Color Glass Condensate at NLO: Phenomenology at HERA, RHIC and the LHC

Javier L. Albacete IPhT CEA/Saclay

Antwerp, Belgium 21-25 2010 XL ISMD







Outline

- \Rightarrow Motivation & Pocket Introduction to the CGC
- ⇒ Balitsky-Kovchegov equation including running coupling corrections
- \Rightarrow Structure functions in e+p collisions at HERA
- \Rightarrow Single inclusive hadron production in the CGC
- \Rightarrow Di-hadron correlations in d+Au collisions
- \Rightarrow Multiplicities in A+A collisions

At high energies, or small Bjorken-x, hadron's gluon densities are large



Multiple small-x gluon emissions are resummed by the BFKL equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k_t})}{\partial \ln(\mathbf{x_0}/\mathbf{x})} \approx \mathcal{K} \otimes \phi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k_t})$$

Non-linear evolution: At small-x gluon both radiative and recombination processes



Non-linear *recombination* corrections are demanded by UNITARITY



Saturation scale: transverse momentum scale which marks the onset of non-linear corrections

 $\mathcal{K} \otimes \phi(x, Q_s) \approx \phi(x, Q_s)^2$ Nuclear enhancement: $Q_{sA}^2 \approx$

$$\approx A^{1/3}Q_{sp}^2$$

DIS in the dipole model

 γ^*

q

Ρ

Probe your hadron with a photon (color dipole). Eikonal scattering

Х

У

$$S(\underline{x}, \underline{y}; Y) = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \operatorname{tr} \{ U_{\underline{x}} U_{\underline{y}}^{\dagger} \} \rangle_Y = 1 - \mathcal{N}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}; Y)$$

unintegrated gluon distribution:

$$\varphi(x,k_t) = \int \frac{d^2r}{2\pi r^2} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{N}(r,x)$$

$$\sigma_{T,L}^{\gamma^*h}(x,Q^2) = \sum_{flavours} \int d^2r \int_0^1 dz \left| \Psi_{T,L}^{f,\gamma^* \to q\bar{q}}(z,r,Q^2) \right|^2 \sigma_{\downarrow}^{dip}(r,x)$$
QED piece Strong interactions are here
$$\Rightarrow \text{ dipole cross section:} \quad \sigma^{dip}(r,x) = 2 \int d^2b \,\mathcal{N}(b,r,x) \approx \sigma_0 \,\mathcal{N}(b,r,x)$$

CGC evolution: The BK equation

Balitsky 96, Kovchegov 99

(large-Nc limit of full JIMWLK evolution)



$$S(\underline{x}, \underline{y}; Y) = \frac{1}{N_c} \langle \operatorname{tr} \{ U_{\underline{x}} U_{\underline{y}}^{\dagger} \} \rangle_{Y} = 1 - \mathcal{N}(\underline{x}, \underline{y}; Y)$$

unintegrated gluon distribution:

$$\varphi(x,k_t) = \int \frac{d^2r}{2\pi r^2} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{N}(r,x)$$

 $\ln \frac{1}{x} \sim \ln s \sim Y$

Increase the collision energy and resum small-x gluon radiation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}(r,x)}{\partial \ln(x_0/x)} = \int d^2 r_1 \, K(r,r_1,r_2) \left[\mathcal{N}(r_1,x) + \mathcal{N}(r_2,x) - \mathcal{N}(r,x) - \mathcal{N}(r_1,x)\mathcal{N}(r_2,x) \right]$$
perturbative kernel non-linear term

✓ NLO corrections to BK-JIMWLK equations have been calculated recently (Balitsky-Chirilli; Kovchegov-Weigert, Gardi et al). Phenomenological tool: The BK equation including only running coupling corrections in Balitsky's scheme grasps most of the NLO corrections (JLA-Kovchegov)

BK eqn:
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{N}(r,x)}{\partial \ln(x_0/x)} = \int d^2 r_1 K(r,r_1,r_2) \left[\mathcal{N}(r_1,x) + \mathcal{N}(r_2,x) - \mathcal{N}(r,x) - \mathcal{N}(r_1,x) \mathcal{N}(r_2,x) \right]$$

Running coupling kernel: $K^{\text{run}}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r_1}, \mathbf{r_2}) = \frac{N_c \,\alpha_s(r^2)}{2\pi^2} \left[\frac{r^2}{r_1^2 \, r_2^2} + \frac{1}{r_1^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(r_1^2)}{\alpha_s(r_2^2)} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{r_2^2} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(r_2^2)}{\alpha_s(r_1^2)} - 1 \right) \right]$



Gluon contribution: $N_f \rightarrow -6\pi\beta_2$

Running coupling corrections are large, rendering evolution compatible with experimental data.



MV Initial conditions:

$$\mathcal{N}(r, \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0) = 1 - \exp\left[-\frac{r^2 Q_0^2}{4} \ln\left(\frac{1}{r\Lambda} + e\right)\right]$$

Fitting structure functions

• JLA, N. Armesto, J.G. Milhano, C. Salgado Phys.Rev.D80:034031,2009;

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Normalization } \int d^2b \to \sigma_0$$

$$GBW: \quad \mathcal{N}^{GBW}(r, x_0 = 10^{-2}) = 1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{r^2 Q_{s0}^2}{4}\right)^{\gamma}\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ Initial Conditions } \text{ MV: } \quad \mathcal{N}^{MV}(r, x_0 = 10^{-2}) = 1 - \exp\left[-\left(\frac{r^2 Q_{s0}^2}{4}\right)^{\gamma} \ln\left(\frac{1}{r \Lambda_{QCD}}\right)\right]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ IR regularization } \alpha_s(r^2) = \frac{12\pi}{(11 N_c - 2 N_f) \ln\left(\frac{4 C^2}{r^2 \Lambda_{QCD}}\right)} \text{ for } r < r_{fr}, \text{ with } \alpha_s(r_{fr}^2) \equiv \alpha_{fr} = 0.7$$

$$3 \text{ (4) free parameters:}$$

 \Rightarrow Experimental data: ZEUS, HI (HERA), NMC (CERN-SPS) and E665 (Fermilab) coll.

$$x \le 10^{-2} \qquad \begin{array}{l} 0.045 < Q^2 < 800 \, {\rm GeV}^2 & \mbox{847 data points} \\ 0.045 < Q^2 < 50 \, {\rm GeV}^2 & \mbox{703 data points} \end{array}$$

Fits are stable when large Q^2 data are not included in the fit



Preliminary results AAMQ_S I.0 AAMS+P. Quiroga in preparation

✓ Good fits to data on reduced cross sections from combined analysis by HI and ZEUS coll (much smaller error bars!). Fit parameters stable wrt to AAMS 1.0 analysis



d+Au and p+p collisions at RHIC

RHIC Kinematics:

single particle production: Small-x ~ forward production



double inclusive production: Small-x ~ two particles in the forward region!

$$x_{p} = \frac{|k_{1}|e^{y_{1}} + |k_{2}|e^{y_{2}}}{\sqrt{s}}$$
$$x_{A} = \frac{|k_{1}|e^{-y_{1}} + |k_{2}|e^{-y_{2}}}{\sqrt{s}}$$

At RHIC energies, forward measurements needed to isolate small-x (<0.01) effects

\Rightarrow Forward hadron production in the CGC

(Dumitru, Jalilian-Marian)

$$\frac{dN_{h}}{dy_{h} d^{2}p_{t}} = \frac{K}{(2\pi)^{2}} \sum_{q} \int_{x_{F}}^{1} \frac{dz}{z^{2}} \left[x_{1}f_{q/p}(x_{1}, p_{t}^{2}) \tilde{N}_{F}\left(x_{2}, \frac{p_{t}}{z}\right) D_{h/q}(z, p_{t}^{2}) \right]$$
 fragmentation
$$+ x_{1}f_{g/p}(x_{1}, p_{t}^{2}) \tilde{N}_{A}\left(x_{2}, \frac{p_{t}}{z}\right) D_{h/g}(z, p_{t}^{2}) \right]$$

Unintegrated gluon from running coupling BK

MV Initial conditions:

JLA & C. Marquet 10

$$\tilde{N}_{F(A)}(x,k) = \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \left[1 - \mathcal{N}_{F(A)}(r,Y = \ln(x_0/x))\right]$$
$$\mathcal{N}(r,x=x_0) = 1 - \exp\left[-\frac{r^2 Q_0^2}{4} \ln\left(\frac{1}{r\Lambda} + e\right)\right]$$

Two free parameters: (x_0, Q_0) We use CTEQ6 pdf's and de Florian-Sassot ff's

Alternative approaches: Modelization of quantum corrections (Dumitru-JalilianMarian-Hayashigaki; De Boer-Utermann-Wessels; Goncalves et al; Kharzeev-Kovchegov-Tuchin)

Comparison to RHIC forward data [JLA, C. Marquet '10]

- Very good description of forward yields in proton+proton and d+Au collisions
- K=1 for h⁻. K=0.4 (0.3) for neutral pions in p+p (d+Au) ??

- ...by simply taking the ratio of d+Au and p+p spectra we get a good description of the nuclear modification factor (not a trivial statement!!)

- We predict a similar suppression in p+Pb collisions at the LHC already at central rapidities

\Rightarrow Double Inclusive forward hadron production in the CGC

⇒ Double Inclusive forward hadron production in the CGC

 $z = \frac{|k_{\perp}|e^{y_k}}{|k_{\perp}|e^{y_k} + |q_{\perp}|e^{y_q}}$ Involves more than 3 and 4 point functions. Calculated in the large Nc limit

⇒ "Monojets" in d+Au collisions at RHIC at forward rapidity

"Coincidence probability" measured by STAR Coll. at forward rapidities:

\Rightarrow Multiparticle production in A+A coll.

RHIC multiplicities smaller than expected.

Most of particles produces in RHIC Au+Au collisions are small-x gluons

produces particles ~ *#* scattering centers

Two alternative approaches to describe multiparticle production within the CGC:

 \Rightarrow k_t-factorization (Valid in p+A coll.Violated in A+A collisions). Starting point to the Kharzeev-Levin-Nardi model

$$\frac{dN_{AB}^g}{d\eta} = \frac{4\pi N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \int \frac{d^2 p}{p^2} \int d^2 k \,\alpha_s(Q^2) \,\varphi_A(x_1, k) \,\varphi_B(x_2, |p - k|)$$

⇒ Classical Yang-Mills (CYM) Kovner, McLerran, Weigert.

 x^+

 A^{μ} ?

More rigorous, but requires numerical implementation

kt-factorization approaches yield a good description of energy, rapidity and geometry dependence of RHIC data

kt-factorization approaches yield a good description of energy, rapidity and geometry dependence of RHIC data

- ⇒ NLO corrections bring the CGC to a new period of quantitative and predictive phenomenology
- ⇒ Good description of latest e+p data, including heavy flavour
- ⇒ Good description of forward particle production @ RHIC
- Still, many things remain to be done to refine the CGC as a practical precise tool...

Back up slides