

OUTLINE

- Introduction
- ✓ The new linacs
 - Implementation stages
 - Specific constraints
- Meeting goals

INTRODUCTION

CERN accelerator complex



Upgrade procedure

1. Lack of reliability:

<u>Ageing</u> accelerators (PS is 48 years old !) operating far beyond initial parameters

 \Rightarrow need for new accelerators designed for the needs of SLHC

2. Main performance limitation:

Excessive incoherent space charge tune spreads ΔQ_{SC} at injection in the PSB (50 MeV) and PS (1.4 GeV) because of the high required beam brightness N/ε^* .

$$\Delta Q_{sc} \propto \frac{N_b}{\varepsilon_{x,y}} \cdot \frac{R}{\beta \gamma^2}$$

with N_b : number of protons/bunch
 $\varepsilon_{x,y}$: normalized transverse emittances
 R : mean radius of the accelerator
 $\beta \gamma$: classical relativistic parameters

 \Rightarrow need to increase the injection energy in the synchrotrons

- Increase injection energy in the PSB from 50 to 160 MeV kinetic
- Increase injection energy in the PSB from 25 to 50 GeV kinetic
- Design the PS successor (PS2) with an acceptable space charge effect for the maximum beam envisaged for SLHC: => injection energy of 4 GeV

Present and future injectors





20 July 2007

Layout of the new injectors (1/2)



Layout of the new injectors (2/2)



THE NEW LINACS

Stage 1: Linac4 (1/2)



352.2 MHz

Linac4 beam characteristics

H
160 MeV
352.2 MHz
1.1 (2) Hz
0.4 (1.2) ms
62%
80 mA
70 mA
64 mA
40 mA
5.1 kW
1.0 10 ¹⁴
0.2 mm mrad
0.4 mm mrad



Stage 1: Linac4 (2/2)



Stage 2: LPSPL (1/2)



LPSPL beam	Energy (GeV)	4
characteristics	Beam power (MW)	0.19
	Rep. frequency (Hz)	2
	Protons/pulse (x 10 ¹⁴)	1.5
	Av. Pulse current (mA)	20
	Pulse duration (ms)	1.2
	Bunch frequency (MHz)	352.2
	Physical length (m)	~460

Stage 2: LPSPL (2/2)

Construction of LPSPL and PS2 will not interfere with the regular operation of Linac4 + PSB for physics. Similarly, beam commissioning of LPSPL and PS2 will take place without interference with physics.

ID	Task Name	Start	Finish	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
1	SPL + PS2	Mon 1/7/08	Mon 7/3/17		<u> </u>	-									
2	Design	Mon 1 <i>/</i> 7/08	Wed 6/1/11					<u> </u>	1						
3	SPL Construction	Mon 1/2/12	Fri 1/1/16)		
4	SPL beam commissioning	Mon 6/1/15	Fri 12/2/16												
5	PS2 construction	Mon 1/2/12	Fri 4/1/16												
6	PS2 beam commissioning	Mon 4/4/16	Fri 12/2/16										Č		
7	SPS modification	Fri 11/4/16	Fri 5/5/17										(<u>ل</u>	
8	SPS beam commissioning	Mon 5/8/17	Fri 6/30/17											١Ľ.	
9	Start operation for physics	Mon 7/3/17	Mon 7/3/17											₩ 1	/3

Milestones

- Project proposal: June 2011
- Project start: January 2012
- LPSPL commissioning: mid-2015
- PS2 commissioning: mid-2016
- SPS commissioning: May 2017
- Beam for physics: July 2017

Stage 3: SPL (1/2)



SPL beam	Energy (GeV)	5
characteristics	Beam power (MW)	4 – 8
	Rep. frequency (Hz)	50
	Protons/pulse (x 10 ¹⁴)	1 (3)
	Av. Pulse current (mA)	40
	Pulse duration (ms)	0.4 (1.2)
	Bunch frequency (MHz)	352.2
	Physical length (m)	~535

Stage 3: SPL (2/2)

- The upgrade from LPSPL to SPL will depend upon the approval of major new physics programmes for Radioactive Ion beams (EURISOL-type facility) and/or for neutrinos (Neutrino factory).
- Staged hardware upgrade during shutdowns
- Earliest year of operation: 2020

Specific constraints

- Beam parameters:
 - Bunching frequency: LEP legacy => 352.2 MHz
 - Potential for high flux:
 - Low loss => careful design + safety margins
 - Radiation => adequate shielding
 - High duty factor => proper dimensioning of cooling capabilities of costly equipment (accelerating structures, magnets...) and empty space for additional equipment
- Layout:
 - Compatibility with existing accelerators + CERN site with easy extension
 - Slope ~ 1.5 %
- Physics:
 - Minimum interference with the physics programme:
 - => Minimum duration of additional shutdown and setting-up (Construction + commissioning in parallel with operation)
 - => Maximum reliability in operation
 - Capability to cover the needs of the known and of the major new programmes envisaged :
 => flexibility
- Economics...
 - => Minimize construction and exploitation cost
 - => Minimize material and human resources for exploitation
 - => Search for synergies with other projects
- R. Garoby

MEETING GOALS

- To summarize all the available information
- To collect feedback from a broader audience

in view of

- Checking validity of statements
- Looking for missing arguments
- If possible, concluding...
- Or at least listing the next actions and the way to proceed for arriving at a properly motivated decision in the Summer of 2008

References

"New Initiatives 2008-2011" (1/2)

- Formal request of the CERN direction to the Council in June 2007:
- "Scientific Activities of CERN and Budget Estimates for the years 2008-2011 and provisional projections until 2016" – CERN/2728/Rev.
- 3 high priority themes (the study of SPL and PS2 are parts of the 2nd theme together with the construction of Linac4)

White Paper page 21

B) Second Theme:

As a high priority, the renovation of the entire old injector complex should be started in order to eliminate the concern about reliability of LHC operation in the longer term and the technical bottlenecks in the present injection line. The proposed strategy is firstly to replace the present Linac 2 with a new PS Booster (PSB) injector, Linac 4, and later to replace the PS by a new one (PS2), with a similar cycling rate but capable of 50 GeV. PS2 will probably be fed by a new high beam-current superconducting proton linac (SPL) capable of about 5 GeV. SPL could also be appropriate for a neutrino production facility.

In the period up to 2011, this strategy should thus be implemented by two actions:

- The first is to build Linac 4, capable of 160 MeV instead of 50 MeV and with a better emittance. These features will enhance the ability to operate the LHC reliably at high

"New Initiatives 2008-2011" (2/2)

White Paper page 22

White Paper

page 24

- The second, to be executed in parallel to the first, is to push forward the design of PS2 and SPL. The requested effort represents the CERN contribution to attract international partners, typically in the context of FP7 proposals. Despite the comment of the SPC panel, the requested effort for SPL is not felt to be excessive in order to prepare such a large project (see also comment on Linac 4 construction above). Estimated P requirement = 30 FTEs for PS2 and 40 FTEs for the SPL.
- A lower priority theme to be financed with 10 MCHF/year in 2010 and after

D) Fourth Theme:

This Theme includes activities that are of high scientific interest, but which rely only partially on CERN contributions and will require an agreement with outside partners for an amount that is currently unknown. The components of this Theme are:

- A facility to be (re)built at CERN, profiting from earlier investments (LEP), including additional equipment and a facility for testing superconducting cavities. Projects that would need such a facility include: International Linear Collider, Superconducting Proton Linac; high intensity Neutrino Facility; High Intensity and Energy ISOLDE. This facility would include clean rooms, an electro-polishing facility, cryogenic cooling infrastructure at 4.2 and 1.8 K, RF testing equipment, etc.). The contribution of CERN may be in the range of:
 - $M + P = 10 MCHF + \sim 20 FTEs.$

Long Term Plan [DG's talk after Council approval (slide 46)]

Ú

Prospects for scientific activities over the period 2012-2016



Results available from LHC operation during the period 2008-2011 and from the activities proposed above should allow the CERN Council in 2010-2011 to decide on the future of CERN for more than one decade.

If results from the LHC, as is highly likely, suggest the need for an increase in luminosity allowing a more extensive exploration of the new territory opened by the LHC, a decision on the luminosity increase (new RF system, new magnets for IR, increased cooling, new tracking in detectors, etc.) will entail a simultaneous decision to build a new injector (SPL and PS) since higher LHC performance cannot be achieved reliably enough without a new injection line.

The total cost of the investment, which is assumed to be realized in 6 years (2011-2016), is within the range 1'000-1'200 MCHF and will require a staff of 200-300 per year, thus a total budget of about 200-250 MCHF per year.

Linac4 accelerating structures

Linac4 accelerates H- ions up to 160 MeV energy:

□ in about 80 m length

□ using 4 different accelerating structures, all at 352 MHz

□ the Radio-Frequency power is produced by 19 klystrons

☐ focusing of the beam is provided by 111 Permanent Magnet Quadrupoles and 33 Electromagnetic Quadrupoles

- ions	up		PI	Ms			
gth		STATE OF				~	
accelera MHz	ating		COLOR DE LE		CCDTL	4	
ncy po ystrons	wer		and the second s	A CONTRACTOR	Number of Street	DTL	
am is manen s and 3 adrupo	t 33 Ies		8	36m			3MeV line Source
RFQ	DTL	CCDTL	PIMS				and the
3	50	102	160	MeV			
250	250	250	250	NATT_			

	y IVI		CCDIL	I IIVID	
Output energy	3	50	102	160	MeV
Frequency	352	352	352	352	MHz
No. of resonators	1	3	7	12	
Gradient E ₀	-	3.2	2.8-3.9	4.0	MV/m
Max. field	1.95	1.6	1.7	1.8	Kilp.
RF power	0.5	4.7	6.4	11.9	MW
No. of klystrons	1	1+2	7	4+4	
Length	6	18.7	25.2	21.5	m

A 70 m long transfer line connects to the existing line Linac2 - PS Booster

Linac4 civil engineering





Pre-integration May – October 2007: definition of building dimensions and characteristics Tendering drawings preparation November 2007 – April 2008 Integration continuing, for small modifications before start of works.