{eV}^2]$	Rest fit $\pm 1\sigma$ 7.65 <sup>+0.23</sup> <sub>-0.20</sub> $\pm 2.40^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$	ef. [1] 3σ interval 7.05–8.34 ±(2.07–2.75)	Ref. [2] (M best fit $\pm 1\sigma$ 7.67 <sup>+0.22</sup> -2.39 $\pm 0.12$ +2.49 $\pm 0.12$	1INOS updated) 3σ interval 7.07–8.34 -(2.02–2.79) +(2.13–2.88)
Δ <i>m</i> <sub>31</sub> >> Δ <i>m</i> <sub>21</sub>	parameter $\Delta m_{21}^2 \left[ 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2 \right]$ $\Delta m_{31}^2 \left[ 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2 \right]$ $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$	Rebest fit $\pm 1\sigma$ $7.65^{+0.23}_{-0.20}$ $\pm 2.40^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$ $0.304^{+0.022}_{-0.016}$	ef. [1] $3\sigma$ interval 7.05-8.34 $\pm (2.07-2.75)$ 0.25-0.37	Ref. [2] (N best fit $\pm 1\sigma$ 7.67 <sup>+0.22</sup> -2.39 $\pm$ 0.12 +2.49 $\pm$ 0.12 0.321 <sup>+0.023</sup> 0.321 <sup>-0.022</sup>	$\begin{array}{r} \text{IINOS updated)} \\ \hline 3\sigma \text{ interval} \\ \hline 7.07-8.34 \\ -(2.02-2.79) \\ +(2.13-2.88) \\ \hline 0.26-0.40 \end{array}$
Δ <i>m</i> <sub>31</sub>   >> Δ <i>m</i> <sub>21</sub>	parameter $\Delta m_{21}^2 \left[ 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2 \right]$ $\Delta m_{31}^2 \left[ 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2 \right]$ $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$ $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	Rest fit $\pm 1\sigma$ $7.65^{+0.23}_{-0.20}$ $\pm 2.40^{+0.12}_{-0.11}$ $0.304^{+0.022}_{-0.016}$ $0.50^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$	ef. [1] $3\sigma$ interval 7.05-8.34 $\pm (2.07-2.75)$ 0.25-0.37 0.36-0.67	Ref. [2] (M best fit $\pm 1\sigma$ 7.67 <sup>+0.22</sup> -2.39 $\pm$ 0.12 +2.49 $\pm$ 0.12 0.321 <sup>+0.023</sup> 0.47 <sup>+0.07</sup> -0.06	$\begin{array}{r} \text{IINOS updated)} \\ 3\sigma \text{ interval} \\ \hline 7.07-8.34 \\ -(2.02-2.79) \\ +(2.13-2.88) \\ 0.26-0.40 \\ 0.33-0.64 \end{array}$

Schwetz, Tortola and Valle, arXiv:0808.2016

[2] Gonzalez-Garcia and Maltoni, arXiv:0704.1800

### The experimentalists' contribution:

- Discovery:
  - Search for leptonic CP violation
  - Determine mass hierarchy
  - Investigate discrete symmetries
- Measure mixing parameters with a precision sufficient to:
  - Determine structure of theory:
    - Ultimate theory must unify quarks and leptons:
      - Quark and lepton mixing parameters must be related
- Therefore, seek to:
  - Determine neutrino-mixing parameters with a precision approaching that of the quark-mixing parameters



Precision-era facility must address:

- Mass hierarchy
- CP violation
- **θ**<sub>13</sub>
- $\bullet \theta_{12}, \theta_{23}, \Delta m_{31}^2, \Delta m_{21}^2$
- More over:
  - Is θ<sub>23</sub> maximal?
  - Is θ<sub>13</sub> zero?
  - Beyond the SvM:
    - NSIs
    - MVNs
    - Sterile neutrinos



**ISS** reports:

۲

- Motivation; timescale and risk
- IDS-NF Neutrino Factory baseline
- Status of the study
  - Accelerator facility
    - Neutrino detectors: see A. Laing's talk in 'track 13'

### Opportunities and conclusions

#### Related presentations:

A. Laing: 'Detectors for CP violation at the Neutrino Factory'. (track 13, Saturday 24Jul10, 12:20); Y. Karadzhov: 'Status of MICE, the international Muon Ionisation Cooling Experiment', poster; V.Verguilov: 'Measurement of emittance reduction in MICE', poster; M.Bonesini: 'The MICE particle identification system', poster.

Steps towards the **Neutrino Factory:** 

Timescale and risk [of incremental approach]

### **Discovery of non-leading oscillations:**

- Present, and near future, experiments that seek to measure θ<sub>13</sub>:
  - Reactor: D-Chooz; Daya Bay; Reno
  - Long-baseline: T2K, NOvA



'Sensitivity plateau' of ~10<sup>-2</sup> reached around 2016

## **Potential/risk of incremental upgrade:**

#### • Power upgrade to increase performance of T2K and NOvA:



- Upgraded facilities:
  - Some sensitivity to MH and  $\delta$ :
    - Over 25—30% of (δ)parameter space:
      - So long as sin^220\_{13} larger than ~10^{-2}
    - 70—75% of (δ)parameter space uncovered (sin<sup>2</sup>2θ<sub>13</sub> > ~ 10<sup>-2</sup>)
      - No δ-sensitivity for sin<sup>2</sup>2 $\theta_{13}$  smaller than ~10<sup>-2</sup>
- Opportunity:
  - Establish facility with discovery potential over close to the full parameter space and down to very small  $sin^2 2\theta_{13}$ :
    - With, in addition, the best possible:
      - Precision on the SvM parameters
      - Flexibility in the study of physics beyond the SvM

Risk avoidance: the Neutrino Factory:
Optimise discovery potential for CP and MH – Requirements:

- Large  $v_e$  ( $\overline{v}_e$ ) flux
  - Detailed study of sub-leading effects

- (Large) high-energy v<sub>e</sub> (v<sub>e</sub>) flux
  - Optimise event rate at fixed L/E
  - Optimise MH sensitivity
  - Optimise CP sensitivity



#### **Neutrino Factory:**

### **IDS-NF baseline;** performance and optimisation

### **IDS-NF baseline: accelerator:**



IDS-NF-002: https://www.ids-nf.org/wiki/FrontPage/Documentation?action=AttachFile&do=view&target=IDS-NF-002-v1.1.pdf

A. Cervera, <u>*A. Laing*</u>, J. Martín-Albo, F.J.P. Soler

# IDS-NF baseline: detector:

### • Baseline:

#### Magnetised Iron Neutrino Detector (MIND):

- Large (100 kTonne) mass
- Readily magnetised
- New analysis gives threshold at 1—2GeV
- Alternatives:
  - Totally Active Scintillator Detector (TASD); Liquid Argon (LAr):
    - Potential for 'direct' sensitivity to ν<sub>e</sub> and ν<sub>τ</sub>
    - Issues:
      - Magnetisation of large volume
      - Cost of large mass of TASD
      - R&D required for large mass LAr





### **Neutrino Factory: optimisation:**

#### • Two detectors:

#### Compare performance of 50 kT detector at magic baseline with two 25 kT detectors

All performance indicators 12000 Core crossing 10000 8000 Magic baseline -2 [km] 6000 4000 2000 GL oBES 2008 2000 4000 8000 6000 10000 12000 L₁ [km]

Kopp, Ota, Winter, Phys.Rev.D78:053007,2008.

- Preferred combination:
  - 2000—5000 km; good sensitivity to CP violation
  - -7000-8000 km; mass hierarchy,  $\theta_{13}$ , degeneracy resolution

### **IDS-NF** baseline performance:



### **IDS-NF baseline: per<u>formance</u>:**

- Physics beyond the SvM:
  - Example: on-standard interactions
    - Excellent performance for *E*<sub>u</sub> = 25 GeV (IDS-NF baseline)





### IDS-NF baseline: precision; large $\theta_{13}$ :



- Precision measurement of mixing parameters:
  - $\theta_{13}$  measurement at < 1° level and  $\theta_{23}$  at ~2° level
  - δ measurement at 10–15% level
  - Requires understanding of  $v_{\tau}$  component of signal

#### **Neutrino Factory:**

### **Accelerator facility:**

[Neutrino Factory detectors: see A. Lang's talk in 'track 13'

J.S. Berg; IPAC; THXMH02

Parameter	Value	Comment
Beam power	4 MW	Production rate
Beam energy	5-15 GeV	Optimum pion production
Bunch length	2 ± 1 ns	Pion/muon capture

# **Proton driver:**

IPAC10: THPD074, MOPEC049, WEPE098

#### • Challenges:

- High power; short proton bunch length at ~10 GeV
- IDS-NF approach:
  - Consider two 'generic' options:
    - LINAC:
      - Possible development option for SPL (CERN) or Project-X (FNAL)
      - Requires accumulator/compressor rings
    - Rings:
      - Development option for J-PARC or RAL or possible 'green-field' option
      - Requires bunch compression



Parameter	Value	<b>Comment</b>
Jet velocity	20 m/s	Reformation of jet
Field at i/p	20 T	Pion collection
Field at exit of capture	1.75 T	Pion focusing

# **Target/capture:**

IPAC10: WEPE101, THPEC092



### • Baseline:

- Mercury jet, tapered solenoid for pion capture:
  - 20 T tapering to 1.75 T in ~13 m
- Alternatives: [mitigation of technical risk]
  - Tungsten bars; tungsten-powder jet

#### **Baseline target: proof of principal: MERIT:** IPAC10: WEPE078



- 'Disruption length': 28 cm
- 'Refill' time: 14 ms
  - Corresponds to 70 Hz
- Hence:
  - Demonstrated operation at:
    - 115 kJ × 70 Hz = 8 MW

- 20 m/s liquid Hg jet in 15 T B field
- Exposed to CERN PS proton beam:
  - Beam pulse energy = 115 kJ
  - Reached 30 tera protons at 24 GeV



#### IPAC10: THPEC089, THPEC091

# **Alternatives: solid and powder jet:**

- Solid target:
  - Lifetime limitation from beaminduced shock:
    - Investigated using rapid risetime (kicker) power supply and thin wire
  - Measurements imply:
    - 2 cm diameter tungsten rod will survive > 10 yrs

•

 Proceeding to measure vibration modes to determine stress and verify models



- Tungsten-powder jet:
  - (Jet) advantage:
    - Avoids issue of shock
  - (Solid) advantage:
    - Avoids issue of Hg handling
  - 'Bench-test' system under evaluation
  - Proof of principal system under consideration

- 1. Suction/Lift
- 2. Load Hopper
- 3. Pressurise Hopper
- 4. Powder Ejection and Observation



Parameter	Value	Comment
E-spread after P.R.	10%	Subsequent accel.
Freq. after P.R.	201.25 MHz	
Emittance at exit	7.4 mm rad	Subsequent accel.

### **Muon front-end:**

IPAC10: WEPE050, WEPE051, WEPE068, WEPE074, WEPE076



# **Muon ionisation cooling experiment**





- MICE: proof of principle:
  - Design, build, commission and operate a realistic section of cooling channel
  - Measure its performance in a variety of modes of operation and beam conditions
    - Results will allow Neutrino Factory complex to be optimised



Running for first Step well underway! See Y.Karadzhov, V.Verguilov, and M.Bonesini posters for details





	E <sub>fin</sub> (GeV)	Comment
Pre-accel. Linac	0.9	Change in $\gamma$
RLAI	3.6	Switch-yard congestion
RLA II	12.6	Switch-yard congestion
FFAG	25.0	Large acceptance, use of RF

### • Linac/RLAs:

IPAC10: WEPE060

#### – Superconducting linac:

- Large acceptance;
- Rapidly increase γ to increase effective lifetime

### – Recirculating linacs (RLAs):

- Continue rapid acceleration
- More cost-effective use of RF

# **Muon acceleration:**

### **Rapid acceleration!**

- Fixed Field Alternating Gradient (FFAG) accelerator:
  - Large aperture magnets with fixed field:
    - Continued rapid acceleration
    - Improved cost-efficiency in use of RF
  - Injection/extraction challenging:
    - Development of appropriate schemes in progress



•

IPAC10: MOPEC043, MOPE085,WEPE057

# Muon acceleration: proof of principal:

- EMMA; almost complete at Daresbury Lab.
  - Electron Model of Muon Acceleration
    - Aka:
      - Electron Model of Many Applications



- Installation complete;
- Commissioning of injector system and of associated diagnostics and EMMA ring has started!

#### **Neutrino Factory:**

### **Opportunity and conclusions:**

### **Neutrino Factory: footprint:**







### **Conclusions:**

- The Neutrino Factory, the 'facility of choice':
  - Best discovery reach
  - Best precision:
    - But need to define agreed figure of merit
  - Best sensitivity to non-standard interactions
- The IDS-NF baseline established and, so far, robust
  - Alternatives to the baseline, addressing particular issues (e.g., Low Energy Neutrino Factory), are under discussion
- The IDS-NF collaboration:
  - Energetic and ambitious, working towards IDR 2010/11 and RDR 2012/13:
    - EUROnu: encompasses and coordinates European contributions
- Scientific imperative:
  - Make the Neutrino Factory an option for the field!