



WZ Production and W Mass Measurement at DZero

Joseph Haley for the D0 Collaboration July 22, 2010











50

60

70

80

• The Tevatron and DZero Detector

- WZ→lvll
 - Motivation
 - Analysis Method
 - Cross Section and Anomalous Couplings
- W Mass

 λ_z

90 100 m_τ (GeV)

- Motivation
- Analysis Method
- Results

Conclusions



Tevatron



- The Tevatron is a vector boson factory
 - Able to deliver more than 50 pb⁻¹/week
 ⇒ ~200 WZ events per week
 ⇒ ~million W events per week
 - Proton-antiproton collisions are not as clean as e⁺e⁻ collisions at LEP, but
 - Able to probe higher energies
 - Access to charged final states, which could not be produced at LEP
 ⇒qq'→W→ev and qq'→WZ→lvll





























DZero Detector













• Provides a test of the (extremely successful) SM

- WZ is the least studied vector diboson process
- Probe of possible new physics at a higher energy scale (Λ_{NP})
 - E.g., additional heavy gauge bosons predicted by many extension to the SM (SUSY, technicolor, ...)
- Improve understanding of a background to many Higgs and Beyond the SM searches
- SM is the low energy limit of a more general theory
 - The most general Lagrangian governing the *WWZ* triple gauge coupling (TGC) has 7 parameters
 - By assuming C and P conservation the number of parameters is reduced to 3

$$\Rightarrow g_1^{Z}, \kappa_z, \lambda_z; \quad \text{In the SM: } \lambda_z = 0 \text{ and } g_1^{Z} = \kappa_z = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{\Delta \kappa_z}{\Delta g_1^{Z}} \equiv \kappa_z^{-1}$$

 $\Delta \kappa, \Delta g, \text{ or } \lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{ anomalous TGCs}$

(Submitted to Phys. Let. B)









• Provides a test of the (extremely successful) SM

Any new physics that causes anomalous TGCs must respect unitarity. However, anomalous TGCs in the SM violate unitarity _{ny} at high energies. Thus, a dipole form factor:

• Improve understanding a_0^{f} a background to many Higgs and $a(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}m}}} ches$

- SM is the low energy is used to regulate this behavior. Λ_{NP} can be
 - The most general L (TGC) has 7 parametinterpreted as the energy at which the new
 - By assuming C and Physics turns on. We used $\Lambda_{\text{NP}} = 2$ TeV when 10° 3

 $\Rightarrow g_1^{Z}, \kappa_{T}, \lambda_{T};$ In t setting limits on anomalous TGCs.

 $\Delta \kappa$, Δg , or $\lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ anomalous TGCs

arXiv:1006.0761 [hep-ex]

(Submitted to Phys. Let. B)







• Look for events with











- Look for events with
 - Three isolated, high-energy leptons \Rightarrow eee, eeµ, eµµ, or µµµ
 - And evidence of a neutrino \Rightarrow large missing energy in the transverse plane $(\not\!\!\!E_T)$





arXiv:1006.0761 [hep-ex]







- Look for events with
 - Three isolated, high-energy leptons \Rightarrow eee, eeµ, eµµ, or µµµ
 - And evidence of a neutrino \Rightarrow large missing energy in the transverse plane





arXiv:1006.0761 [hep-ex]







- Look for events with
 - Three isolated, high-energy leptons \Rightarrow eee, eeµ, eµµ, or µµµ



- Then,
 - Identify two of the leptons as coming from a Z decay
 - eeµ and eµµ events \Rightarrow require like-flavor leptons to have opposite charge
 - eee and $\mu\mu\mu$ events \Rightarrow the opposite-charge pair with a mass closest to the Z mass

to come from a W decay

Joseph Haley

arXiv:1006.0761 [hep-ex]







- Very clean signature: No SM background with three high p_T leptons + $\not\!\!\!E_T$
 - ► *ZZ*→*llll* background
 - One of the leptons is not identified and $\not E_T$ is mis-measured
 - ► *Z*/*W*+jets and *tt*→*WbWb*→*lvblvb* backgrounds
 - ► A jet is misidentified as a lepton
- This analysis used 4.1 fb⁻¹ of data
 - ► Predicted background: 6.03 ± 0.57
 - ► Predicted signal: 23.3 ± 1.5
 - Observed events: 34

Events/10 GeV











- Very clean signature: No SM background with three high p_T leptons + $\not\!\!\!E_T$
 - ► *ZZ*→*llll* background
 - One of the leptons is not identified and $\not E_T$ is mis-measured
 - ► *Z*/*W*+jets and *tt*→*WbWb*→*lvblvb* backgrounds
 - ► A jet is misidentified as a lepton
- This analysis used 4.1 fb⁻¹ of data
 - Predicted background: 6.03 ± 0.57
 - ► Predicted signal: 23.3 ± 1.5
 - Observed events: 34



DØ, 4.1 fb⁻¹

100

120

MT_w (GeV)

⇒
$$\sigma(WZ) = 3.90^{+1.09}_{-0.90}$$
 pb

SM:
$$\sigma_{_{NLO}}(WZ) = 3.25 \pm 0.19 \text{ pb}$$



140





 $WZ \rightarrow lvll$: Anomalous Couplings



- Anomalous TGC change the event kinematics
 - High boson p_T is particularly sensitive to anomalous TGCs
- Setting limits on anomalous TGCs
 - Use MCFM to determine $p_T(Z)$ distribution predicted for different values of g_1^Z , κ_Z , and λ_Z
 - Calculate the likelihood of each prediction given the observed p_T(Z) distribution



arXiv:1006.0761 [hep-ex]

(Submitted to Phys. Let. B)



- 2-D 95% confidence contours (ellipses)
 - Two couplings are varies while the third fixed at the SM value
- 1-D 95% confidence intervals (lines)
 - One coupling is varied while the other two fixed at the SM values

-0.075 <	λ_Z	< 0.093
-0.053 <	Δg_1^{Z}	< 0.156
-0.376 <	$\Delta \kappa_Z$	< 0.686

(Submitted to Phys. Let. B)





- A precise measurement of the W mass probes physics at higher energy scales
 - Due to radiative corrections, the precise value of the W mass depends on the Higgs and top masses

$$m_{\rm W}^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_{\rm W}^2}{m_{\rm Z}^2} \right) = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2}G_{\rm F}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - \Delta r} \right); \quad \Delta r = \Delta \alpha + \Delta \rho(m_{\rm top}^2) + \Delta \chi(\ln(m_{\rm H}))$$







• Look for events with









- Look for events with
 - One isolated, high-energy electron ($p_T^e > 25 \text{ GeV}$)
 - And a neutrino \Rightarrow large missing energy transverse to the beam ($\not\!\!\!E_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$)











- Look for events with
 - One isolated, high-energy electron ($p_T^e > 25 \text{ GeV}$)
 - And a neutrino \Rightarrow large missing energy transverse to the beam ($\not\!\!\!E_T > 25 \text{ GeV}$)





- There may be other energy $(u_{\rm T})$ in the event from which the *W* is recoiling

 - Difficult to model large $u_T \Rightarrow$ Require recoil energy to be small ($u_T < 15 \text{ GeV}$)
- 1 fb⁻¹ of data $\Rightarrow \sim 500,000$ selected events





- The precision of the measurement is dominated by the electron energy scale calibration
 - Detector simulation
 - GEANT-based material simulation
 - Data driven electron shower shape corrections for uninstrumented material
 - ► Dead material modeled with 0.01 X₀ precision
 - Final calibration
 - Using $Z \rightarrow ee$ events, calibrate to the very precise measurement of M(Z) from LEP $(\Delta M(Z) \approx 2 \text{ MeV})$
 - This is effectively a measurement of M(W)/M(Z)
 - ► The precision of the calibration is limited by the statistics of Z→ee events







- Three different distributions were used to perform the measurement
 - Electron $p_T (p_T^{e})$
 - Missing transverse energy $(\not\!\!E_T)$
 - Transverse W mass $(m_T(W) = \sqrt{2 p_T^e E_T (1 \cos(\Delta \varphi(e, E_T)))})$
 - For each variable, generate template distributions for a range of test M(W) values
 - Simulate event with RESBOS + PHOTOS
 - Simulate detector efficiency/response via a fast parametric Monte Carlo simulation tune on Z→ee events
 - Calculate the likelihood for each template to match the observed distribution





W Mass: Results



 \Rightarrow M(W) = 80.401 ± 0.021 (stat) ± 0.038 (syst) GeV

Phys.Rev.Lett.103:141801,2009

ICHEP PARIS 2010



W Mass: Results



 \Rightarrow M(W) = 80.401 ± 0.021 (stat) ± 0.038 (syst) GeV

Most precise single measurement

PARIS 2010







Source	$\mathbf{m}_{_{\mathrm{T}}}$	$p_{_{\mathrm{T}}}^{_{\mathrm{e}}}$	₽ _T
Statistical	23	27	23
Systematic - Experimental Electron energy response Electron energy resolution Electron energy non-linearity Electron energy loss differences Recoil model Efficiencies Backgrounds Experimental Subtotal	34 2 4 4 6 5 2 35	34 2 6 4 12 6 5 37	34 3 7 4 20 5 4 41
Systematic - W production and decay model PDF QED Boson pT W model Subtotal	10 7 2 12	11 7 5 14	11 9 2 17
Systematic – Total	37	40	44



W Mass: Results



- World average \Rightarrow M(W) = 80.399 \pm 0.023 GeV
 - EW fit $(M(top)=173.1\pm1.3 \text{ GeV}) \Rightarrow M(H) < 158 \text{ GeV}$









- Most precise measurement of WZ cross section
 σ(WZ) = 3.90^{+1.09} GeV
- Some of the tightest limits on WWZ anomalous TGC

 $\begin{array}{rcl} -0.075 < \lambda_{Z} & < 0.093 & @.95\% \, \mathrm{CL} \\ -0.053 < \Delta g_{I}^{Z} & < 0.156 & \\ -0.376 < \Delta \kappa_{Z} & < 0.686 & \end{array}$

- Most precise single measurement of W boson mass
 M(W) = 80 401 + 0.021 (stat) + 0.038 (syst) GeV
 - $M(W) = 80.401 \pm 0.021 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.038 \text{ (syst) GeV}$
- Expect significant improvements with more data





thank you





	$\Delta M_W ~({ m MeV})$		
Source	m_T	p_T^e	E_T
Electron energy calibration	34	34	34
Electron resolution model	2	2	3
Electron shower modeling	4	6	7
Electron energy loss model	4	4	4
Hadronic recoil model	6	12	20
Electron efficiencies	5	6	5
Backgrounds	2	5	4
Experimental Subtotal	35	37	41
PDF	10	11	11
QED	7	7	9
Boson p_T	2	5	2
Production Subtotal	12	14	14
Total	37	40	43









Current $\Delta m_{top} = 1.3 \text{ GeV} \Rightarrow \Delta m_{W} = 8 \text{ MeV}$







— No detector effects, $pT(W) \equiv 0$

• No detector effects, typical pT(W) distribution

With detector effects, typical pT(W) distribution











Recoil = small energy deposits spread all over the detector ⇒ sensitivity to small effects, challenges for modeling



W Mass



- Because we are looking at hadrons collision
 We do not know the longitudinal momentum of the initial quarks
 We cannot determine the longitudinal momentum of the neutrino
 We cannot reconstruct the full W mass
- Final electron energy calibration





Anomalous Couplings





• **ZWW** and γWW couplings • General Lorentz invariant Lagrangian has 14 couplings $\frac{L_{WWV}}{g_{WWV}} = ig_{I}^{V} (W_{\mu\nu}^{*}W^{\mu}V^{\nu} - W_{\mu}^{*}V_{\nu}W^{\mu\nu}) + i\kappa_{\nu}W_{\mu}^{*}W_{\nu}V^{\mu\nu} + i\frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{M_{W}^{2}}W_{\lambda\mu}^{*}W_{\nu}^{\mu}V^{\nu\lambda}$ $- g_{\nu}^{V}W_{\mu}^{*}W_{\nu} (\partial^{\mu}V^{\nu} + \partial^{\nu}V^{\mu}) + g_{\nu}^{V}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} (W_{\mu}^{*}\partial_{\lambda}W_{\nu} - \partial_{\lambda}W_{\mu}^{*}W_{\nu})V_{\rho}$ $+ i\tilde{k}_{\nu}W_{\mu}^{*}W_{\nu}\tilde{V}^{\mu\nu} + i\frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{M_{W}^{2}}W_{\lambda}^{*}W_{\nu}^{\mu}\tilde{V}^{\nu\lambda}$



C and P conserving: g^γ₁, g^Z₁, κ_γ, κ_Z, λ_γ, λ_Z
C and P violating, but CP conserving: g^Z₂

CP violating:
$$g_4^{\ z}, g_4^{\ z}, k_{\gamma}, k_{\gamma}, \lambda_{\gamma}, \lambda_{z}$$

SM: $\boldsymbol{g}_{1}^{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{g}_{1}^{Z} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{Z} = 1$ and all others are zero

Joseph Haley





• **ZWW** and **yWW** couplings



W



- In the SM:
 - γWW and ZWW TGCs • $g_1^{\ z} = \kappa_{\gamma} = \kappa_z = 1$ and $\lambda_{\gamma} = \lambda_z = 0$
- No γZZ and $\gamma \gamma Z$ TGCs • $h_3^{\gamma} = h_3^{Z} = h_4^{\gamma} = h_4^{Z} = 0$
- Measure deviations from SM • $\Delta \kappa_{v} \equiv \kappa_{v} - 1$, $\Delta g_{1}^{v} \equiv g_{1}^{v} - 1$ • $\Delta \lambda_{v} \equiv \lambda_{v}$, $\Delta h_{3}^{v} \equiv h_{3}^{v}$, $\Delta h_{4}^{v} \equiv h_{4}^{v}$ • $\Delta x \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{anomalous TGC}$

















Channels	Data	WZ Signal	Total Backgnd
eee	8	4.4 ± 0.1 (stat) ± 0.75 (syst)	0.91 ± 0.12 (stat) ± 0.12 (syst)
ееµ	8	5.0 ± 0.1 (stat) ± 0.68 (syst)	$1.23 \pm 0.09(\text{stat}) \pm 0.18(\text{syst})$
еμμ	9	4.7 ± 0.1 (stat) ± 0.56 (syst)	$1.13 \pm 0.05(\text{stat}) \pm 0.35(\text{syst})$
μμμ	5	5.8 ± 0.1 (stat) ± 0.83 (syst)	$1.46 \pm 0.08(\text{stat}) \pm 0.22(\text{syst})$
ee _{ICR} e	1	1.5 ± 0.1 (stat) ± 0.22 (syst)	$0.42 \pm 0.08(\text{stat}) \pm 0.09(\text{syst})$
ee _{ICR} µ	3	1.9 ± 0.1 (stat) ± 0.23 (syst)	$0.88 \pm 0.17(\text{stat}) \pm 0.18(\text{syst})$







2009 D0 combination of $WZ \rightarrow lvll$ (previous), $W\gamma \rightarrow lv\gamma$, $WW \rightarrow lvlv$, $WW + WZ \rightarrow lvqq$

Results respecting $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$ symmetry			
Parameter	Minimum	68% C.L.	95% C.L.
$\Delta \kappa_{\gamma}$	0.07	[-0.13, 0.23]	[-0.29, 0.38]
Δg_1^Z	0.05	[-0.01, 0.11]	[-0.07, 0.16]
λ	0.00	[-0.04, 0.05]	[-0.08, 0.08]
μ_W	2.02	[1.93, 2.10]	[1.86, 2.16]
q_W	-1.00	[-1.09, -0.91]	[-1.16, -0.84]
arXiv.org:0907.4952			







Run 232540 Evt 1047011 Tue May 1 07:19:08 2007





eee, in ICR

eeµ, in ICR

Joseph Haley





ICHEP Paris 2010

Run 231184 Evt 20522441 Mon Mar 5 21:30:18 2007



eµµ

Run 231184 Evt 34078339 Wed Mar 7 10:45:48 2007



μμμ

Joseph Haley







