Content BFKL – Diffraction Lund Dipole Cascade Model,



Multiple Interactions, Diffraction, and the BFKL Pomeron

Gösta Gustafson

Department of Theoretical Physics Lund University

XL ISMD, Antwerp, 21-25 Sept. 2010

Work in collaboration with C. Flensburg and L. Lönnblad

Content

- 1. Relation BFKL evol. Diffraction
- 2. Lund Dipole Cascade model
- 3. Relation Good–Walker Multi-regge
- 4. Can diffraction be defined in a unique way?
- 5. Preliminary results for final states
- 6. Summary



1. Relation BFKL evol. — Diffraction

Assumption: HE collisions driven by partonic subcollisions (cf. PYTHIA)

Gluon cascades Small *x*: BFKL

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Gluon exchange} \Rightarrow \\ \mbox{inelastic interaction} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Multiple subcollisions} \\ \Rightarrow \text{ saturation} \end{array}$



Eikonal approximation

Diffraction and saturation more easily described in impact parameter space

Scattering driven by absorption into inelastic states i, with weights $2f_i$

Structureless projectile

Optical theorem \Rightarrow

Elastic amplitude $T = 1 - e^{-F}$, with $F = \sum f_i$

$$\begin{cases} d\sigma_{tot}/d^2b \sim 2T \\ \sigma_{el}/d^2b \sim T^2 \\ \sigma_{inel}/d^2b \sim 1 - e^{-\sum 2f_i} = \sigma_{tot} - \sigma_{el} \end{cases}$$



Good – Walker

If the projectile has an internal structure, the mass eigenstates can differ from the eigenstates of diffraction

Diffractive eigenstates: Φ_n ; Eigenvalue: T_n

Mass eigenstates: $\Psi_k = \sum_n c_{kn} \Phi_n \quad (\Psi_{in} = \Psi_1)$

Elastic amplitude: $\langle \Psi_1 | T | \Psi_1 \rangle = \sum c_{1n}^2 T_n = \langle T \rangle$

 $d\sigma_{el}/d^2b\sim (\sum c_{1n}^2T_n)^2=\langle T
angle^2$

Amplitude for diffractive transition to mass eigenstate Ψ_k :

$$\langle \Psi_k | T | \Psi_1 \rangle = \sum_n c_{kn} T_n c_{1n}$$

$$d\sigma_{diff} / d^2 b = \sum_k \langle \Psi_1 | T | \Psi_k \rangle \langle \Psi_k | T | \Psi_1 \rangle = \langle T^2 \rangle$$
Diffractive excitation determined by the fluctuations:
$$d\sigma_{diff ex} / d^2 b = d\sigma_{diff} - d\sigma_{el} = \langle T^2 \rangle - \langle T \rangle^2$$



Proton substructure: parton cascade

Depends on energy, i.e. on Lorentz frame

Can fill a large rapidity range \Rightarrow high mass excitation possible



Diffractive cross sections Good-Walker



BFKL evol.: Large fluctuations (Mueller–Salam)

 $\langle \langle T \rangle_{targ}^2 \rangle_{proj}$ gives diffractive scattering with $M_X^2 < exp(y_1)$

Vary y_1 gives $d\sigma/dM_X^2$

Can this reproduce the triple-regge result?



Mueller Dipole Model:

A color charge is always associated with an anticharge Formulation of LL BFKL in transverse coordinate space



Emission probability: $\frac{d\mathcal{P}}{dy} = \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2\pi} d^2 \mathbf{r}_2 \frac{r_{01}^2}{r_{02}^2 r_{12}^2}$

Color screening: Suppression of large dipoles \sim suppression of small k_{\perp} in BFKL

Dipole-dipole scattering

Gluon exhange \Rightarrow Color connection projectile-target

pro

BFKL evol.: frame independent

Interaction probability: $2f_{ij} = \alpha_s^2 \ln^2 \left(\frac{r_{13}r_{24}}{r_{14}r_{23}}\right)$

targ

Largest k_{\perp} can be any where in the evolution

Content BFKL – Diffraction Lund Dipole Cascade Model,

Multiple interactions \Rightarrow Dipole chains and color loops



Note that

Gluon emission $\sim \bar{\alpha} = \frac{\textit{N}_{\rm C}}{\pi} \alpha_{\rm S}$

Gluon exchange $\sim \alpha_s$. Color suppressed \Rightarrow Also loop formation color suppressed $\sim \alpha_s$

Related to identical colors.



Quadrupole \sim recoupled dipole chains

 $Gluon \; exchange \rightarrow same \; effect$



2. Lund Dipole Cascade model (Avsar–Flensburg–GG–Lönnblad)

The Lund model is a generalization of Mueller's dipole model, with the following improvements:

- Include NLL BFKL effects
- Include Nonlinear effects in evolution (loop formation)
- Include Confinement effects

MC: DIPSY (CF, LL)

Initial state wavefunctions:

 γ^* : Given by perturbative QCD. $\Psi_{T,L}(r, z; Q^2)$

proton: Dipole triangle

2 tunable parameters: proton size and Λ_{QCD}

Gösta Gustafson



BFKL – Diffraction[^] Lund Dipole Cascade Model Relation Good–Walker – Multi-regge,

Total and elastic cross sections

рр



BFKL – Diffraction[^] Lund Dipole Cascade Model Relation Good–Walker – Multi-regge,





Lund University

* 51

"BFKL – Diffraction Lund Dipole Cascade Model Relation Good–Walker – Multi-regge,

Diffractive excitation: $\gamma^* \rho$

Example $M_X < 8$ GeV, $Q^2 = 4, 14, 55$ GeV².



рр

Only events with a rapidity gap at y = 0, in the frame used for the calculation, are treated as diffractive.

In other frames they are classified as inelastic.



Lund Dipole Cascade Model^{*} Relation Good–Walker – Multi-regge Can diffraction be uniquely defined?

3. Relation Good–Walker – Multi-regge (C. Flensburg-GG: arXiv:1004.5502) $\gamma^* \rho$: Fluctuations

Prob. distrib. for 100000 DIPSY Born ampl. $F = \sum f_{ii}$ b=6 AF^{-p} + cutoff 10000 elative frequency b=4 $dP/dF \approx AF^{-p}$ 1000 b=2 100 10 b=9Wide distribution 1 $\langle F \rangle$ small 01 0.0001 0.001 0.01 \Rightarrow T = 1 - e^{-F} \approx F 1e-05 n · F $d\sigma_{diff,ex}/d\sigma_{tot} \sim 10\%$, decreasing with Q²

 $W = 220 Q^2 = 14$

Lund Dipole Cascade Model[^] Relation Good–Walker – Multi-regge Can diffraction be uniquely defined?

pp: Born approximation: large fluctuations $dP/dF \approx A F^{p} e^{-aF}$



Gösta Gustafson

Impact parameter profile

Central collisions: $\langle T \rangle$ large \Rightarrow Fluctuations small Peripheral collisions: $\langle T \rangle$ small \Rightarrow Fluctuations small



Lund Dipole Cascade Model[^] Relation Good–Walker – Multi-regge Can diffraction be uniquely defined?

Triple-Regge parameters



Traditionally fluctuations not taken into account

Reggeon parameters and couplings fitted to data

Multiple Interactions, Diffraction, and the BEr

Bare pomeron

Born amplitude without saturation effects



Agrees with triple-regge form, with a single pomeron pole

$$lpha(0) = 1.21, \ lpha' = 0.2 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$$

 $g_{
hoP}(t) = (5.6 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-1}) \,\mathrm{e}^{1.9t}, \ g_{3P}(t) = 0.31 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$

Compare with multi-regge analyses:

 $lpha(0) = 1.21, \ lpha' = 0.2 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-2}$ $g_{\mathrm{pP}}(t) = (5.6 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-1}) \,e^{1.9t}, \ g_{\mathrm{3P}}(t) = 0.31 \,\mathrm{GeV}^{-1}$

 Ryskin *et al.*:
 $\alpha(0) = 1.3$, $\alpha' \le 0.05 \, \text{GeV}^{-2}$

 Kaidalov *et al.*:
 $\alpha(0) = 1.12$, $\alpha' = 0.22 \, \text{GeV}^{-2}$

Note:

Fit ~ single pomeron pole (not a cut or a series of poles) g_{3P} approx. constant (*cf* LL BFKL ~ $1/\sqrt{|t|}$),

4. Can diffraction be uniquely defined?

Multipomeron diagrams

are included in the dipole picture, with fixed multi-pomeron couplings



However, all events with no gap are classified as inelastic

Cf KMR: A large cross section for overlapping double diffraction Relation Good–Walker – Multi-regge² Can diffraction be uniquely defined? Preliminary final state results.

How to define diffraction?

Attempt: Two separate color singlet systems, containing the original valence quarks?



Exchange of two gluons forming color singlet?

But the gap can be filled by FSR or nonpert. strings

or formed by color reconnection

Cannot be uniquely calculated in pQCD

Relation Good–Walker – Multi-regge Can diffraction be uniquely defined? Preliminary final state results,



The definition of diffraction varies between different schemes

For one event, the diffractive capacity is not an observable

Solution: Study observables, gap events!



Multiple Interactions, Diffraction, and the BFK

Can diffraction be uniquely defined?[^] Preliminary final state results Summary...

5. Preliminary final state results

1. Remove virtual emissions, which do not come on shell in the interaction

(only preliminary results, due to technical problems in the MC)

- 2. Add final state radiation
- 3. Hadronize (no color recon.)



Note: No input structure fcns. No quarks, only gluons, and only 2 free parameters

No precision results should be expected

We hope to reproduce the qualitative features, and get insight into the basic mechanisms

Can diffraction be uniquely defined? Preliminary final state results Summary

CDF 1.8 TeV



The BFKL evolution gives more activity forward than PYTA

SIC

ALICE

Rapidity distribution and Multiplicity frequency.



Bad simulation, or indication for new effects at higher energy? Note also enhanced production of strangeness and baryons

Summary

- Parton cascades fill the whole rapidity range between projectile and target, in a frame-independent way.
- The fluctuations in BFKL evol. are large. Besides enhanced forward activity, it can describe diffractive excitation within the Good–Walker formalism (with no extra parameters.)
- In central pp collisions diffractive excitation is suppressed by saturation. This leads to factorization breaking.
- The result corresponds to a bare pomeron, which is a simple pole, and an almost constant triple-pomeron coupling.
- Diffractive excitation is scheme dependent, and cannot be uniquely defined. Study gap events.
- Prelim. results were presented for exclusive final states.

Extra slides

CDF

Pseudorapidity distribution and N_{ch} in towards region.



CDF

Angular distribution and multiplicity frequency.



multiple interactions, Diffraction, and the BFK

Preliminary final state results Summary Extra slides

CDF

Track p_T and $\sum E_T$ distributions. track p_T , $|\eta| < 1$, $p_{\perp} > 0.4$ GeV $\sum E_T$, $|\eta| < 1$ 10² CDF data MC (TestFull1800) mb. 10 DF data MC (TestFull1800) 0.10 10 10 10-8 10-5 10^{-9} MC/data data 1.4 1.4 1.2 1.2 ¥ SIG * 1 MQL 0.8 0.8 0.6 E 0.6 101 10^{2} 101 102. $\Sigma E_T / \text{GeV}$ p_T / GeV ž < • • • **•**

multiple interactions, Diffraction, and the BEKL

Preliminary final state results[°] Summary Extra slides

t-dependence

Single diffractive and elastic cross sections





Effective multipomeron vertex $\sim \gamma^{n+m}$ *cf* Ostapchenko: $\sim \gamma^{n+m}$ KMR: $\sim nm\gamma^{n+m}$ Tel Aviv: Only triple-pomeron vertices

Note: Overlapping double diffraction has a very large cross section in the KMR multi-regge approach, with a corresponding (or even larger) reduction of the inelastic cross section Preliminary final state results^{*} Summary Extra slides

Triple-pomeron formulae:

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\text{tot}} &= \beta^2(0) s^{\alpha(0)-1}, \\ \frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}}{dt} &= \frac{1}{16\pi} \beta^4(t) s^{2(\alpha(t)-1)}, \\ M_X^2 \frac{d\sigma_{\text{SD}}}{dtd(M_X^2)} &= \frac{1}{16\pi} \beta^2(t) \beta(0) g_{3\text{P}}(t) \left(\frac{s}{M_X^2}\right)^{2(\alpha(t)-1)} \left(M_X^2\right)^{\alpha(0)-1}. \\ \beta(t) &\equiv g_{\text{pP}}(t) \end{split}$$

multiple interactions, Diffraction, and the BFKL I

Lund University

·W

Energy dependence and effect of saturation on $d\sigma/dt$



Energy dependence, and result without saturation at 2 TeV

546 GeV compared with a fit to UA8 data, and with elastic scattering Extra slides

Factorization breaking

Difference between pp and $\gamma^* p$

Cf. Goulianos' saturation of pomeron flux

pp scattering



Preliminary final state results Summary Extra slides

Diffractive excitation approximations $\gamma^* p$ scattering: $dP/dF \approx A F^{-p}$

 $d\sigma_{diff.ex.}/d\sigma_{tot} \approx (1 - 1/2^{2-p})$ The power *p* is independent of *b* (but grows slowly with Q²)

pp scattering: $dP/dF \approx AF^{p}e^{-aF}$

$$\sigma_{tot} \sim 2\langle T \rangle = 2(1 - (\frac{a}{a+1})^{p+1}) = 2(1 - (\frac{a}{a+1})^{a\langle F \rangle}) \to 1 \text{ when}$$

$$\langle F \rangle \to \infty$$

$$\sigma_{diff.exc.} \sim V_T = (\frac{a}{a+2})^{p+1} - (\frac{a}{a+1})^{2p+2} \to 0 \text{ when } \langle F \rangle \to \infty$$

Multiple Interactions, Diffraction, and the BFK

Diffractive final states

Coherence effects important for subtracting el. scatt.

$$egin{aligned} &d\sigma_n = c_n^2 \, (\sum_m d_m^2 \, t_{nm} - \langle t
angle \,)^2 \ &\langle t
angle = \sum_n \sum_m \, c_n^2 \, d_m^2 \, t_{nm} \end{aligned}$$



multiple interactions, Diffraction, and the BEKL

Toy model

(Abelian emissions; no saturation)

 $\Psi_{\textit{in}} = \prod_i (lpha_i + eta_i) |\mathbf{0}
angle$

parton *i* produced with prob. $|\beta_i|^2$, interacts with weight f_i

Diff. exc. states:

$$\begin{split} \Psi_j &= (-\beta_j + \alpha_j) \prod_{i \neq j} (\alpha_i + \beta_i) |0\rangle \\ d\sigma_{el} &\sim (\sum_i \beta_i^2 f_i)^2 \\ d\sigma_j &\sim \alpha_i^2 \beta_j^2 f_j^2 \end{split}$$

