First SPL Collaboration Meeting



Expectations...

- Meeting goals & organization
- Detailed objectives per Working Group

R. Garoby

11/12/2008



The purpose of the SPL Study is to provide in 2011 a definition of the project with enough details to allow for a "safe" realization starting in 2012, with a reasonably (!) accurate cost estimate.

We must therefore:

- 1. list all items that deserve detailed design and/or experimental validation
- 2. define how to achieve the objectives set in 1 (including "who does what?")
- 3. identify uncovered objectives and suggest solutions/mitigation measures.



<u>Remark:</u> H⁻ ion source, modulators and beam instrumentation are deliberately not on the agenda of this meeting.

MEETING GOALS

They are meant to contribute to fill the purpose of the SPL Study:

- to review specifications and technical choices + to set deadlines for decision on pending questions,

- to define the precise contribution of each partner (deliverables and planning) and the interactions between partners (names of persons in charge, exchange of information/hardware, planning of meetings, ...),

- to propose how to demonstrate 25 MV/m (β =1) and 19 MV/m (β =0.65) before mid-2011
- to list untreated subjects and collect suggestions for addressing them,
- to organize the collaboration (Constitution?),
- to define the dates of the main meetings until end of 2009.



<u>Remark:</u> H⁻ ion source, modulators and beam instrumentation are deliberately not on the agenda of this meeting.

WORKING GROUPS:

The mandate of the working groups is to fulfill the meeting goals on a subset of subjects.

WG 1: High power RF equipment (RF distribution, amplitude/phase modulators, circulators, loads...)

WG 2: Cavity design (Geometric beta, high power coupler, HOM damper/coupler, tuner...) and construction (Manufacturers, processing facilities, low power RF tests...)

WG 3: Cryomodule and integration (Design, construction, assembly...)

WG 4: Beam dynamics and loss management (Collective effects, H⁻ stripping, collimation...)



MAIN ISSUES:

Project definition:

• Architecture [type of power distribution, location of isolators & windows, need for vector modulators (joint with WG 2 and LLRF), ...]

• Cost difference between 1 and n cavities/ klystron ?

> List of items needing experimental validation before mid-2011:

- RF distribution
- RF coupler
- ???

Procedure for validating items:

- Work distribution among partners
- •???

> Unresolved (open) issues before 2011:

- High power RF test place ?...
- ???





WG 2 (Cavity Design and Construction)

MAIN ISSUES:

Project definition:

- Geometric β of the cavities (joint with WG 4)
- Need for HOM damper (joint with WG 4)+ type of damper (if needed)...
- Mechanical modes (joint with WG 1 and 4)
- Type of tuner

> List of items needing experimental validation before mid-2011:

- Accelerating gradients (for given Q)...
- 50 Hz pulsed operation (link with WG 1) ?
- Integration in cryomodule ?

Procedure for validating items:

- Work distribution among partners
- •???

> Unresolved (open) issues before 2011:

- Cavity fabrication (industry workload) ?
- Chemical treatment / electro polishing facilities ?
- Demonstration of accelerating gradient ?

•???

R.G. - 11/12/2008



WG 3 (Cryomodule and Integration)

MAIN ISSUES:

> Project definition:

- Integration needs (RF and HOM couplers, tuner...)
- Compilation of functional specifications (alignment, thermal, mechanical...)
- Interaction with layout + installation + cryogenics
- •???

List of items needing detailed design before mid-2011:

- Couplers
- Interconnect space
- Cryogenics operation with 1.7 % slope
- ???

Procedure for validating items:

- Work distribution among partners
- •???

> Unresolved (open) issues before 2011:

- Clean room for assembly of cryomodule ?
- Test place with High Power RF and 2 K cooling capability
- •???

R.G. - 11/12/2008



WG 4 (Beam Dynamics and Loss Management)

MAIN ISSUES:

Project definition:

- Geometric β of the cavities (joint with WG 2)
- Accelerating gradients
- Need for HOM damper (joint with WG 2)
- ???

List of items needing detailed design before mid-2011:

- Collimation (all planes) / geometry of transfer line
- Beam ejection at 1.4 and 2.5 GeV
- Management of spread of gradients between cavities
- Operation with unpowered ("bad") cavities
- Fault handling
- Specification of beam instrumentation
- ???

Procedure for validating items:

- Work distribution among partners
- •???

> Unresolved (open) issues before 2011:

•???

R.G. - 11/12/2008