Hadronic Taus in CMS Goals, Status, and Plans

Eduardo E. Luiggi

University of Colorado at Boulder

US CMS JTerm IV August 3, 2009



- SM H → ττ becomes important if SM Higgs is light as suggested by precision EWK data
- ► Measurement of b and τ decay modes of Higgs is important to verify that V_{Hff} ∝ m_f
- ► MSSM models with large tan β
 - $H/A \rightarrow \tau \tau$ are enhanced
 - For $m_{H^{\pm}} < m_t m_b$, $t \rightarrow bH^{\pm}$ with H^{\pm} decaying to $\tau \nu_{\tau} \sim 100\%$
- Other SUSY searches: $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow q \tilde{\tau} \tau$



 But before any discovery claim can be made

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

$$\blacktriangleright Z \rightarrow \tau \tau$$

Experimental Signature and Challenges

4

Properties

- Taus decay hadronically \sim 65% of the time ($c\tau = 87 \mu m$).
- Low multiplicity:
 - ~ 76.5% of hadronic decays are to 1 charged pion
 - ~ 23.5% of hadronic decays are to 3 charged pions
 - Rarely to 5 or more charged pions
 Challenges
- Visible decay products are soft due to escaping v's
- ► Need to use low p_T threshold to preserve acceptance
- Multi-jet background is very high;
 Fake rates are at least an order of magnitude larger than for e's and µ's



Look for narrow isolated jets with low multiplicity and at least one track with relatively high p_T



- Provide efficient and well understood tau trigger, reconstruction, and identification suitable for a wide range of physics analyses
 - Higgs (light, charged)
 - SUSY
 - Z' like resonances
 - New, unexpected …
- Real life puts constraints on what can be done, e.g.
 - Can't change trigger hardware
 - Can't remove material from the tracker
- ... and how fast it can be done
 - Lower acceptance and higher backgrounds require more data than for lighter leptons



Three Components

Successful execution of physics program with taus relies on several inter-related ingredients

Triggers

- High efficiency at tolerable rate
- Ability to measure efficiency

Offline Reconstruction

- High efficiency at acceptable fake rate
- Ability to understand and measure efficiency

Standard candle proto-analyses

- Measurement of efficiencies requires reasonably clean samples
- ▶ Selection of clean samples can only be accomplished by advancing standard candle analyses, e.g., $Z \to \tau \tau$



Level-1 Tau Trigger

- Limited Calo info
- ▶ Reco Jets with E_T > 10 GeV at Level-1 are classified as: Tau-Jet, Central-Jet, or Forward-Jet.
- ► A jet with N_{cluster} ≤ 1 (up to 2x2) in any of the 9 trigger regions is called a Tau-Jet.
- Improvement: For each trigger region check "partial isolation sum"; Only one region is allowed to fail.







HLT: Level-2

- Software based; detailed calo info allows for more sophisticated algorithms
- Online selection become similar to offline
- Jet candidates reconstructed using cone with ΔR = 0.2; size of cone tuned to reduce QCD bg



 Further QCD rejection can be obtained by exploiting differences in the energy profile and isolation properties between signal and QCD



HLT: Level-2.5 & 3

- Track based selections applied to jets passing L2
- These requirements are similar to those used offline
- ▶ Require a leading track with p_T > 5 GeV reconstructed around the L2 jet direction (L2.5)
- ▶ Require no tracks with p_T > 1 GeV in isolation annulus around lead track (L3)



o E. Luiggi Hadronic Taus in CMS



4

Measuring Tau Trigger Efficiency with Early Data

- Very hard to select an unbiased sample of clean taus due to large BGs
- Can't apply "tag and probe" techniques like for Z → ee or Z → μμ
 100pb⁻¹: Expect ~ 10k after trigger and a few hundred after offline selection
 - $\blacktriangleright\,$ Large statistical uncertainty $\sim 10\%$
 - Need at least $1 f b^{-1}$ to establish full trigger efficiency from real taus
- Solution: Use "tau-like" objects (fakes satisfy offline selections)
 - ▶ Parametrize eff as functions of p_T , η
 - Factorize efficiencies: $\epsilon_{tot} = \epsilon_{L1} + \epsilon_{HLT} + \epsilon_{offline}$



Offline Reconstruction

- Offline selection done in two steps:
 - Common Pre-selection: Basis for all analyses with taus; simple, robust, and very similar to online selections
 - High–Level tau identification algorithms: Suitable to be adapted for individual physics analyses
- Recent Improvements to Core ID
 - Use lead candidate (charged or neutral pion)
 - Incorporation of MVA tools
 - Better treatment of photon conversions







Improvements: Lead Candidate

- ▶ Instead of requiring a track above threshold ($p_T > 5$ GeV) ask for a track or neutral pion candidate with $p_T > 5$ GeV
 - ▶ Recovers a whole class of previously lost tau candidates allowing large improvement in efficiency, especially in low E_T region
 - Yields a moderate increase in QCD background



Categorize different tau decay topology according to number of

charged and neutral pions

- Train specific NN for each mode
- Use NN on respective decay mode when discriminating
- PFTauDiscriminator for each benchmark point for estimated fake rates
 - ▶ 0.10%
 - ▶ 0.25%
 - ▶ 0.50%
 - ▶ 1.0%

Allowed Modes



Efficiency. vs. Fake Rate (shrinkingConePFTauDecayModeProducer) (TaNC)





Improvements: Photon Conversions



- Conversions degrade ID efficiency
 - ► A photon from a signal π⁰ can convert into e⁺e⁻ pair; the charged lepton drifts away due the magnetic field into the isolation annulus
 - If no tracks PF will classify the particle as photon in isolation annulus
- Solution
 - The drift only occurs in the phi direction
 - "Expand" signal region for PFGammaCandidates using ellipse with $R_{\phi} > R_{\eta}$







Algorithms aimed at obtaining high purity sample

- Electron rejection
- Muon rejection
- Charged particle multiplicity
- Neutral particle multiplicity
- Tau–Jet profile in signal cone and isolation annulus
- Some cuts
 - ► *E*/*P*: Sum energy of ECAL clusters within $\Delta \eta < 0.04$ wrt to the extrapolated impact point of leading track on the ECAL surface divided by lead track momentum
 - ► $H_{3\times3}/P$: Sum energy of HCAL clusters within $\Delta R < 0.184$ (~ area formed by a set of 3 × 3 calo towers) wrt to the extrapolated impact point of leading track on the calo surface divided by lead track momentum

Re-optimization and extensions likely as data becomes available

Electron Rejection













- CMS is planning a very rich physics programs with taus in the final state
 - SM Higgs
 - ► H/A
 - SUSY cascades
 - ► Z'
 - others
- > Tau reconstruction techniques are very mature at the moment
- Improvements continue to be made
 - Photon based seeding for taus
 - Incorporation of MVA tools to reduce backgrounds
 - Smarter isolation regions for gamma conversions
- Work on standard candle analysis is ongoing
- Preparing to measure trigger efficiency with early data
- Getting ready for real data

Backup: Tau Trigger Paths



Trigger Menu	Trigger Path	Threshold	
$8 \times 10^{29} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	HLT_SingleLooselsoTau20	SingleTauJet20 OR SingleJet30	
	$HLT_DoubleLooselsoTau15$	DoubleTauJet14 OR DoubleJet30	
10 ³¹ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	HLT_SingleLooselsoTau30_Trk5	SingleTauJet40 OR SingleJet100	
	HLT_DoubleLooseIsoTau15_Trk5	DoubleTauJet30 OR DoubleJet70	

Trigger Menu	Trigger Path	Threshold
$8 imes 10^{29} m \ cm^{-2} s^{-1}$	HLT_SingleLooselsoTau20	One tau $E_T > 20$
	$HLT_DoubleLooselsoTau15$	Two taus $E_T > 15$
$10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$	HLT_SingleLooselsoTau30_Trk5	One tau $E_T > 30$
	HLT_DoubleLooselsoTau15_Trk5	Two taus $E_T > 15$

Path	Level-1	Level-2	Level-2.5	Level-3
HLT_SingleLooselsoTau30_Trk5 (wrt MC)	39%	10%	8%	7%
HLT_SingleLooselsoTau30_Trk5 (wrt iso Tau)	42%	10%	10%	9%
HLT_DoubleLooselsoTau15_Trk5 (wrt MC)	42%	36%	23%	_
HLT_DoubleLooselsoTau15_Trk5 (wrt iso Tau)	43%	37%	34%	-

・ロン ・部 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と …

3

Backup: Photon Conversions





- Temporary Solution: Increse threshold in ECAL isolation region to 1.5 GeV
 - Recover efficiency
 - Loose rejection power

