# Quarkonium production at the Tevatron and the LHC

35<sup>th</sup> International Conference on High Energy Physics Paris, 2010

PIERRE ARTOISENET, The Ohio State University

July 22, 2010

## **NRQCD** factorization

The cross section for inclusive quarkonium production is expressed as a sum of products of short-distance coefficients and long-distance matrix elements

$$\sigma[\mathcal{Q}] = \sum_{n} \hat{\sigma}_{\Lambda}[Q\bar{Q}(n)] \langle \mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{Q}}(n) \rangle_{\Lambda}$$

#### **SD** coefficients

many recent works have been devoted to improving their accuracy, i.e. by computing higherorder corrections in α<sub>s</sub>

#### LD matrix elements

for the color-octet, no theoretical tool to constrain the LDME's other than the power counting rules in v

#### Direct production vs feed-down

- Quarkonium production can also proceed via the decay of heavier hadrons (feed-down)
- \* For  $J/\psi$  production at the Tevatron:
  - b-hadron decays: EXP: Tevatron II, b→J/ψ+X accounts for 10% of the inclusive production rate at p<sub>T</sub>=1.5 GeV (increasing to 45% at p<sub>T</sub>=20 GeV) [CDF collaboration, 04]
    - TH: FONLL scheme [Cacciari, Greco, Nason], good agreement between th. and exp.
  - \* feed-down from charmonium states:
    - EXP: Tevatron I,  $\psi(2S) \rightarrow J/\psi\pi\pi$  and  $\chi_c \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma$  accounts for 35% of the prompt production rate [CDF collaboration, 97]
    - TH: NRQCD calculation recently extended at NLO [Ma, Wang, Chao]

#### In this talk: focus on direct J/ $\psi$ or $\psi$ (2S) hadroproduction

## $J/\psi$ direct production

Th. status of direct J/ $\psi$  production at the Tevatron I: 9 years ago



color-octet dominance:

• LO + fragmentation colorsinglet channels undershoot the CDF data by more than an order of magnitude.

• Color-octet contributions fitted to the data describe well the shape in p<sub>T</sub>, and the values of the CO LDME's agree with the power counting rules in v.

• similar situation for prompt  $\psi(2S)$  production

 ${}^{3}S_{1}[8]$ 

## Color-octet channels: th. vs exp. (update)

\* More recent results have challenged the previous picture







#### Gong, Li, Wang; 08:

NLO correction has little impact on the pheno very small correction to the polarization [also investigated in the frag. approx: Ma 95, Beneke & Rothstein 96, Braaten & Lee, 00].

## Color-singlet channel: th. vs exp. (update)

#### NLO correction:

Campbell, Maltoni, Tramontano, 07 Artoisenet, Lansberg, Maltoni, 07

Gong, Wang, 08:



- Fragmentation approach at large p<sub>T</sub>:
  - leading pT component:
    - single-parton fragmentation
      [Braaten, Yuan, 93]
  - next-to-leading pT component
    - charm-quark pair fragmentation

[Kang, Qiu, Sterman, in preparation]

huge enhancement at large p<sub>T</sub>. at α<sub>s</sub><sup>4</sup>:
 large th. unc., mainly from variations of the scales →size of higher-order corrections ? (see talk by J.-P. Lansberg)



Wednesday 21 July 2010

## What can we learn from the first LHC data ?

\* observable:  $p_T$  spectrum associated with J/ $\psi$  production

σ<sup>tot</sup>: large th. uncertainties since dominated by low p<sub>T</sub> polarization: measurements require sample with large statistics

- \* calculation scheme: NRQCD at leading order in  $\alpha_s$ 
  - QCD correction to color-octet channels have negligible impact on the pheno (at least for the S-wave) [Gong, Wang, 08]
  - \* QCD corrections to the color-singlet yield might have a large impact on the phenomenology, but current th. uncertainties are very large

This scheme provides a test of the color-octet dominance picture for a different collision energy and over a wider p<sub>T</sub> range

## Additional ingredient:

resummation of  $[\alpha_s \log (m_c/p_T)]^n$  to all order in  $\alpha_s$  by solving the DGLAP equation for the evolution fragmentation function  $d_{g \rightarrow c \overline{c}_8}({}^3S_1)(z,\mu)$ 



based on a calculation by Maltoni & Petrelli

The short-distance coefficient for the color-octet <sup>3</sup>S<sub>1</sub> is expressed as

$$d\hat{\sigma}_{8} ({}^{3}S_{1}) = d\hat{\sigma}_{8}^{FO} ({}^{3}S_{1}) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{g \to c\bar{c}_{8}}^{frac}({}^{3}S_{1})}{d\hat{\sigma}_{g \to c\bar{c}_{8}}^{frac}({}^{3}S_{1})} (\mu_{fr} = M_{T})$$

includes both the evolution and the effects from the finite mass of the charm quark

#### Impact of the evolution

- \* decrease of the differential rate by a factor 2 at p<sub>T</sub>=25 GeV
- \* large uncertainties from the variations of  $\mu_{\rm fr}$



#### Theoretical uncertainties





We repeat the fit to the CDF data for each set of input parameters (any change in the normalization of the SD coefficients is reabsorbed into the color-octet LDME's)

#### Predicted pt spectrum at the LHC

\* th. & exp. uncertainties combined in quadrature



\* factor ≈2 of uncertainty
 in the normalization of
 the production rate

\* analogous prediction for the forward region

\* the pT spectrum can be effectively described by a LO Monte Carlo generator such as Pythia or MadOnia with an appropriate choice of the LDME's 11

#### Conclusion

- \* I presented a prediction for direct J/ $\psi$  production rate at the LHC
- comparison with the data would provide a test of the coloroctet dominance
- \* the same approach can be followed for the prompt production of  $\psi(2S)$