

Claus Buszello  
Uppsala Universitet  
*for the ATLAS Collaboration*




UPPSALA  
UNIVERSITET

# Studies of charged particle correlations and underlying events with the ATLAS detector

XL International Symposium on  
Multiparticle Dynamics  
Antwerpen, September 22<sup>nd</sup> 2010

# Motivation

- Perturbative QCD calculations cannot be done in the “soft” regime where the transverse momentum transfer between initial and final states is small
  - Underlying event (UE): beam-beam remnants, multiple parton interactions, initial and final state radiation, etc.





# Motivation

- Perturbative QCD calculations cannot be done in the “soft” regime where the transverse momentum transfer between initial and final states is small
  - Underlying event (UE): beam-beam remnants, multiple parton interactions, initial and final state radiation, etc.
- Data predictions done in MC simulations via phenomenological models with many parameters
  - New/improved measurements of quantities sensitive to soft QCD effects deepens physics understanding and improves models.




# Track-based underlying event studies

<https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/CONFNOTES/ATLAS-CONF-2010-081/>



# Underlying event sensitivity

- Consider charged tracks in minimum bias events
  - Align event leading  $p_T$  track at  $\varphi=0$
- Define 3 equal regions in  $|\Delta\varphi|$ 
  - Transverse region most sensitive to UE, perpendicular to hardest scattering axis
- Measure track-based observables in all regions
  - Charged particle multiplicity vs  $p_{T \text{ lead}}$
  - Scalar  $p_T$  sum vs  $p_{T \text{ lead}}$
  - $\langle p_T \rangle$  vs  $p_{T \text{ lead}}$
  - $\varphi$  distribution of track density



# Minimum bias sample

- Samples collected with the ATLAS minimum bias trigger
  - Beam Pickup Timing devices (BPTX) – signals beam presence
    - electrostatic beam pick-ups  $\pm 175$  m from centre
  - Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillators (MBTS)
    - at detector ends in front of endcap-calorimeter at  $\pm 3.56$  m
    - $2.09 < |\eta| < 3.84$
  - Integrated Luminosity: 900 GeV:  $7 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$  / 7 TeV:  $169 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$





# Event/Track selections

- Presence of a good reconstructed primary vertex (PV) according to ATLAS criteria and low risk of pile-up
- At least one track with:
  - $p_T > 1 \text{ GeV}$
  - $|\eta| < 2.5$
  - 1 pixel detector cluster and 6 hits in the silicon micro-strip tracker
  - transverse and weighted longitudinal distances of closest approach  $< 1.5 \text{ mm}$  relative to PV
  - for tracks with  $p_T > 10 \text{ GeV}$ ,  $\chi^2$  probability of track fit  $> 0.01$  (mismeasured tracks)
- Add to sample all other good tracks with  $p_T > 500 \text{ MeV}$

# Corrections and Unfolding

- Data corrected and unfolded to particle level to allow comparisons
- Corrections
  - Event: Trigger and vertex reconstruction efficiency, lead track requirement
  - Track: Reconstruction efficiency correction in  $p_T$  and  $\eta$ , secondaries, fakes, kinematic range limits
- Unfolding
  - Event reorientation (unreconstructed lead particle)
  - Bin-to-bin migrations

Efficiencies measured in data



Measured in MC,  
validated with data







# Systematic Uncertainties

- Systematic errors for 7 TeV (900 GeV) data


	Lowest $p_T$ bin	Intermediate $p_T$ bin	Highest $p_T$ bin
<b>Systematic uncertainty on unfolding</b>			
Difference between PYTHIA and PHOJET	4%	2%	2%
Statistical uncertainty on PYTHIA unfolding	< 0.1%	1% (2%)	4% (5%)
<b>Systematic uncertainties from efficiency corrections</b>			
Track reconstruction	3%	4%	4%
Leading track requirement	1%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%
Trigger and vertex efficiency	—	< 0.1% (everywhere)	—
Total from efficiency corrections	2.5%	4%	4%
<b>Total systematic uncertainty</b>	4.5%	4.5% (5%)	6% (6.5%)

# Charged particle multiplicity




UPPSALA  
UNIVERSITET



900 GeV



7 TeV



More tracks  
in the UE




Closer to  
Tune DW  
(dashed)

# Scalar $p_T$ sum of charged particles




UPPSALA  
UNIVERSITET



900 GeV



7 TeV



Plateau  
 10-15%  
 higher wrt  
 MC tunes




Towards  
 higher than  
 away  
 DW decent

# $\langle p_T \rangle$ of charged particles




UPPSALA  
UNIVERSITET



900 GeV



7 TeV





Plateau just slightly higher than MC Tunes



MC overestimates towards  $\langle p_T \rangle$  on 7 TeV data

# $\phi$ distribution of track densities

- Emergence of jet structure as  $p_T$  requirement of leading track is increased





# Summary of UE measurements

- First measurements of UE characteristics with the ATLAS detector were presented
- Data was corrected and unfolded so that comparison to MC models was possible
- Provides valuable input to MC models
  - Transverse region/UE more active and energetic than expected
  - Measured  $\langle p_T \rangle$  lies above the MC expectations
  - Formation of jet-like structures different from predictions



# Angular correlations between charged particles

<https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/CONFNOTES/ATLAS-CONF-2010-082/>





# Angular correlations in MB

- Further investigation: turn the tables on the measurement of  $\varphi$  distribution of track density
  - Isolate the peaking features at zero and  $\pi$
  - Carefully design measurements to decrease sources of systematic uncertainties
- Measurement can be used as input to tuning of phenomenological models in MC simulations





# Crest shape variable

- Distance in  $\phi$  between the leading track (highest  $p_T$  track) and each one of the other selected track





# Crest shape variable

- Fit 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial to region around distribution **minimum**



# Crest shape variable

- Subtract fitted minimum value from each bin and normalise to unit area





Measured crest shape characteristics: peak widths, relative heights, position of minimum

# Same – opposite observable



- Event-by-event, assign tracks to one of two detector regions

– Based on  $\eta$  location of leading track



# Same – opposite observable

- Subtract “opposite” distribution from “same” and normalise
- Sensitive to  $\eta$  correlations





# Correction for tracking efficiency

- **Tracking efficiency in  $p_T$  and  $\eta$**

- On non-leading tracks: apply weight to entry to correct for missing tracks (also fakes and secondary contamination)

Corrections derived from MC

- On leading tracks: do a bin-by-bin shape correction based on knowledge of shape changes due to loss of leading tracks

Derived from data only by varying number of missing leading tracks

=> Results are directly comparable to generator level particles





# Summary of systematics

- Other large sources of systematic include  $p_T$  resolution effect and selection effects associated to the 2-track requirement

Table 1: Systematic uncertainties, summary table

Source of systematic uncertainty	Implemented	Relative uncertainty in first bins
Event selection inefficiency	bin-by-bin	1%-3%
Bias remaining after corrections	2% in first 4 bins	2%
Resolution - phase space boundaries	bin-by-bin	1%-2%
Resolution - leading track	bin-by-bin	0.1%-0.2%
Efficiency of leading tracks	bin-by-bin	0.1%-0.2%
Efficiency of non-leading tracks	0.2% in each bin	0.2%
$\phi$ dependence of the tracking efficiency	$6 \times 10^{-5}$ in each bin	0.1%-0.2%
Choice of the $d_0^{PV}$ cut	$9 \times 10^{-5}$ in each bin	0.1%-0.3%
Statistical uncertainty		900 GeV: 3%-4% 7 TeV: 0.3%-0.4%

# Measured distributions $|\eta| < 2.5$







Very small syst. errors  
allow detailed comparison

Most “standard” tunes  
not very well matched





# Measured distributions $|\eta| < 1$







Better match for restricted region  $|\eta| < 1$  is expected: CDF tuning data available in that region

# Comparison to PYTHIA tunes (6.1.4.21)



- Virtuality-ordered showers







# Comparison to PYTHIA tunes (6.1.4.21)



UPPSALA  
UNIVERSITET

- $p_T$ -ordered shower, Perugia tunes







# Comparison to PYTHIA tunes (6.1.4.21)



UPPSALA  
UNIVERSITET

- Color reconnection models





# Summary of angular correlations

- Study soft QCD via angular correlations in minimum bias events
  - Currently poorly modeled in all tunes available in PYTHIA 6
- $\Delta\phi$  distributions are a potential input variable to future MC tuning
  - Very precise, low systematics



# Summary

- ATLAS soft QCD research is in full bloom, already helping to
  - deepen our understanding of the UE and angular correlations
  - provide new, precise input to MC modeling
- New tune: ATLAS Minimum Bias Tune 1 (AMBT1)
  - Using MB results (See next talk by B. Wynne)
  - And first UE measurements
- Expect more from ATLAS this fall
  - Particle correlations and fluctuations
  - Further improved tunes





# Backup



# Correction for lead track efficiency





- On leading tracks: do a bin-by-bin shape correction based on knowledge of shape changes with extra loss of leading tracks







# MnBias Data/MC Comparisons







# Comparison to PYTHIA tunes (6.1.4.21)



UPPSALA  
UNIVERSITET

- Virtuality-ordered showers







# Comparison to PYTHIA tunes (6.1.4.21)



UPPSALA  
UNIVERSITET

- $p_T$ -ordered shower, Perugia tunes







# Comparison to PYTHIA tunes (6.1.4.21)



UPPSALA  
UNIVERSITET

- Color reconnection models



$\Delta\phi$

$\Delta\phi$