## Particle Production Studies at LHCb

Christopher Blanks Imperial College on behalf of the LHCb collaboration

> 23 July 2010 ICHEP 2010, Paris

35<sup>th</sup> International Conference on High Energy Physics



#### Contents

- Introduction to LHCb: Tracking & Particle ID
- K<sub>s</sub> production cross-section
- Strange particle (V<sup>0</sup>) ratios
- Proton ratios
- Summary



## The LHCb detector in the point 8 cavern at CERN



2/20

#### The LHCb Experiment

# A forward detector $(2 < \eta < 5)$ for precision measurement of CP violation and rare B-decays:





C Blanks – ICHEP 2010, Paris

#### The LHCb Experiment

# A forward detector $(2 < \eta < 5)$ for precision measurement of CP violation and rare B-decays:





## LHCb Tracking



Tracking  $\delta p/p \approx 0.4\%$  with 95% reconstruction efficiency VELO precision  $\sigma(z) \approx 50$  (150) µm for Primary (Secondary) Vertex [see talk by Sylvia Borghi]

## LHCb Tracking

A forward detector (2<n<

CP violation and rare B-de

A completed VELO module before installation

VELO open 15 mm at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$  TeV due to width of low-energy beam





Tracking  $\delta p/p \approx 0.4\%$  with 95% reconstruction efficiency VELO precision  $\sigma(z) \approx 50$  (150) µm for Primary (Secondary) Vertex [see talk by Sylvia Borghi]



#### LHCb RICH Detectors



2 Ring Imaging Cherenkov (RICH) detectors distinguish charged particles by mass over a momentum range of 2 to ~100 GeV/c [*see talk by Andrew Powell*]



#### K<sub>S</sub> Production Cross-Section

An ideal first measurement for LHCb, with high-purity selections requiring no particle identification



K<sub>s</sub> -> ππ selection based on track and K<sub>s</sub> impact parameters

#### **Key Systematic Contributions:**

- Luminosity (beam ~12% current measurement) [see talk by Massi Ferro-Luzzi]
- Tracking efficiency ~10%



C Blanks – ICHEP 2010, Paris

#### K<sub>S</sub> Cross-Section Results

A unique measurement at high rapidity & at lower  $p_T$  than previous experiments (see back up slide)





#### Ratio Measurements



Theoretical interest in ratios *e.g.* 

- baryon number transport,
- baryon vs. meson suppression in hadronisation

V<sup>o</sup> ratios  $\overline{\Lambda}/\Lambda$   $\overline{\Lambda}/K_s$ Only tracking & vertexing

All abundant in minimum bias data



#### V<sup>0</sup> Ratios

High-purity K<sub>S</sub> &  $\Lambda$  selection based on a combination of impact parameters (IP):  $\nu = \log \frac{IP^+ \times IP^-}{IP^{\nu^0}}$ 

V<sup>0</sup> background removed by changing daughter hypotheses



Binning  $p_T$ , y after boost correction for beam crossing angle

Efficiency from LHCb-tuned PYTHIA generation and GEANT simulation for **prompt**, **non-diffractive** events



#### Preliminary Results $\overline{\Lambda}/\Lambda$

## Another unique measurement at high rapidity with pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9 \& 7$ TeV



Baryon number transport appears higher than predicted at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$  TeV



#### Preliminary Results $\overline{\Lambda}/K_{s}$

Baryon vs. meson production ratio measurement with pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 0.9 \& 7 \text{ TeV}$ 



Baryon suppression in hadronisation significantly lower than predicted



13/20

#### **Proton Ratio**

#### Pure samples Protons selected with RICH particle ID



**Detector acceptance** 

Particle identification (*DLL*) calibrated with trackingselected samples:  $\pi(K_S)$ ,  $p(\Lambda) \& K(\varphi)$  [see talk by Andrew Powell] Cuts tuned for purity in MC, efficiency measured in data



#### Preliminary Results p/p

#### vs = 0.9 TeV



Baryon number transport closer to predictions



23 July 2010

#### Preliminary Ratio Systematics

Ratios a great target for early measurements since absolute luminosity measurement not required

Remaining systematics relate to MC, data comparisons

Uncertainties	Errors
p, $\pi$ interaction cross-sections	~10%
V <sup>0</sup> production & interaction cross-sections	~10%
LHCb material description	<10%
Λ transverse polarisation	<1%
Selection cuts (dominated by PID)	1-14%
Ghost tracks	<2%
Acceptance asymmetries	~2%
Non-prompt contamination	<1%

Ratio	Total
$\overline{\Lambda}/\Lambda$	~2%
⊼/K <sub>s</sub>	2-12%
p/p	3-14%



#### **Preliminary Results Comparison**

Results at both beam energies compared in  $\Delta y$  show consistency, also with other experiments :



C Blanks – ICHEP 2010, Paris

#### **Preliminary Results Comparison**

Results at both beam energies compared in  $\Delta y$  show consistency, also with other experiments :



#### **Preliminary Results Comparison**

Results at both beam energies compared in  $\Delta y$  show consistency, also with other experiments :



$$\Delta y = y(beam) - y(\Lambda, p)$$

*y(beam)*: 6.6 : √s = 0.9 TeV 8.3 : √s = 7 TeV



#### Summary

- K<sub>s</sub> cross-section measured with 2009 data
- Preliminary results in 2010 for ratios of V<sup>0</sup> & protons
- Results suggest lower Baryon suppression & higher Baryon transport in data than predicted



# Look out for new LHCb publications soon!



## Back up



#### K<sub>s</sub> Cross-section Comparison

# A measurement with lower $p_{\rm T}$ coverage than CDF, UA1, UA5





C Blanks – ICHEP 2010, Paris

#### **Raw Charged Particle Ratios**

#### vs = 0.9 TeV



Corrected ratios for K, $\pi$  are a work in progress...

