

Electroweak non-resonant corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ in the $t\bar{t}$ resonance region Pedro Ruiz-Femenía

In collaboration with Martin Beneke and Bernd Jantzen arXiv: 1004.2188 [hep-ph]

- I Top-pair production at linear colliders near threshold
- II Evaluation of electroweak non-resonant NLO contributions
- III Results & comparisons
- IV Conclusions & outlook



I. Top-pair production near threshold

Future linear colliders (ILC/CLIC) with $\sqrt{s} \gtrsim 2m_t \simeq 350 \text{ GeV}$ will produce lots of $t\bar{t}$ pairs, allowing for a threshold scan of the top cross section

 \hookrightarrow Precise determination of the top mass m_t , the width Γ_t and the Yukawa coupling λ_t without the uncertainties ambiguities of hadron colliders $\rightarrow \delta m_t^{\text{exp}} \simeq 30 \text{ MeV}$



 $\rightarrow m_t$ is a crucial input for electroweak precision observables!

Requires also precise theoretical prediction

 $\Rightarrow \delta\sigma/\sigma \sim 2 - 3\% \ (\delta\sigma \sim 5 \text{ fb below threshold})$

QCD corrections are known (almost) up to NNNLO order, but electroweak (EW) NLO contributions due to top decay were missing!

Note: once EW effects are turned on, the physical final state is $W^+W^-b\bar{b}$



 $\xrightarrow{\gamma, Z} t \xrightarrow{v}_{\overline{t}}^{b} \Rightarrow \sigma(e^+e^- \to W^+W^-b\overline{b}) \text{ in the } t\overline{t} \text{ resonance region}$ and allow for invariant-mass cuts on reconstructed t, \overline{t}



STATUS OF QCD CORRECTIONS

Decay $t \to bW^+$ with $\Gamma_t \approx 1.5 \text{ GeV} \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ $\Rightarrow t\bar{t}$ is perturbative at threshold Kühn,

Bigi, Dokshitzer, Khoze, Kühn, Zerwas ´86

Top quarks move slowly near threshold: $v = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_t^2}{s}} \sim \alpha_s \ll 1$ $\hookrightarrow \text{ sum } \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^n$ from "Coulomb gluons" to all orders $\to \mathbb{NRQCD}$ $R = \frac{\sigma_{t\bar{t}}}{\sigma_{\mu+\mu^-}} = v \sum_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{v}\right)^n \left(\{1\}_{\text{LO}} + \{\alpha_s, v\}_{\text{NLO}} + \{\alpha_s^2, \alpha_s v, v^2\}_{\text{NNLO}} + \dots\right)$

Further RG improvement by summing also $(\alpha_s \ln v)^m$: LL, NLL, ...





Pedro Ruiz-Femenía Electroweak non-resonant corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ in the $t\bar{t}$ resonance region

3/12

UNSTABLE PARTICLE EFFECTS

Effective field theory (EFT) for pair production of unstable particles near threshold, based on separation of resonant and nonresonant fluctuations

Hoang, Reisser '05 🖛

Beneke, Chapovsky, Khoze, Signer, Zanderighi ´01-´04; Actis, Beneke, Falgari, Schwinn, Signer, Zanderighi ´07-´08

• power counting for finite width effects:

$$rac{\Gamma_t}{m_t} \sim lpha_{\rm EW} \sim lpha_s^2 \sim v^2 \ll 1$$

- hard modes $\sim m_t$ (including top decay products) are integrated out \rightsquigarrow EFT with potential (nearly on-shell) top quarks and ultrasoft gluons
- Extract cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ from appropriate cuts of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ forward-scattering amplitude:



 \Rightarrow Hard corrections encoded in matching coefficients of operators



ELECTROWEAK EFFECTS

Electroweak effects at LO

• Replacement rule: $E = \sqrt{s} - 2m_t \rightarrow E + i\Gamma_t$ Fadin, Khoze '87

Electroweak effects at NLO

- Exchange of "Coulomb photon": trivially extension of QCD corrections
- Gluon exchange involving the bottom quarks in the final state ⇒ these contributions vanish at NLO for the total cross section, Fadin, Khoze, Martin '94; Melnikov, Yakovlev '94 also negligible if loose top invariant-mass cuts are applied



- Non-resonant (hard) corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ which account for the production of the Wb pairs by highly virtual tops or with only one or no top
 - \hookrightarrow topic of this talk!

Also:

the resonant NNLO corrections produce "finite-width divergences" $\propto \frac{\alpha_s \Gamma_t}{\epsilon}$ which must be cancelled by non-resonant NNLO contributions !



II. Evaluation of EW non-resonant NLO contributions

Non-resonant corrections at **NLO**:

- $\Rightarrow \text{cuts through } bW^+\bar{t} \text{ (see diagrams)} \\ \text{and } \bar{b}W^-t \text{ (not shown) in the} \\ \text{2-loop forward scattering amplitude} \end{cases}$
- treat loop-momenta as hard: $p_t^2 - m_t^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(m_t^2) \gg \Sigma(p_t^2) \sim m_t^2 \alpha_{\text{EW}}$ $\rightarrow \Gamma_t = 0$
- suppressed w.r.t. LO $(\sim v)$ by $lpha_{
 m EW}/v\sim lpha_s$
- expansion in

$$\delta = \frac{s - 4m_t^2}{4m_t^2}$$

 \Leftrightarrow at NLO:

$$s = 4m_{t}^{2}$$

[but keep the full s-dependence in γ/Z propagators]



 bW^+ without intermediate top

h2



Pedro Ruiz-Femenía Electroweak non-resonant corrections to $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ in the $t\bar{t}$ resonance region

Form of non-resonant contributions

In terms of the invariant mass of the bW^+ system, $p_t^2 = (p_b + p_{W^+})^2$, $(p_t \rightarrow \text{also momentum of the top line for h1-h4})$ diagrams h1-h10 read:

$$\int_{\Delta^2}^{m_t^2} dp_t^2 \, (m_t^2 - p_t^2)^{1/2 - \epsilon} \, H_i\!\left(\frac{p_t^2}{m_t^2}, \frac{M_W^2}{m_t^2}\right)$$

with $\Delta^2 = M_W^2$ for the total cross section [Phase-space factor $(m_t^2 - p_t^2)^{1/2-\epsilon}$ in dim. reg. regularizes the end-point singularity for h1]

Applying invariant-mass cuts

Restrict invariant masses of the reconstructed $t, \bar{t}: |\sqrt{p_{t,\bar{t}}^2 - m_t}| \le \Delta M_t$ \hookrightarrow lower integration limit $\Delta^2 = m_t^2 - \Lambda^2$ where $\Lambda^2 = (2m_t - \Delta M_t)\Delta M_t$

We focus on loose cuts with $\Lambda^2 \gg m_t \Gamma_t$ (corresponding to $\Delta M_t \gg \Gamma_t$) \rightsquigarrow cut has no effect in the resonant contributions

[In contrast: for tight cuts with $\Lambda^2 \sim m_t \Gamma_t$ ($\Delta M_t \sim \Gamma_t$), non-resonant contributions vanish and cuts only affect the resonant contributions]



III. Results & comparisons

Non-resonant NLO contributions: from numeric integration over p_t^2 (and over one angle for some diagrams), the integrand is an analytic function of p_t^2/m_t^2 and M_W^2/m_t^2 ; cut-dependence enters through the integration limit



Parameters: on-shell (pole) masses, $m_t = 172 \text{ GeV}$, $\Gamma_t = \Gamma_t^{\text{tree}} = 1.46550 \text{ GeV}$, α and $\sin^2 \theta_W$ from G_F , M_W , M_Z



Pedro Ruiz-Femenía Electroweak non-resonant corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ in the $t\bar{t}$ resonance region

8/12

"Phase-space matching" method Hoang, Reisser, RF '10 arXiv: 1002.3223

• Non-resonant contributions obtained for moderate invariant-mass cuts, $m_t\Gamma_t \ll \Lambda^2 \ll m_t^2$, as a series:

$$\frac{\Gamma_t}{\Lambda} \sum_{n,\ell} \left[\left(\frac{m_t \Gamma_t}{\Lambda^2} \right)^n \times \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{m_t^2} \right)^\ell \right] \qquad n,\ell = 0, 1, \dots$$

- NLO, NNLO and (partial) N^3LO contributions obtained \checkmark
- Assumption: non-resonant background processes are small (\checkmark at NLO!)
- Beyond NLO, phase-space matching approach cannot be applied to larger cuts up to the total cross section \times
- Expansion of our result in $(\Lambda/m_t)^n$ agrees with first two terms in series above [higher powers receive contributions from non-t diagrams h5-h10, which are not taken into account in the phase-space matching approach]



COMPARISON TO MADGRAPH/MADEVENT

 \hookrightarrow generated 10⁴ events for $e^+e^- \to W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ with MadGraph (MG) for $s = 4m_t^2$, and analyzed dependence on the bW invariant-mass cut ΔM_t

EFT result: resonant LO+NNLO ($\alpha_s = 0$) + non-resonant NLO





IV. Conclusions & outlook

EW non-resonant corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ in the $t\bar{t}$ resonance region

- NLO completed by EW non-resonant contributions for total cross section and with top invariant-mass cuts
- correction of ~ -30 fb (-3% above and up to -20% below threshold) for the total cross section, even more with invariant-mass cuts
 - \hookrightarrow can be added to existing QCD results to improve accuracy of theoretical prediction
- Good agreement with tree-level Madgraph cross section for loose and tight cuts
- Complementary to phase-space matching approach for intermediate cuts

Future improvements

- add initial-state radiation and convolution with electron distribution functions
- add gluon exchange to non-resonant contributions \rightarrow full EW NNLO corrections



Backup slides



$e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-b\bar{b}$ tree-level cross section: energy dependence for different ΔM_t invariant-mass cuts

