# Spin determination of single-produced resonances at the LHC



Nhan Tran Johns Hopkins University ICHEP 2010 23.07.2010

( -



#### What if we find a resonance at the LHC?

- LHC is a discovery machine
- Resonances a sign of Higgs or beyond SM physics
- But which?
  And how can we distinguish?
  - Mass and width
  - Cross-section and branching fractions
  - Angular distributions and spin correlations past contributions countless, most recent advances to be discussed Gao, Gritsan, Guo, Melnikov, Schulze, N.T. 2010 [arXiv:1001.3396] PRD81,075022(2010) De Rujula, Lykken, Pierini, Spiropulu, Rogan 2010 [arXiv:1001.5300]

Techniques and analysis tools for determining the spin, parity, and interactions with SM fields of a resonance by analyzing the angular distributions of its decay products.





#### Some motivated examples

- Spin-zero
  - SM Higgs, J<sup>P</sup> = 0<sup>+</sup>, or other non-SM scalar
  - Pseudoscalar  $\mathcal{J}^{\mathcal{P}} = 0^-$ , multi-Higgs case
- Spin-one
  - Heavy photon
  - Kaluza-Klein gluon
- Spin-two
  - RS Graviton,  $\mathcal{J}^{p} = 2^{+}$ : classic model
    - SM fields localized to TeV brane
  - Non-classic RS Graviton model
    - SM fields in the bulk
- Hidden valley models
  - "Hidden glueballs"







#### Single-produced resonances

Consider a colorless, chargeless X with J = 0,1, or 2 and  $J_Z = 0,\pm 1$ , or  $\pm 2$ 



- gluon fusion:  $\mathcal{J} = 0,2$ 
  - $J_Z = 0$  or  $J_Z = \pm 2$
  - expect to dominate at low mass
- q-qbar: J = 1,2
  - $J_z = \pm 1$
  - assume chiral symmetry exact



- Decay to fermions
  - X→l+l-,qqbar
  - As  $m_f \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = 0$  excluded
- Decay to gauge bosons
  - $X \rightarrow ZZ, W^+W^-, gg, \gamma\gamma$



### Program

- A model independent approach: choose most general couplings of a spin-zero, -one, -two particle to SM fields
- Analysis applicable to many cases such as ZZ,  $W^+W^-$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ , gg,  $l^+l^-$ :  $2\rightarrow 2$  analysis via production angle, cos  $\theta^*$
- Focus on the  $X \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$  decay channel
  - Final state fully reconstructed accurately
  - More information in four-body final state
  - ZZ decay can be large or even dominant





\*data = MC generator based on amplitudes



# Helicity amplitude formalism

Helicity amplitudes: contributions to the total amplitude from the different daughter helicities <u>Determined by theory, measured by experiment</u>

Example:

Massive gauge bosons (W,Z) have  $J_z = 0,\pm 1$  possible helicity states; 9 total amplitudes,  $A_{kl}$ 



6



#### Theory to experiment:

General amplitudies to helicity amplitudes

Interactions of spin-two X to two gauge bosons:

$$A(X \to ZZ) = \Lambda^{-1} \underbrace{e_1^{*\mu} e_2^{*\nu}}_{1} \underbrace{c_1(q_1 q_2) t_{\mu\nu} + c_2 g_{\mu\nu} t_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{q}^{\alpha} \tilde{q}^{\beta} + c_3 \frac{q_{2\mu} q_{1\nu}}{M_X^2} t_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{q}^{\alpha} \tilde{q}^{\beta} + 2c_4 q_{1\nu} q_2^{\alpha} t_{\mu\alpha}}_{M_X^2} + q_{2\mu} q_1^{\alpha} t_{\nu\alpha} + c_5 t_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\tilde{q}^{\alpha} \tilde{q}^{\beta}}{M_X^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} q_1^{\rho} q_2^{\sigma} + c_6 t^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{q}_{\beta} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\rho} q^{\rho} + \underbrace{c_7 t^{\alpha\beta} \tilde{q}^{\beta}}_{M_X^2} (\epsilon_{\alpha\mu\rho\sigma} q^{\rho} \tilde{q}^{\sigma} q_{\nu} + \epsilon_{\alpha\nu\rho\sigma} q^{\rho} \tilde{q}^{\sigma} q_{\mu}) \Big]$$

Dimensionless *complex* coupling constants Gauge boson polarization vectors

By applying gauge boson polarization vectors to the general amplitudes, we can read off the helicity amplitudes

For massive gauge boson, can have 9  $A_{kl}$  where  $k, l = 0, \pm 1$ 

$$\begin{aligned} A_{+-} &= A_{-+} = \frac{m_X^2}{4\Lambda} c_1 \left(1 + \beta^2\right) \,, \qquad \qquad A_{+0} = A_{0+} = \frac{m_X^3}{m_V \sqrt{2\Lambda}} \left[ \frac{c_1}{8} \left(1 + \beta^2\right) + \frac{c_4}{2} \beta^2 - \frac{c_6 + c_7 \beta^2}{2} i\beta \right] \,, \\ A_{++} &= \frac{m_X^2}{\sqrt{6\Lambda}} \left[ \frac{c_1}{4} \left(1 + \beta^2\right) + 2c_2 \beta^2 + i\beta(c_5 \beta^2 - 2c_6) \right] \,, \quad A_{-0} = A_{0-} = \frac{m_X^3}{m_V \sqrt{2\Lambda}} \left[ \frac{c_1}{8} \left(1 + \beta^2\right) + \frac{c_4}{2} \beta^2 + \frac{c_6 + c_7 \beta^2}{2} i\beta \right] \,, \\ A_{--} &= \frac{m_X^2}{\sqrt{6\Lambda}} \left[ \frac{c_1}{4} \left(1 + \beta^2\right) + 2c_2 \beta^2 - i\beta(c_5 \beta^2 - 2c_6) \right] \,, \quad A_{00} = \frac{m_X^4}{m_V^2 \sqrt{6\Lambda}} \left[ \left(1 + \beta^2\right) \left(\frac{c_1}{8} - \frac{c_2}{2} \beta^2\right) - \beta^2 \left(\frac{c_3}{2} \beta^2 - c_4\right) \right] \,. \end{aligned}$$

We do the same thing for spin-zero and spin-one  $X_{7}$ 



### Definition of the system



 $\theta^*, \Phi_1: \underline{\text{production}} \text{ angles} \\ \theta_1, \theta_2, \Phi: \underline{\text{helicity}} \text{ angles, independent of production}$ 



#### Angular distributions

#### General spin-J angular distribution

$$\begin{split} F_{00}^{J}(\theta^{*}) \times & \left\{ 4 f_{00} \sin^{2} \theta_{1} \sin^{2} \theta_{2} + (f_{++} + f_{--}) \left( (1 + \cos^{2} \theta_{1}) (1 + \cos^{2} \theta_{2}) + 4R_{1}R_{2} \cos \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. - 2 \left( f_{++} - f_{--} \right) \left( R_{1} \cos \theta_{1} (1 + \cos^{2} \theta_{2}) + R_{2} (1 + \cos^{2} \theta_{1}) \cos \theta_{2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 4\sqrt{f_{++}f_{00}} \left( R_{1} - \cos \theta_{1} \right) \sin \theta_{1} \left( R_{2} - \cos \theta_{2} \right) \sin \theta_{2} \cos (\Phi + \phi_{++}) \right. \\ & \left. + 4\sqrt{f_{--}f_{00}} \left( R_{1} + \cos \theta_{1} \right) \sin \theta_{1} \left( R_{2} + \cos \theta_{2} \right) \sin \theta_{2} \cos (\Phi - \phi_{--}) \right. \\ & \left. + 2\sqrt{f_{++}f_{--}} \sin^{2} \theta_{1} \sin^{2} \theta_{2} \cos (2\Phi + \phi_{++} - \phi_{--}) \right\} \\ & \left. + 4F_{11}^{J}(\theta^{*}) \times \left\{ \left( f_{+0} + f_{0-} \right) (1 - \cos^{2} \theta_{1} \cos^{2} \theta_{2}) - \left( f_{+0} - f_{0-} \right) \left( R_{1} \cos \theta_{1} \sin^{2} \theta_{2} + R_{2} \sin^{2} \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 2\sqrt{f_{+0}f_{0-}} \sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} \left( R_{1}R_{2} - \cos \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2} \right) \cos (\Phi + \phi_{+0} - \phi_{0-}) \right\} \\ & \left. + \left( -1 \right)^{J} \times 4F_{-11}^{J}(\theta^{*}) \times \left\{ \left( f_{+0} + f_{0-} \right) \left( R_{1}R_{2} + \cos \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2} \right) - \left( f_{+0} - f_{0-} \right) \left( R_{1} \cos \theta_{2} + R_{2} \cos \theta_{1} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + 2\sqrt{f_{+0}f_{0-}} \sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} \cos (\Phi + \phi_{+0} - \phi_{0-}) \right\} \sin \theta_{1} \sin \theta_{2} \cos (2\Psi) \\ & \left. + 2F_{22}^{J}(\theta^{*}) \times f_{+-} \left\{ \left( 1 + \cos^{2} \theta_{1} \right) \left( 1 + \cos^{2} \theta_{2} \right) - 4R_{1}R_{2} \cos \theta_{1} \cos \theta_{2} \right\} \\ & \left. + \left( -1 \right)^{J} \times 2F_{-22}^{J}(\theta^{*}) \times f_{+-} \sin^{2} \theta_{1} \sin^{2} \theta_{2} \cos (4\Psi) \\ \end{matrix} \right\}$$

 $J_z = 0$ 



 $J_z = \pm 2$ 

+ interference terms

- Spin-zero X: only  $J_z = 0$  part contributes
- Spin-one X: only  $\mathcal{J}_Z = \pm 1$  part contributes
- Spin-two X: all contributions exist  $J_z = 0,\pm 1,\pm 2$



### MC Simulation

- A MC program developed to simulate production and decay of X with spin-zero, -one, or -two
  - Includes all spin correlations and all general couplings
  - Inputs are general dimensionless couplings calculates matrix elements
  - Both gg and qqbar production
  - Contains both final states for  $ZZ \rightarrow 4l$  and  $ZZ \rightarrow 2l2j$
  - Output in LHE format; can interface to Pythia
  - All code publicly available: www.pha.jhu.edu/spin

Example of agreement for MC (points) and angular distributions (lines)





#### MC Simulation



N.B. 1D projections of angles for illustration, statistical power comes from 5D *angular correlations* 



# What we do in practice...

- To determine the helicity amplitudes, we need
  - Data: our MC generator
  - Angular distributions
  - Detector: approximate model with acceptance and smearing
  - Fit: multivariate likelihood method
- Fit used for
  - "Hypothesis separation" study: lower statistics, how much separation between different signal hypotheses achieved?
  - "Parameter fitting" study: higher statistics, how well can we determine the parameters of a certain hypothesis?

#### Example: Hypothesis separation of signal scenarios near time of discovery We can already make a

statement about spin/CP!

	$0^{-}$	1+	1-	$2_m^+$	$2_L^+$	$2^{-}$
$0^{+}$	4.1	2.3	2.6	2.8	2.6	3.3
$0^{-}$	_	3.1	3.0	2.4	4.8	2.9
$1^{+}$	-	( <b>.</b> )	2.2	2.6	3.6	2.9
$1^{-}$	_	<u></u>	<u> </u>	1.8	3.8	3.4
$2_m^+$	-	—	—	—	3.8	3.2
$2_L^+$		34 <u>—1</u> 5	<u> </u>	<u></u>		4.3



# Conclusion and outlook

- A program is developed to determine the spin of a resonance in a model-independent way
- A MC generator is introduced which simulates production and decay of spin-zero, -one, -two resonance including all spin correlations
- Data analysis is performed using multivariate likelihood method for both hypothesis separation and parameter fitting
- We need to be ready for anything!
  - Should not be limited to certain models; consider most general cases
- Use all information available!
  - Full 5D formalism provides the best separation and background suppression
  - At time of discovery, can already constrain spin/CP