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## Total current

 through one interconnection :about 110000 A
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Abstract
The LHC is a complex machine requiring more than 7400 superconducting corrector magnets distributed along a circumference of 26.7 km . These magnets are powered in 1446 different electrical circuits with currents ranging 600 A corrector magnets form the most diverse differentiated group. About 60000 high current

MAGNET TYPES, TARGETS Compared to the main magnets, the LHC correctors operate rather far from the critical current (table 1). called for a cheap and robust design [2], with relatively large mechanical tolerances. Therefore some training could be expected and was indeed observed during production (see below).

1 é
t CERN includes the poweri from 55 A to 11.8 kA . A large s , which can only be validat 1 effects related to possible fa uench and detection currents, del with the surrounding cool completed with a sensitivity : rs. Finally, the implications - first powering are discussed.
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## Engineering Specification

## ACCESS AND POWERING CONDITIONS FOR THE SUPERCONDUCTING CIRCUITS IN LHC

Following the incident abstract
Following the incident on 19 September 2008, more severe access restrictions to underground areas were introduced for the re-powering of electrical circuits with
superconducting magnets. In this document two phases of powering are defined, superconducting magnets. In this document two phases of powering are defined,
phase I and phase II. During powering in phase I the current in the different electrical circuits is limited and the probability for massive aocidental release of
helium due to powering is considered to be negligible. The access conditions are much less severe than in phase II, where the circuits may be powered to their nominal current for the physics energy. The parameters for powering in phase I are defined.
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## Outline

$\rightarrow$ Splices Inventory. Numbers and circuit criticality

- Stored Energy
- MIITs and hot spot temperature
$\rightarrow 600$ A corrector circuits
- Brief description
- Line M and N . US welding
- PCS measurements during HW Commissioning
- Existing NC
$\rightarrow$ Inner triplet 13 kA splices
$\rightarrow$ Future
- MCl
- Missing studies


## Superconducting splices. How many?

|  | Line | Magnet <br> Splices | Interconnection <br> splices | Current rating |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RB | M 3 | 9856 | 3372 | 13 kA |
| RQF/RQD | $\mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{M} 2$ | 3940 | 6744 | 13 kA |
| Spool Pieces | $\mathrm{M} 1, \mathrm{M} 2$ | 30860 | 33920 | 600 A |
| Correctors | N | 27006 | 16000 | 600 A |
| Individually <br> powered magnets | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ | 1644 | 532 | 6 kA |
| Inner triplet quads | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ | 80 | 112 | 13 kA |
| Inner triplet <br> correctors | $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ | 704 | 480 | 600 A |

$\rightarrow$ More than $100000\left(10^{5}\right)$ splices!!

## Energy Stored in the magnets

## Magnetic energy stored in the circuit [GJ]



Magnetic energy stored in the magnets [GJ]


## Criticality of a SC circuit



Quench of the bus-bar in adiabatic conditions. Thanks to G. Kirby
$\rightarrow$ Main circuits incorporate more protection

- Cold diodes
- Energy Extraction
- Larger bus-bar cross-section
$\rightarrow$ MIITs and hot spot temperature estimated in the bus-bar according to real decay data and bus-bar section
- Not a factor $10^{4}$ but a factor 2
- Always safe as in nominal conditions
$\rightarrow$ What about failures
- In the quench detection?
- In the EE switches opening?


## Splice types in the LHC.

He II @ 1.9K, 1Bar

$\rightarrow$ Splices vary in length, copper to SC ratio, insulation, mechanical fixation
$\rightarrow$ You will hear in the following talks about 13 kA and 6 kA

## Corrector circuits 600 A. Lines $M$ and $N$

## The making of the electrical interconnections in the LHC

$\rightarrow$ Spool pieces busbars: Junction technology: Ultrasonic welding

>Clean method (no flux)
> Oxyde destruction by friction

>Contact resistance between 3 and 5 nOhm

- High reproducibility and reliability >On-line process control
$>$ Mechanical resistance : equivalent to base material
>Fatigue life : more than 500 cycles at room and cryogenic temperatures



## Line M interconnection

The making of the electrical interconnections in the LHC
$\rightarrow$ Spool pieces busbars: Electrical insulation

J.Ph. Tock
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## Line N Interconnection

## The making of the electrical interconnections in the LHC

## $\rightarrow$ Auxiliary busbars :Assembly procedure

## HALF CELL



Tool for cable pulling


54 m

+ Fully assembled cable (Plug included) on a transport reel + Line N board components + Protection covers for transport + Wires identification + Certificate of conformity + Cable segment identifier


## Line N Interconnection

## The making of the electrical interconnections in the LHC

$\rightarrow$ Auxiliary busbars:Assembly procedure


Operation \#LI-2-05
"Assemble the connection box"
Operation \#LI-2-06 "Perform electrical test"
Operation \#LI-2-07 "Weld line $N$ auxiliary bus
bars
Operation \#LI-2-08 "Perform electrical test"
Operation \#LI-2-09
Operation \#LI-2-10
"Insulate electrically the
aux.
, "Eltrasonic welding ical test"

$\rightarrow$ Reported by D. Tommasini to MARIC on November 2006 after the inspection of the first installed sector

- Presence of insulation between wires
- Bad alignment with reduction of contact surface
$\rightarrow$ Cryolab measurements showed 4 to 19 nOhms
$\rightarrow$ US welding machines put in conformity
$\rightarrow$ Suspected interconnections re-done during following warm-up
$\rightarrow$ Test proposed during powering to spot catastrophic cases


## PCS splice verification


$\rightarrow$ Test systematically done during powering tests for all 600 A circuits
$\rightarrow$ Current plateau at minimum current (200 A). Resistance deduced from QPS voltage measurements.
$\rightarrow$ Repeated at nominal current. Data stored in MTF
$\rightarrow$ Assumed resolution $<1 \mu \mathrm{Ohm}$

## Results from the last powering campaign


$\rightarrow$ Resistance is indeed proportional to the number of splices but noise is very high.
$\rightarrow$ Noise depends on the circuit type. Cable length, number of magnets and inductance.
$\rightarrow$ RCO circuit is a 120 A circuit and test is done at 100 A .

## Results from last hardware commissioning campaign


$\rightarrow$ Expected value is between 4 and 6 nOhms
$\rightarrow$ RQ6 (6xMQTL) has a higher average splice value.

- Systematic. May be due to internal splices in the magnet
$\rightarrow$ RCO splices are nominally higher than others


## First circuits to verify and re-measure

Resistance at nominal current measured during Powering


Average resistance per splice


## Existing NC in 600 A circuits



$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Given Comments (3 records) Hide } & \\ & \text { Normal display | Text display | Show all pages | Hide all pages Sort: Date | Reviewer | Page }\end{array}$
Giorgio D'ANGELO on 2009-03-20, 14:50 said:

Since this circuit is not needed for the 1st run of LHC at $5 \mathrm{TeV}, \mathrm{HCC}$ decided to postpone investigation due to time constrain.
Giorgio D'ANGELO on 2009-04-09, 15:40 said:
Diagnostics performed on 26th of March 2009, shows that this circuit is open between position B12L1 and B11L1
This circuit cannot be used until it is repaired (need to warm up the sector and open the interconnections).
Giorgio D'ANGELO on 2009-10-08, 18:18 said: $\quad$ Initiated comment This circuit was tested during TP4-E campaign (Oct.2009) and the problem is still present. Cold circuit is isolated from ground and other circuits. It is condemned at the level of power converter.

EDMS Hyperlinks

## Sketch of the RCO.A81.B2 circuit - External aperture

Circuit found open, at 1.9 K , on 23/03/2009 between B12.L1 and B11.L1

| Position: | Magnet name: | Upstream position: |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| C12L1 | MBB_3094 | 26171.6225 |
| B12L1 | MBA_3174 | 26187.2825 |
| A12L1 | MBB_1144 | 26202.9425 |
| Q11L1 | SSS_524 | 26218.6025 |
|  | CC | 26226.3475 |
| B11L1 | MBA_1158 | 26240.0642 |
| A11L1 | MBB_1103 | 26255.7242 |
| Q10L1 | SSS_641 | 26271.3842 |
| B10L1 | MBA_1160 | 26279.1292 |



B10
MBA_1
26279.1292


## Inner triplet 13 kA splices

$\rightarrow$ Two double bus-bars $\mathrm{Cu} / \mathrm{SC}$

- 5 kA and 8 kA
- Brazed similarly to the 6kA flat cable
$\rightarrow$ All splices protected together with the magnets at a 100 mV threshold




## NC 948545 on a 120 A octupole corrector

| Number: $\mid \mathbf{9 4 8 5 4 5}$ | ver. $\mathbf{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| EDMS Id: $\mathbf{9 4 8 5 4 5}$ |  |

QN-ELQA-TP4E-IGC-RGOSX3.L1-001 Giorgio D'ANGELO
Report - Non conformity
2008-08-12


## Given Comments (1 record) Hide

Normal display $\mid$ Text display $\mid$ Show all pages | Hide all pages Sort: Date | Reviewer | Page Richard MOMPO on 2009-07-16, 09:10 said: During standard ELQA campaign at warm (TP4 tests) performed on 14/07/2009, circuit RCOSX3 was found open. After a basic investigation, the circuit is open below the cold vtaps of the 120 A current leads. This circuit is isolated from ground and from the other circuits.

EDMS Hyperlinks
This nacre
$\rightarrow$ As for the spool, high resistance was seen during the EIQA tests ( $>\mu \mathrm{Ohm}$ )
$\rightarrow$ Need to open the cryostat to locate and repair the fault

## Conclusions

$\rightarrow$ What is the maximum credible incident (MCI) affecting each of these circuit types

- Quench detection failing? Non propagating quench
- Arcing in a spool piece next to M1, M2 line
- ...?
$\rightarrow$ Work ahead of us:
- Investigation of excessive resistance in 600 A circuits
- Verify the splice parameters (mainly for US magnets)
- Evaluate heating of the bus-bar under accidental conditions

