## Hadron physics at KLOE: results and prospects



P.Gauzzi



(Universita' La Sapienza e INFN – Roma) for the KLOE / KLOE-2 Collaborations



ICHEP 2010 23 July 2010 – Paris



1200

1000

800

600

400

200

- Frascati  $\phi$ -factory:  $e^+e^-$  collider @  $\sqrt{s} \approx 1020 \text{ MeV} \approx M_{\phi}$ ;  $\sigma_{\text{peak}} \approx 3.1 \text{ }\mu\text{b}$
- •Best performances in 2005:
  - $L_{peak} = 1.4 \times 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$
  - $\int \mathbf{L} dt = 8.5 \ \mathrm{pb^{-1}/day}$
- KLOE: 2.5 fb<sup>-1</sup> @  $\sqrt{s}=M_{\phi} \implies 8 \times 10^9 \phi \text{ produced})$ + 250 pb<sup>-1</sup>off-peak @  $\sqrt{s}=1000 \text{ MeV}$ 
  - DAΦNE upgrade: New interaction scheme implemented, large beam crossing angle + crabbed waist optics
- ⇒ Luminosity increase: factor ~ 3
  ∫ Ldt ≈ 1 pb<sup>-1</sup>/hour
- DAΦNE commissioning start in September 2010 for the KLOE-2 data-taking



P.Gauzzi













### Physics at a **\$\$**-factory



- Kaon physics:  $|V_{us}|$  and CKM unitarity, CP and CPT violation, rare decays,  $\chi$ PT tests, quantum mechanics tests
- $\bullet \phi$  radiative decays: pseudoscalar and scalar mesons
- Hadron production in γγ collisions
- Hadronic cross-section via ISR  $[e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma \ (\pi^+\pi^-)]$ : hadronic corrections to  $(g-2)_{\mu}$



 $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ 



- $\phi$ -factory  $\Rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma$ : large samples of  $\eta$  $\Rightarrow L = 2.5 \text{ fb}^{-1} \Rightarrow 8 \times 10^9 \phi \Rightarrow \sim 10^8 \eta$
- $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$  : significant contribution from box anomaly expected
- $M_{\pi\pi}$  distribution needed to evaluate box anomaly vs resonant ( $\rho$ -dominated) contributions
- Existing measurements not sufficient for unambiguous interpretation [Benayoun et al., EPJC31, 525 (2003)]
- Recent CLEO result more than 2 σ lower than previous measurements

#### $\Gamma(\eta { ightarrow} \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\gamma) / \Gamma(\eta { ightarrow} \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0})$

η,η

value	events	author	year
0.203 ± 0.008	F	DG averag	e
0.175 ± 0.007 ± 0.006	859	Lopez	2007
0.209 ± 0.004	18 k	Thaler	1973
0.201 ± 0.006	7250	Gormley	1970

 $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ 



Data

All MC

- $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma, \eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$ : 6×10<sup>5</sup> events in 1.2 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- Normalization to  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
- Main background:  $\phi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
- Simultaneous fit on  $M_{\gamma\gamma}$  and  $\cos \vartheta_{\gamma\gamma}$

 $\frac{\Gamma(\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)}{\Gamma(\eta \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0)} = 0.201 \pm 0.006_{\text{stat} \oplus \text{syst}}$ 

- Improving the systematics  $\Rightarrow \sim 1\%$
- Agreement with the older measurements
- **Prospects:** 
  - Use the full KLOE data set to investigate the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  invariant mass distribution
  - $-\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma : \sim 10^5$  events expected from first KLOE-2 run
    - $\Rightarrow$  combined  $\eta/\eta' \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$  analysis



 $\cos\theta(\gamma_{\eta}\gamma_{\phi})$ 



 $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$ 

- **Rare decay:** χ**PT and** VDM predictions  $\Rightarrow$  Br ~ 3 × 10<sup>-4</sup>
- 2 measurements: CMD-2 4 events WASA@CELSIUS 16 events
- Data sample: 1.73 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- $M(\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-)$  distribution: fit with signal + background (MC)  $\Rightarrow$  1555 ± 52 signal events 368 background 66







[PLB675(2009)283]

**P.Gauzzi** 

 $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$ 

- Plane asymmetry ⇒ test of CP violation
- Constraints from Br( $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ ): expt. A<sub>CP</sub> < 10<sup>-4</sup> th. (SM) A<sub>CP</sub> < 10<sup>-15</sup>

 $\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{CP}} = \frac{\mathbf{N}(\sin\phi\cos\phi > \mathbf{0}) - \mathbf{N}(\sin\phi\cos\phi < \mathbf{0})}{\mathbf{N}(\sin\phi\cos\phi > \mathbf{0}) + \mathbf{N}(\sin\phi\cos\phi < \mathbf{0})}$ 

 Non conventional CP violation mechanism (non CKM) proposed ⇒ A<sub>CP</sub> up to 2×10<sup>-2</sup> [D.N.Gao MPLA17(2002)]

 $A_{CP} = (-0.6 \pm 2.5 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-2}$ 

[PLB675(2009)283]

#### • KLOE-2

- with  $O(10 \text{ fb}^{-1}) \Rightarrow \delta Br \sim 1.4\%$  (stat.)

$$\delta A_{CP} \sim 1.2 \%$$
 (")

2010

– reduce systematics

 $-O(20 \text{ fb}^{-1}) \text{ with IT} \Rightarrow \delta A_{CP} < 1\%$ 









•  $\eta \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi \text{ decay} \Rightarrow \text{Isospin violation } L_{I} = -\frac{1}{2}(m_{u} - m_{d})(\overline{u}u - \overline{d}d)$ 

 $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ 

• Symmetric Dalitz plot:  $|A|^2 \propto 1 + 2 \alpha Z \implies$  only one parameter

$$Z = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \frac{3E_i - M_{\eta}}{M_{\eta} - 3M_{\pi}} \right)^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{\rho_{max}^2}$$

( $\rho$  = distance from the Dalitz plot center)

• 450 pb<sup>-1</sup>; 7 prompt photons  $\Rightarrow 6.5 \times 10^5$  events  $\alpha = -0.0301 \pm 0.0035^{+0.0022}_{-0.0036}$ 

[arXiv:1004.1319, submitted to PLB]







•  $\eta \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi \text{ decay} \Rightarrow \text{Isospin violation } L_{I} = -\frac{1}{2}(m_{u} - m_{d})(\overline{u}u - \overline{d}d)$ 

 $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\nu}\pi^{\nu}\pi^{\nu}$ 

ರ

• Symmetric Dalitz plot:  $|A|^2 \propto 1 + 2 \alpha Z \implies$  only one parameter

$$Z = \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left( \frac{3E_i - M_{\eta}}{M_{\eta} - 3M_{\pi}} \right)^2 = \frac{\rho^2}{\rho_{max}^2}$$

( $\rho$  = distance from the Dalitz plot center)

• 450 pb<sup>-1</sup>; 7 prompt photons  $\Rightarrow 6.5 \times 10^5$  events  $\alpha = -0.0301 \pm 0.0035^{+0.0022}_{-0.0036}$ 

[arXiv:1004.1319, submitted to PLB] Strong interactions mix the two amplitudes  $A(\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0})$  and  $A(\eta \rightarrow \pi^{0}\pi^{0}\pi^{0})$ : from the Dalitz plot of  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$   $\Rightarrow \alpha = -0.038 \pm 0.003^{+0.012}_{-0.008}$ [JHEP0805(2008)006]



# *<i>γγ* physics



- $X = \pi \pi \Rightarrow$  search for  $\sigma(600)$
- $X = \pi^0$ ,  $\eta$ ,  $(\eta')$ 
  - $-\Gamma(X \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$
  - Transition form factors  $\mathcal{F}_{X\gamma^*\gamma^*}(q_1^2,q_2^2)$
- KLOE: no  $e^{\pm}$  tagging  $\Rightarrow \sqrt{s} = 1$  GeV
- KLOE-2:  $\sqrt{s} = M_{\phi} \Rightarrow$  Tagger is essential to reduce the background from the  $\phi$  and to close the kinematics

10 -3

500

1000

- If  $\sqrt{s} \rightarrow 1.4 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  coupling of  $a_0(980), f_0(980)$ 

Wyy (MeV)



 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \sigma(600) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ 



Motivations: pole in the  $\pi\pi$  scattering with vacuum quantum numbers (J<sup>PC</sup>=0<sup>++</sup>)  $m_{\sigma} = 441^{+16}_{-8}$  MeV,  $\Gamma_{\sigma} = 544^{+24}_{-18}$  MeV [Caprini et al., PRL96(2006)132001]

- Observations by E791 in D<sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  (m<sub> $\sigma$ </sub>=478 MeV,  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ =324 MeV) and BES II in J/ $\psi \rightarrow \omega \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  (m<sub> $\sigma$ </sub>=541±39 MeV,  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ =504±84 MeV) (and by FOCUS, CLEO)
- Indirect  $\sigma(600)$  evidence in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$  Dalitz plot by KLOE
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi\pi$



 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \sigma(600) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ 



Motivations: pole in the  $\pi\pi$  scattering with vacuum quantum numbers (J<sup>PC</sup>=0<sup>++</sup>)  $m_{\sigma} = 441^{+16}_{-8} \text{MeV}, \Gamma_{\sigma} = 544^{+24}_{-18} \text{MeV}$  [Caprini et al., PRL96(2006)132001]

- Observations by E791 in D<sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  (m<sub> $\sigma$ </sub>=478 MeV,  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ =324 MeV) and BES II in J/ $\psi \rightarrow \omega \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$  (m<sub> $\sigma$ </sub>=541±39 MeV,  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ =504±84 MeV) (and by FOCUS, CLEO)
- Indirect  $\sigma(600)$  evidence in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$  Dalitz plot by KLOE
- $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi\pi$

 $\pi^0 \pi^0 \Rightarrow$  golden channel

 $\pi^+\pi^-$ : large background from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-$  and from  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma^* \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$ 



ICHEP 2010 – 23 July 2010





- KLOE: 240 pb<sup>-1</sup> off-peak ( $\sqrt{s} = 1$  GeV) no  $e^{\pm}$  tagging
- ~ 10000 events with 4 prompt  $\gamma$ 's
- ~ 4000 events after bckg subtraction
- $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$  cross-section evaluation in progress

KLOE-2:  $O(10 \text{ fb}^{-1})$  at  $\sqrt{s} = M_{\phi}$  with  $e^{\pm}$  tagging  $\Rightarrow 2\%$  statistical accuracy using the same energy bin as Crystal Ball





## γγ→single pseudoscalar

- Measurement of  $\Gamma(P \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$
- Transition form factors  $\mathcal{F}_{P\gamma^*\gamma^*}(q_1^2,q_2^2)$ :
  - input for the calculation of the Light-by-Light contribution to g-2 of the muon





#### γγ**→**η

- KLOE: 240 pb<sup>-1</sup> off-peak ( $\sqrt{s} = 1$  GeV) without  $e^{\pm}$  tagging
- Selected decay channel:  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
- Main bckg:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\gamma$
- Fit to  $\eta$  longitudinal momentum  $(p_L)$  and missing mass  $(M_{miss})$  $\Rightarrow \sim 600$  events
- Extraction of  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\eta)$  and  $\Gamma(\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$  in progress



# $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadr.)$ below 1 GeV

- ~ 3  $\sigma$  discrepancy between  $a_{\mu}^{SM}$   $a_{\mu}^{exp}$  [ $a_{\mu} = (g_{\mu} 2)/2$ ]
- $a_{\mu}^{SM} = a_{\mu}^{QED} + a_{\mu}^{weak} + (a_{\mu}^{had}) \longrightarrow$  main contribution to the uncertainty on  $a_{\mu}^{SM}$

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{had, LO}} = 1 / (4\pi^3) \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \text{hadr.}) \mathbf{K}(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \quad ; \quad \mathbf{K}(s) \sim 1 / s$$

- $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow hadr.)$  below 1 GeV is dominated by  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
- $\phi$  factory: fixed  $\sqrt{s} \Rightarrow$  Initial State Radiation method



• Two different analyses: (1) photon emitted at Small Angle (S.A. analysis) [PLB606(2005)12, PLB670(2009)285] (2) photon emitted at Large Angle (L.A. analysis) [arXiv:1006:5313, submitted to PLB]

**P.Gauzzi** 

### L.A. analysis



- 2 pions at large angle (9>50°)
- Photon detected at large angle (θ>50°)
- Kinematics closed
- Threshold region accessible
- Lower statistics
- Larger contribution from FSR Larger background from  $\phi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ Irreducible background from  $\phi \rightarrow f_0 \gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$



Use data collected at  $\sqrt{s} = 1$  GeV, below the  $\phi$  peak: 233 pb<sup>-1</sup> from 2006 data-taking





#### **Prospects on** $\sigma_{had}$

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

• In progress:  $|\mathbf{F}_{\pi}|^2$  from the ratio  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma)/\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma)$ 

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Many factors cancel in the ratio:
  - radiator function
  - luminosity from Bhabhas
  - vacuum polarization

![](_page_20_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### • KLOE-2:

δσ ~ 0.4% for √s < 1 GeV with ISR @ 1 GeV, 2 fb<sup>-1</sup> δσ ~ 2% for 1 < √s < 2 GeV with energy scan (if DAΦNE energy → 2 - 2.5 GeV)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Important results have been achieved by KLOE in hadron physics:
  - $\eta$  rare decays:  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$ ,  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$ ,  $\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-e^+e^-$
  - Dalitz plot of  $\eta \rightarrow 3\pi$
  - η−η' mixing and gluonium in η'; η→π<sup>0</sup>γγ, .....
  - Precision measurements of  $Br(\phi \rightarrow f_0(980)\gamma)$  and  $Br(\phi \rightarrow a_0(980)\gamma)$ and of the scalar resonance parameters
  - Upper limit for  $\phi \rightarrow (f_0/a_0)\gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^0 \overline{\mathrm{K}}^0 \gamma$
  - $-\gamma\gamma$  physics:  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$  and  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \eta$  at  $\sqrt{s} = 1$  GeV
  - $-\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadr.})$  with ISR: Small Angle + Large Angle analyses confirm the 3.2  $\sigma$  discrepancy between  $a_{\mu}^{\text{SM}}$  and  $a_{\mu}^{\text{exp}}$
- KLOE-2 data-taking start in September 2010:  $-e^{\pm}$  taggers for  $\gamma\gamma$  physics are being installed

– possibility of new and more precise measurements in hadron physics P.Gauzzi
ICHEP 2010 – 23 July 2010

# **KLOE-2 physics program**

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

Goal: ~20 fb<sup>-1</sup> in the next 3 – 4 years to extend the KLOE physics program at DAΦNE upgraded in luminosity (approved) and energy up to 2.4 GeV (under discussion): G.Amelino-Camelia et al., arXiv:1003.3868,

- γγ physics
- Light meson spectroscopy

Kaon physics

- Dark matter searches
- Hadronic cross section

G.Amelino-Camelia et al., arXiv:1003.3868, DOI:10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1351-1

- Existence (and properties) of  $\sigma(600)$
- Study of  $\Gamma(S/P \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$
- P transition form factor
- Properties of scalar/vector mesons
- Rare  $\eta$  decays
- $\eta^\prime$  physics
- Test of CPT (and QM) in correlated kaon decays
- Test of CPT in  $K_S$  semileptonic decays
- Test of SM (CKM unitarity, lepton universality)
- Test of  $\chi PT$  (K<sub>S</sub> decays)
- Light bosons @ O(1 GeV)
- $\alpha_{em}(M_Z)$  and  $(g_{\mu}-2)$

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Spare slides**

P.Gauzzi

### **Result on L.A. analysis**

 Good agreement between S.A. (KLOE08) and L.A. (KLOE09) analyses

 $a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}(0.1-0.85 \text{ GeV}^2) = (478.5 \pm 2.0_{\text{stat}} \pm 4.8_{\text{sys}} \pm 2.9_{\text{theo}}) \times$ [arXiv:1006:5313, submitted to PLB]  $\times 10^{-10}$ 

- Agreement with CMD-2 and SND, some difference <sup>20</sup>/<sub>20</sub>
   with BaBar 15
- 3.2  $\sigma$  discrepancy  $a_{\mu}^{SM}$   $a_{\mu}^{exp}$  confirmed
- In progress: measurement of the  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-)$ from the ratio  $\pi^+\pi^-\gamma / \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$  (radiator function, int. luminosity and vacuum polarization cancel)
- KLOE-2:

 $\delta\sigma \sim 0.4\%$  for  $\sqrt{s} < 1$  GeV with ISR @ 1 GeV, 2 fb<sup>-1</sup>

 $\delta \sigma \sim 2\%$  for  $1 < \sqrt{s} < 2$  GeV with energy scan (if DA $\Phi$ NE energy  $\rightarrow 2 - 2.5$  GeV)

![](_page_24_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### **KLOE-2**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Two step upgrade:
  - 1) First run (~ 5 fb<sup>-1</sup> in one year data-taking)
    - $e^{\pm}$  taggers for  $\gamma\gamma$  physics:
    - Low Energy Tagger (E<sub>e</sub>=130-230 MeV) Inside KLOE
    - Calorimeters: LYSO + SiPM — High Energy Tagger (E<sub>e</sub> > 400 MeV)
      - 11 m far from IP Scintillators + PMT
  - 2) Major upgrade ( late 2011)
    - Inner tracker : 4 layers of cylindrical GEM
    - QCALT: W + scint. tiles + SiPM
    - CCAL : LYSO + APD

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_14.jpeg)

 $\eta \rightarrow e^+ e^- e^+ e^-$ 

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Never observed before Br < 6.9×10<sup>-5</sup> @90%C.L. (CMD-2)
- Theoretical predictions: ~ 2.5 2.6 ×10<sup>-5</sup>
- Same data set analyzed for  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- e^+ e^-$
- MC simulation according to Bijnens and Persson [hep-ph/0106130]
- Fit with signal + background from continuum

 $413 \pm 31$  events  $\Rightarrow$  first evidence

![](_page_26_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### S.A. analysis

- 2 pions at large angle (9>50°)
- Photon at small angle (9<15° not detected) to reduce FSR
- 240 pb<sup>-1</sup> from 2002 data-taking

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_7.jpeg)

ICHEP 2010 - 23 July 2010

### **Result on L.A. analysis**

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Table of systematic errors on $a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}$ (0.1-0.85 GeV<sup>2</sup>):

<b>Reconstruction Filter</b>	< 0.1%
Background	0.5%
$f_0 + \rho \pi$	0.4%
Omega	0.2%
Trackmass	0.5%
$\pi$ /e-ID and TCA	< 0.1%
Tracking	0.3%
Trigger	0.2%
Acceptance	0.4%
Unfolding	negligible
Software Trigger	0.1%
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Luminosity}(0.1_{\text{th}} \oplus \\ 0.3_{\text{exp}})\% \end{array}$	0.3%
experimental fractional	error on $a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi} = 1.0$ %
FSR resummation	0.3%
Radiator H	0.5%
Vacuum polarization	< 0.1%

theoretical fractional error on  $a_{\mu}^{\ \pi\pi} = 0.6$  %

P.Gauzzi

 $a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}$ (0.1–0.85 GeV<sup>2</sup>) = (478.5 ± 2.0<sub>stat</sub>±4.8<sub>sys</sub> ±2.9<sub>theo</sub>) × 10<sup>-10</sup>

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Good agreement below the ρ peak
- Above the ρ peak KLOE slightly lower

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **KLOE vs BaBar**

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_3.jpeg)

(Grey band = KLOE error)

Good agreement below 0.6 GeV
Above 0.6 GeV BaBar higher by 2-3%

# $a_{\mu}^{\ \pi\pi}$ for different expt.

 $a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}$ (0.35-0.85GeV<sup>2</sup>):

KLOE08 (small angle)

KLOE09 (large angle)

 $a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}$ (0.152-0.270 GeV<sup>2</sup>):

KLOE09 (large angle)

CMD-2

a<sub>μ</sub><sup>ππ</sup>(0.397-0.918 GeV<sup>2</sup>): KLOE08 (small angle)

CMD-2

SND

BaBar

$$a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi} = (379.6 \pm 0.4_{\text{stat}} \pm 2.4_{\text{sys}} \pm 2.2_{\text{theo}}) \cdot 10^{-10}$$

$$a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}$$
 = (376.6 ± 0.9<sub>stat</sub>±2.4<sub>sys</sub> ±2.1<sub>theo</sub>) · 10<sup>-10</sup>

$$a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi} = (48.1 \pm 1.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 1.2_{\text{sys}} \pm 0.4_{\text{theo}}) \cdot 10^{-10}$$

$$a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}$$
 = (46.2 ± 1.0<sub>stat</sub>±0.3<sub>sys</sub>) · 10<sup>-10</sup>

$$a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi} = (356.7 \pm 0.4_{\text{stat}} \pm 3.1_{\text{sys}}) \cdot 10^{-10}$$

$$a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}$$
 = (361.5 ± 1.7<sub>stat</sub>±2.9<sub>sys</sub>) · 10<sup>-10</sup>

$$a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}$$
 = (361.0 ± 2.0<sub>stat</sub>±4.7<sub>sys</sub>) · 10<sup>-10</sup>

$$a_{\mu}^{\pi\pi}$$
 = (365.2 ± 1.9<sub>stat</sub>±1.9<sub>sys</sub>) · 10<sup>-10</sup>

![](_page_32_Picture_19.jpeg)

 $f_0(980), a_0(980)$ 

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Measurement of Br(φ→Sγ→PPγ) and extraction of the parameters from fit of the Dalitz Plot or invariant mass distributions
- Hints for the  $\sigma(600)$  presence in  $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  Dalitz plot

PLB634(2006)148 EPJC49(2007)473 NPB(PS)186(2009)290 PLB681(2009)5

• $\psi \rightarrow (\eta_0/\alpha_0) \gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{I} \mathbf{X} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{Y}$	
$T = \frac{10^{-8}}{10^{-8}}$	Para
$Br(\phi \to K^*K^*\gamma) < 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$	M <sub>s</sub> (
@ <b>90% C.L.</b>	<b>g</b> <sub>oww</sub>
[PLB679(2009)10]	<del>SSKK</del>
	SCPP

 $\phi \to (f | a) \to \mathbf{K}^0 \overline{\mathbf{K}}^0 \sigma$ 

Parameter	$\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	$π^0 π^0 γ$	$η π^0 γ$
M <sub>S</sub> (MeV)	<b>983.7</b>	<b>984.7</b> ± <b>1.9</b> mod	$982.5\pm1.6\pm1.1$
g <sub>SKK</sub> (GeV)	4.74	$\textbf{3.97} \pm \textbf{0.43}_{mod}$	$\textbf{2.15} \pm \textbf{0.06} \pm \textbf{0.06}$
g <sub>SPP</sub> (GeV)	-2.22	$-1.82{\pm}~0.19_{\rm mod}$	$\textbf{2.82} \pm \textbf{0.03} \pm \textbf{0.04}$
$g_{SKK}^2 / g_{SPP}^2$	~4.6	~4.8	~0.6

• **KLOE-2**:

 $-O(10 \text{ fb}^{-1}) \Rightarrow$  sensitivity to  $Br(\phi \rightarrow KK\gamma) \rightarrow \sim 10^{-8}$ 

with IT  $\Rightarrow$  "  $\sim 0.5 \times 10^{-8} \Rightarrow$  first observation possible

- contributions of  $f_0(980)$ ,  $a_0(980)$ ,  $\sigma(600)$  exchanges in  $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi \pi$  decays [Fariborz-Schechter PRD60(1999)034002]
- $-a_0(980)$  parameters can be improved

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ :  $f_0(980)$ 

( $\sigma$  with fixed parameters),

"No structure" with  $f_0(980)$  only

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

600) fixed parameters : hasov,Kiselev,PRD73(2006)054029]  $_{\sigma}$ =462 MeV;  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ =286 MeV <sub>5K+K-</sub>=0.5 GeV  $\mathbf{g}_{\sigma\pi+\pi-} = 2.4 \text{ GeV}$ 

			1 🔁
$f_0(980)$ param.	KL model	NS model	2
M <sub>f0</sub> (MeV)	976.8 ± 0.3 <sup>+10.1</sup> <sub>-0.6</sub>	$984.7 \pm 0.4^{+2.4}_{-3.7}$	-
$g_{\phi f \gamma}$ (GeV-1)	$2.78^{+0.02}_{-0.05}^{+1.32}_{-0.05}$	$2.61 \pm 0.02^{+0.31}_{-0.08}$	وربينيا 0 0.1
$g_{f\pi+\pi-}$ (GeV)	$-1.43 \pm 0.01^{+0.03}_{-0.60}$	$1.31 \pm 0.01^{+0.09}_{-0.03}$	
g <sub>fK+K-</sub> (GeV)	$3.76 \pm 0.04 + 1.17_{-0.49}$	$0.40 \pm 0.04^{+0.62}_{-0.29}$	σ(
$(g_{fK+K-}/g_{f\pi+\pi})^2$	~ 6.9	~ 0.09	M
$P(\gamma^2)$	14.5 %	4.2 %	g <sub>σ</sub>

• KL fit without  $\sigma(600) \implies P(\chi^2) \rightarrow 10^{-4}$ 

• Data sample: 450 pb<sup>-1</sup>  $\Rightarrow \sim 4 \times 10^5$  events

• Two contributions:  $\phi \rightarrow S\gamma$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$ 

P.Gauzzi

 $Br(\phi \to S\gamma \to \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma) = (1.07 + 0.01 + 0.04 + 0.05) - 0.03(fit) - 0.02(syst) - 0.06(mod)$ 

# $f_0(980)$ parameters

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Fit the  $\pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$  Dalitz plot and the M( $\pi^+\pi^-$ ) distribution with the same scalar amplitude (with  $\sigma(600)$  with fixed parameters)
- Latest version of the Kaon Loop model [N.Achasov]

$f_0(980)$ param.	$f_0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$	$f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
M <sub>f0</sub> (MeV)	<b>984.7</b>	<b>983.7</b>
$g_{f0\pi+\pi-}$ (GeV	-1.82	-2.22
g <sub>f0K+K-</sub> (GeV)	3.97	4.74
$R = (g_{f0K+K-}/g_{f0\pi+\pi-})^2$	~ 4.8	~ 4.6

	$f_0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0$	$f_0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
$g_{\phi f 0 \gamma}$ (GeV-1)	$\textbf{2.61} \pm \textbf{0.02}^{+0.31}_{-0.08}$	1.2 - 2.0

σ(600) fixed parameters :  $M_σ = 462 \text{ MeV}; \Gamma_σ = 286 \text{ MeV}$   $g_{\sigma K+K-} = 0.5 \text{ GeV}$   $g_{\sigma \pi+\pi-} = 2.4 \text{ GeV}$ Achasov,Kiselev,PRD73(2006)054029

Agreement between the two channels Next: combined fit

 g<sub>φf0γ</sub> from fit to No Structure model (point-like coupling φf<sub>0</sub>γ)
 [G.Isidori, L.Maiani et al., JHEP0605(2006)049]

#### **Fit results**

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

P.Gauzzi

37

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

π

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

FSR

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

π

ρπ

![](_page_37_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $\Rightarrow$  6.7×10<sup>5</sup> events selected

![](_page_37_Figure_6.jpeg)

**F-B** asymmetry

*C*<sub>ππ</sub> = +1

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

•  $f_0(980)$  evidence at M( $\pi\pi$ )  $\approx$  980 MeV

• Simulation with  $f_0$  and  $\sigma$  parameters from  $\pi^0\pi^0\gamma$  analysis

[Pancheri, Shekhovtsova Venanzoni, arXiv0706.3027]

 Recent analysis by A.Gallegos et al. [arXiv:0908]:
 comparison of KLOE data with 4 different models: KL, RχPT, UχPT and LσM P.Gauzzi

![](_page_38_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_9.jpeg)

# Unfolded $M_{\eta\pi}$ distribution

- To allow better comparison with other experimental results and theoretical models ⇒ unfolding procedure to correct data for detector and resolution effects
- Bayesian unfolding (avoids smearing matrix inversion)
  [G.D'Agostini, NIM A362 (1995), 487]
- Average of the two  $M_{\eta\pi}$ distributions

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

 $\phi \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \gamma$ :  $a_0(980)$ 

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

**1**)  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$  (Br=38.31%)  $\Rightarrow$  5 photon final state Total background = 55%

$$Br(\phi \to \eta \pi^0 \gamma) = (7.01 \pm 0.10_{stat} \pm 0.20_{syst}) \times 10^{-5}$$

2)  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  (Br=22.73%)  $\Rightarrow 5\gamma + 2$  tracks Total background = 15%

 $Br(\phi \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \gamma) = (7.12 \pm 0.13_{stat} \pm 0.22_{syst}) \times 10^{-5}$ 

- Combined fit of the two  $M(\eta \pi^0)$  distributions
  - $\Rightarrow \text{ Free parameter: } \mathbf{R}_{\eta} = \mathbf{Br}(\eta \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) / \mathbf{Br}(\eta \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} \pi^{0})$

	KL	NS	
M <sub>a0</sub> (MeV)	$982.5 \pm 1.6 \pm 1.1$	982.5 (fixed)	
g <sub>a K+K-</sub> (GeV)	$2.15 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.06$	$2.01 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.28$	
$g_{a\eta\pi}$ (GeV)	$2.82 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.04$	$2.46 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.11$	
$g_{\phi a\gamma} (\text{GeV}^1)$	$1.58 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.16$	$1.83 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.08$	M <sub>a0</sub>
$Br(VDM) \times 10^6$	$\textbf{0.92} \pm \textbf{0.40} \pm \textbf{0.15}$	~ 0	$\Gamma_{tot}($
R <sub>η</sub>	$1.70 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.03$	$1.70 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01$	(PD
$\mathbf{R}=(\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{K}+\mathbf{K}-}/\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{\eta}\pi})^2$	$0.58 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.03$	$0.67 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.13$	• VE
$\mathbf{P}(\chi^2)$	10.4%	30.9%	• <b>P</b> D

![](_page_40_Figure_9.jpeg)

## $a_0$ and $f_0$ couplings

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

		<b>SU(3</b> )		
		<b>4</b> q	4q qqbar	
$(g_{a0K+K-}/g_{a0\eta\pi})^2$	0.6 - 0.7	1.2 – 1.7	0.4	
	<b>Crystal Barrel: 0.525 ± 0.043</b>			
	SND (2000) : 1.8 ± 2.5			
$(g_{f0K+K-}/g_{f0\pi+\pi-})^2$	4.6 - 4.8	>>1	$>> 1 (f_0 = ssbar)$	1/4 (f <sub>0</sub> =nnbar)
	CMD-2 (1999) : 3.61 ± 0.62			
	<b>SND</b> (2000) : $4.6 \pm 0.8$			
	BES (2005) : $4.21 \pm 0.33$			
$(g_{f0K+K-}/g_{a0K+K-})^2$	4-5	1	2	1

• Large  $g_{\phi S\gamma} \Rightarrow$  sizeable *s* quark content ?

Meson	$g_{\phi M\gamma}(GeV^{-1})$
$\pi^0$	0.13
η	0.71
η΄	0.75
<i>a</i> <sub>0</sub> (980)	1.6 – 1.8
<i>f</i> <sub>0</sub> (980)	1.2 – 2.8

P.Gauzzi

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

80 60

40

20 990

4000

1000

bkg MC

1010

1020

1030

1040

- Small phase space( $2M_{K} \leq M_{KK} \leq M_{h}$ )  $\Rightarrow$  small Br expected  $(10^{-9} - 10^{-7})$
- "Golden channel"  $\phi \to K_S K_S \gamma \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
- Analyzed sample: 2.18 fb<sup>-1</sup>
- 5 events in data and 3.2 background events (MC)  $(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-(\gamma) \text{ from } \phi \rightarrow K_S K_L \text{ and from continuum})$

$$Br(\phi \rightarrow K^0 \overline{K}^0 \gamma) < 1.9 \times 10^{-8} @ 90\% C.L$$

[PLB679(2009),10]

- Consistency check: using the KLOE couplings from  $\phi \rightarrow \pi \pi \gamma$ ,  $\eta \pi^0 \gamma$  in the Kaon Loop model
- $\Rightarrow \operatorname{Br}(\phi \to \mathrm{K}^{0} \overline{\mathrm{K}}^{0} \gamma) = 4 \times 10^{-9} 6.8 \times 10^{-8}$
- KLOE-2 sensitivity (with Inner Tracker)  $\Rightarrow 0.5 \times 10^{-8}$  $\Rightarrow$  First observation possible

**P.Gauzzi** 

![](_page_42_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### *a*<sub>0</sub>(980) shape

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

• 600 pb<sup>-1</sup> with 
$$1000 < \sqrt{s} < 1030 \text{ MeV}$$

• Interference with  $\phi \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$  (OZI and G-parity viol.)

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega \pi^0$ 

 $\sigma_{\rm vis}(\sqrt{s}) = \sigma_{\rm nr}(\sqrt{s}) \left(1 - Z \frac{M_{\phi} \Gamma_{\phi}}{D_{\phi}(\sqrt{s})}\right)$ 

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\rm nr}(\sqrt{\rm s}) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_0 + \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \cdot (\sqrt{\rm s} - {\rm M}_{\phi})$$

Parameter	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
σ <sub>0</sub> [nb]	$7.89 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.07$	$0.724 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.003$
$\Re e(Z)$	$0.106 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.004$	$0.011 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.006$
$\Im m(Z)$	$-0.103 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.003$	$-0.154 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.004$
σ' [nb/MeV]	$0.064 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.001$	$0.0053 \pm 0.0005 \pm 0.0002$

• From  $\sigma_0(\pi^0\gamma)/\sigma_0(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$  (with rare Br's from PDG)

Br( $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ ) = (90.24 ± 0.19)%

Br( $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ ) = (8.09 ± 0.14)% (~3 σ from PDG) (8.92 ± 0.24)%

 $\Rightarrow Br(\phi \rightarrow \omega \pi^0) = (4.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$ 

η**→**⁄

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### **Mixing** η/η'

**Final state:**  $\pi^+\pi^- + 7 \gamma$ 

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

• 
$$\phi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma; \eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-; \eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$$
  
 $\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0; \eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$   
•  $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma; \eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ 

$$\mathbf{R} = \frac{\mathbf{Br}(\phi \to \eta' \gamma)}{\mathbf{Br}(\phi \to \eta \gamma)} = (4.77 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.19) \times 10^{-3}$$

[systematics dominated by  $\delta Br(\eta' \rightarrow \eta \pi \pi) = 3\%$ ]

 $\Rightarrow$  Br( $\phi \rightarrow \eta' \gamma$ ) = (6.20±0.11±0.15)×10<sup>-5</sup>

• Pseudoscalar mixing angle:  $(|q\overline{q}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|u\overline{u}\rangle + |d\overline{d}\rangle))$   $\eta = \cos \varphi_{P} |q\overline{q}\rangle - \sin \varphi_{P} |s\overline{s}\rangle$  $\eta' = \sin \varphi_{P} |q\overline{q}\rangle + \cos \varphi_{P} |s\overline{s}\rangle$ 

$$\mathbf{R} = \cot^2 \varphi_{\mathbf{P}} \left( 1 - \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{s}}}{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{NS}}}{\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{S}}} \cdot \frac{\tan \varphi_{\mathbf{V}}}{\sin 2\varphi_{\mathbf{P}}} \right)^2 \cdot \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{\eta}'}}{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{\eta}}} \right)^3$$

 $\varphi_{P} = (41.4 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9)^{\circ} \implies \vartheta_{P} = (-13.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.9)^{\circ}$ 

L= 427 pb<sup>-1</sup>  $N_{\eta'\gamma} = 3407 \pm 61 \pm 43$  ev.  $N_{\eta\gamma} = 16.7 \times 10^{6}$  ev.

**Inv.mass of**  $\pi^+\pi^-$ +  $6\gamma$  out of 7

![](_page_47_Figure_11.jpeg)

[PLB648(2007)267]

#### $\eta^\prime$ gluonium content

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

$\eta' = \mathbf{X}_{\eta'} \left  \mathbf{q} \overline{\mathbf{q}} \right\rangle + \mathbf{Y}_{\eta'} \left  \mathbf{s} \overline{\mathbf{s}} \right\rangle + \mathbf{Z}_{\eta'}$	$ \mathbf{G}\rangle \qquad \mathbf{New fit:} \qquad \mathbf{R} = \cot^2 \varphi_{\mathrm{P}} \cos^2 \varphi_{\mathrm{G}} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\overline{\mathbf{m}}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{NS}}}{\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{s}}} \cdot \frac{\tan \varphi_{\mathrm{V}}}{\sin 2 \varphi_{\mathrm{P}}}\right)^2$	$\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_{\eta'}}{\mathbf{p}_{\eta}}\right)^{3}$
$\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{\eta}'} = \cos\varphi_{\mathbf{G}}\sin\varphi_{\mathbf{P}}$ $\mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{\eta}'} = \cos\varphi_{\mathbf{G}}\cos\varphi_{\mathbf{P}}$	$\frac{\Gamma(\eta' \to \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma)}, \frac{\Gamma(\eta' \to \rho\gamma)}{\Gamma(\omega \to \pi^0\gamma)}, \frac{\Gamma(\eta' \to \omega\gamma)}{\Gamma(\omega \to \pi^0\gamma)}, \frac{\Gamma(\omega \to \eta\gamma)}{\Gamma(\omega \to \pi^0\gamma)}, $	PDG08+
$Z_{\eta'} = \sin \varphi_{G}$ [Rosner PRD27(1983) 1101, Kou PRD63(2001)54027]	$\frac{\Gamma(\rho \to \eta\gamma)}{\Gamma(\omega \to \pi^{0}\gamma)},  \frac{\Gamma(\phi \to \eta\gamma)}{\Gamma(\omega \to \pi^{0}\gamma)},  \frac{\Gamma(\phi \to \pi^{0}\gamma)}{\Gamma(\omega \to \pi^{0}\gamma)},  \frac{\Gamma(K^{*+} \to K^{+}\gamma)}{\Gamma(K^{*0} \to K^{0}\gamma)} \int 0$	KLOE ω→π <sup>0</sup> γ

$Z_{m'}^{2} = 0.5$	
0.45	$= 1 \left( \phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma \right) / 1 \left( \phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma \right) = 1 \left( \phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma \right) / 1 \left( \omega \rightarrow \pi^* \gamma \right)$
0.4	-
0.35	$\cdot \qquad \qquad$
0.3	
0.25	
0.2	. $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow \omega \gamma)/\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma)$
0.15	
0.1	- Γ(η'→ργ)/Γ(ω→π <sup>0</sup> γ)
0.05	
0 <mark>3</mark>	) 32 34 36 38 40 42 44 46 48 50
	φ <sub>P</sub> (°)
ease to	$4 - 5 \sigma$ [JHEP07(2009)105]

	New fit	PLB648	
$Z_{\eta}$ . <sup>2</sup>	$0.12 \pm 0.04$	$0.14 \pm 0.04$	
$\phi_P$ (deg.)	$40.4 \pm 0.6$ $39.7 \pm 0.6$		
C <sub>NS</sub>	0.94 ±0.03	$0.91 \pm 0.05$	
Cs	$0.83 \pm 0.05$	$\textbf{0.89} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	
$\phi_V$ (deg.)	$\textbf{3.32} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	3.2	
m <sub>s</sub> /m	$\boldsymbol{1.24 \pm 0.07}$	$\boldsymbol{1.24 \pm 0.07}$	
χ²/ndf	4.6/3	1.42 / 2	
$\mathbf{P}(\chi^2)$	20%	49%	

KLOE-2: by measuring the main  $\eta'$  Br's @ 1%  $\Rightarrow$  statistical significance of  $Z_{\eta'}^2$  will increase to  $4 - 5 \sigma$ 

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

• 
$$\eta \rightarrow \pi \pi \pi$$
 decay  $\Rightarrow$  Isospin violation  $L_{I} = -\frac{1}{2}(m_{u} - m_{d})(\overline{u}u - \overline{d}d)$ 

 $\phi \rightarrow \eta \gamma; \eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \Rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- + 3\gamma$  (E<sub>yrec</sub> = 363 MeV) 450 pb<sup>-1</sup>  $\Rightarrow$  1.34 × 10<sup>6</sup> events in the Dalitz plot

$$X = \sqrt{3} \frac{E_{+} - E_{-}}{Q}; Y = 3 \frac{E_{0} - m_{0}}{Q}$$
$$(Q = m_{\eta} - 2m_{\pi^{\pm}} - m_{\pi^{0}})$$

*c*, *e* compatible with zero (C violation)
fit without cubic term (*f*Y<sup>3</sup>) ⇒ P(χ<sup>2</sup>) ~ 10<sup>-6</sup>

A(X,	$ Y ^2 =$	1+ <i>a</i> Y+ <i>b</i>	$Y^2 + cX + c$	$dX^2 + eX$	$Y + fY^3$
------	-----------	-------------------------	----------------	-------------	------------

a	$-1.090 \pm 0.005 ^{+0.008} .0.019$
b	$0.124 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.010$
С	$0.002 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.001$
d	$0.057 \pm 0.006 ^{+0.007}_{-0.016}$
е	$-0.006 \pm 0.007 ^{+0.005}_{-0.003}$
f	$0.14 \pm 0.01 \pm 0.02$
$P(\chi^2)$	73%

 $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ 

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Asymmetries ⇔ C violation

![](_page_50_Figure_3.jpeg)

• All asymmetries compatible with zero at 10<sup>-3</sup> level

[JHEP0805(2008)006]

P.Gauzzi

51

#### $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \pi^0$ : fit procedure

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

The fit is done using a binned likelihood approach

We obtain an estimate of  $\alpha$  by minimizing

$$-\sum_{i}n_{i}\log(\mathbf{v}_{i}(\alpha))$$

Where:

- $n_i = recostructed events$
- $v_i$  = for each MC event (according pure phase space):
- ✓ Evaluate its  $z_{true}$  and its  $z_{rec}$  (if any!)
- $\checkmark\,$  Enter an histogram with the value of  $z_{rec}$
- ✓ Weight the entry with  $1 + 2 \alpha z_{true}$
- ✓ Weight the event with the fraction of combinatorial background, for the signal (bkg) if it has correct (wrong) pairing

### **Dark Matter search**

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

- **Recent unexpected astrophysical observations (PAMELA, ATIC, INTEGRAL**, **DAMA/LIBRA**) can be interpreted by assuming the existence of a low mass [O(1 GeV)] dark matter sector that interacts with SM particles through a mixing of a new gauge field, U, with hypercharge [Essig et al., arXiv:0903.3941]
- **Possible signatures:** 
  - if  $m_U < M_{\oplus} \Rightarrow e^+e^- \rightarrow U\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma \Rightarrow$  resonances in  $\ell^+\ell^-$  invariant mass
  - if there is a Higgs-like particle (h') in the dark sector, with  $m_{h'} < M_{\Phi}$ higgs'-strahlung  $e^+e^- \rightarrow U^* \rightarrow Uh'$ , with  $U \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^$ two leptons + missing energy (h' undetected)
  - if  $m_{h'} < 2 m_{U} \Rightarrow$  multilepton events

![](_page_52_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_8.jpeg)

If mixing parameter  $k \rightarrow 10^{-2} - 10^{-3} \Rightarrow \sigma \sim 1$  pb (observable at KLOE-2)