# Hadronic contribution to g-2 from lattice QCD

Dru Renner NIC, DESY

# work with X. Feng, M. Petschlies, C. Urbach, and K. Jansen [ETMC]







## Muon g-2

• muon g - 2: high precision theory, exp. and BSM implications

$$a_{\mu} = \frac{g_{\mu} - 2}{2}$$

• radiative corrections cause g to differ from 2

$$a_{\mu} = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \alpha^2 A_{\text{pt}} + \alpha^2 A_{\text{had}} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha^3)$$

• leading-order hadronic contribution dominates theory uncertainty

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{had}} \equiv \alpha^2 A_{\text{had}}$$

• first lattice QCD calculation to control most major sources of error

• experimental measurement at BNL [Muon G-2, PRD73:072003, 2006]

 $a_{\mu}^{\text{ex}} = 11659208.0(6.3) \times 10^{-10} [0.54 \text{ ppm}]$ 

• standard model "prediction" [Jegerlehner, Nyffeler Phys.Rept.477, 2009]

 $a_{\mu}^{\text{th}} = 11659179.0(6.5) \times 10^{-10} \text{ [0.58 ppm]}$ 

• discrepancy between theory and experiment

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{ex}} - a_{\mu}^{\text{th}} = 29.0(9.1) \times 10^{-10} \ [3.2\,\sigma]$$

• leading-order hadronic contribution dominates theory error

 $a_{\mu}^{had} = 690.3(5.3) \times 10^{-10}$  [60% of theory error]

• vacuum polarization by quarks or equivalently hadrons





• vacuum polarization function

$$\pi_{\mu\nu}(q^2) = \int d^4x \, e^{iq \cdot (x-y)} \langle J_{\mu}(x) J_{\nu}(y) \rangle = (q_{\mu}q_{\nu} - q^2 \delta_{\mu\nu}) \pi(q^2)$$

• leading-order hadronic contribution [Blum, PRL95:052001, 2003]

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{had}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dQ^2 w (Q^2/m_{\mu}^2) \frac{(\pi(Q^2) - \pi(0))}{Q^2}$$

• w is known function of  $Q^2/m_{\mu}^2$  only

• the leading-order hadronic contribution

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{had}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dQ^2 w (Q^2/m_{\mu}^2) \frac{(\pi(Q^2) - \pi(0))}{Q^2}$$



- $w(Q^2/m_\mu^2)$  is maximum at  $Q^2 = (\sqrt{5}-2)m_\mu^2 \approx 0.003~{\rm GeV^2}$
- lowest momentum on lattice is  $Q_{\rm min}^2 = (2\pi/T)^2 \approx 0.06~{\rm GeV^2}$

- $N_F = 2$  maximally-twisted mass fermions from ETMC
- physical observables are accurate to  $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$  at maximal twist

a [fm]	$L^3 \times T/a^4$	<i>L</i> [fm]	$m_{\pi}$ [MeV]					
0.079	$20^{3} \times 40$	1.6		350				
0.079	$24^3 \times 48$	1.9		340*	420*	480	520	650
0.079	$32^3 \times 64$	2.5	290	330*				
0.063	$24^3 \times 48$	1.5				450		
0.063	$32^3 \times 64$	2.0		330*		450*	520	

- disconnected diagrams included for 5 ensembles (denoted by \*)
- we calculate with a quenched s, degenerate with u and d
- See [PoS LATTICE2008:129, (2008)] for more details

• must match to a smooth function in  $Q^2$  to integrate

$$a_{\mu}^{\text{had}} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dQ^2 w (Q^2/m_{\mu}^2) \frac{(\pi(Q^2) - \pi(0))}{Q^2}$$

• analyticity suggests polynomials

$$\pi(Q^2) = \sum_n a_n (Q^2)^n$$

• physical models/chiral pert. theory suggestions  $\rho$  contribution

$$\pi(Q^2) = -\frac{f_v^2}{3} \left[ \frac{3}{Q^2 + m_\rho^2} + \frac{1}{Q^2 + m_\omega^2} \right]$$

• systematics from functional choice are part of finite-size effect

• example extrapolation of  $\pi(Q^2)$  used to calculate  $a_{\mu}^{had}$ 



• one example of 12: a = 0.079 fm,  $m_{\pi} = 420$  MeV, L/a = 24

## Rho Decay

•  $m_{\rho}$  from  $\pi(Q^2)$  agrees with resonance masses from X. Feng [LAT2010]



• similar agreement between  $m_{\rho}$ ,  $f_{\rho}$  and standard ETMC calc.

#### **Finite-Size Effects**

• no statistically significant finite-size effects seen



• same conclusion from vol. study with  $m_{\pi} = 450$  Mev, a = 0.063 fm

• shift is within  $1\sigma$  of connected contribution



• disc. contribution increases noise but not insurmountable

• finite-size effects and disc. contr. already shown to be within errors



• lattice artifacts are also apparently small compared to the errors

- calculated the leading-order hadronic contribution to muon g-2
- studied quark mass dependence from  $m_{\pi} = 290$  to 650 MeV
- examined lattice artifacts, finite-size effects and discon. diagrams
- prelim. result  $a_{\mu}^{had} = 595 \pm 120$  agrees with SM result  $690 \pm 5$

# **Extra Slides**

#### **Rho Mass**

• calculate  $m_{\rho}$  from  $\sum_{i} \langle J_{i}(\vec{q}=0,t) J_{i}(\vec{q}=0,0) \rangle$ 



• compare to standard calc. from ETMC [PRD80:054510, 2009]

• calculate  $f_{\rho}$  from  $\sum_{i} \langle J_{i}(\vec{q}=0,t) J_{i}(\vec{q}=0,0) \rangle$ 



• compare to standard calc. from ETMC [PRD80:054510, 2009]

#### **Disconnected Example**

• disconnected contribution from M. Petschlies



• one example of five: a = 0.079 fm,  $m_{\pi} = 420$  MeV, L/a = 24

• full error includes error propagation of  $m_{\rho}$  into  $a_{\mu}^{had}$ 



• our partial error analysis gives similar results as for asqtad