

# **GEN-II Experiment**

Measuring the Neutron Electric Form Factor at High Q<sup>2</sup>

Gary Penman APP, HEPP & NP IOP 10.04.24



#### **GEN-II: Neutron Electric Form Factor at High Q<sup>2</sup>**



#### **Elastic eN Scattering and Form Factors**



Nucleon structure is revealed in the Q<sup>2</sup> evolution of the form factors

#### **Electric Form Factor of the Neutron (GEn)**



World data for GEn from polarized measurements

Projected points for GEn-II experiment and preliminary GEn-I points.

#### Hall A Experimental Setup: Super Bigbite Spectrometer

#### Electron Arm: Bigbite

- 750A Dipole Magnet
- Full Detector Stack
  - Calo Trigger
  - **GEM Tracking**
  - Cherenkov
  - Timing Hodoscope



#### Nucleon Arm: SBS

- 2100A Dipole Magnet
- Hadron Calorimeter



#### Polarised <sup>3</sup>He Target



#### Analysis: e<sup>-</sup> Track Selection

<u>*π*</u> rejection

different behaviors in the preshower calorimeter and

in energy deposition and

cluster size respectively

**GRINCH** cherenkov detector

Tracking Performed by Gas Electron Multipliers (GEMs) Calorimeter trigger provides a track search region. Track algorithm finds all possible tracks with at least 3 hits within the 5 GEM layers Track Algorithm produces a "best track" per event with 99%+ efficiency



Remove scattering associated with target and beryllium beam-pipe window.



A wide starting cut around the nucleon mass squared, 0.88 GeV<sup>2</sup> removes superelastic & most inelastic events



### **Exclusive Nucleon Selection**

Demand coincidence trigger between Bigbite and SBS.

Project q-vector towards HCal.

Quasi-elastic position projected-detected cuts (dx, dy) select on QE spots





#### **Preliminary Asymmetries and Backgrounds**



In Progress analysis of background fractions and associated dilution asymmetries

#### **Preliminary Asymmetries and Backgrounds**



In Progress analysis of background fractions and associated dilution asymmetries

### **Conclusion & Next Steps**

- Pass 2 data requirements
  - > Calorimeter re-calibration on neutron data
  - Inclusion of Cherenkov calibrated database
  - Recalibration of all detectors timing relative to timing hodoscope, due to recent progress in understanding this detector
- Finalise Thesis Analysis
  - > Quantify dilution factors and backgrounds using final full simulation data
  - > FInish cut optimisation using full uncertainty in every iteration and bin
  - Final event selection cuts
- Publication
  - > Full analysis likely a few years more progress. Pass 3 not ruled out.

#### THANKS!



## Backup

#### What Is A Form Factor?

Generally, a form factor is just a fourier transform of a charge distribution:

$$F(\vec{q}) = \int d^3r \rho(r) e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}}$$

Elastic Form Factors  $G_E$ ,  $G_M$  Describe internal structure of nucleons.

Related to charge and magnetization distributions within the nucleon.



#### **Flavour Separation**

Extracted  $G_F$  and  $G_M$  can be decomposed  $F_1 = \frac{G_E + \tau G_M}{1 + \tau}$   $F_2 = -\frac{G_E - G_M}{1 + \tau}$ Different behaviour of u and d quarks may indicate diquark correlations ~ 1/Q<sup>2</sup> U, ~ 1/Q<sup>4</sup> !





Global Database for proton form factors obtained via Rosenbluth Separation method. Neutron form factors much less well understood - <u>no free neutron targets!</u>

#### **Proton FFs in Double Polarisation Experiments**



Recoil Polarisation techniques area more sensitive way to measure  $\mathbf{G}_{p}^{\mathsf{E}}$  which is multiplied by  $\mathbf{G}_{p}^{\mathsf{M}}$  in the transverse component of the polarization,  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathsf{T}}$ .

Unlike the Rosenbluth Method, the cross section is not increasingly dominated by  $\mathbf{G^2}_{M}$  at large  $\mathbf{Q}^2$ .

World data for  $\mu G^{E}_{p}$  /  $G^{M}_{p}$  is shown on the left.

#### **Magnetic Form Factor of the Neutron (GMn)**



Existing data for GMn ( $Q^2 > 1$  GeV), plotted as ratio to scaled dipole approximation.

Blue - CLAS e5 run, green + magenta - SLAC, yellow - old/legacy

SBS should have reduced systematic uncertainty at high Q<sup>2</sup> in part due to ratio method.

#### Hall A: Super Bigbite Spectrometer (SBS)



2 arm spectrometer - large  $\overline{x}, \overline{p}$  acceptance!

High precision form factor measurements

Installed 2020/21

First experimental run 2021/22 (GMn)

Polarised <sup>3</sup>He target installed 2022

First <sup>3</sup>He run 2022/23 (GEn) completed

Future experiments GEn-RP, Pion SDPO, GEp-V - extend in to 2025

#### **Electron Arm: Bigbite**

- Bigbite magnet
- (4 Front +1 Rear) XY gas electron multiplier (GEM) tracking layers.
- Gas Cherenkov (GRINCH) detector
- Preshower Calorimeter (2x26 lead-glass blocks)
- Timing Hodoscope (89bars + 178pmts)
- Shower Calorimeter (7x27 lead-glass blocks)

BBCAL forms single arm trigger. Analogue sum of energy deposited in each trigger block.



P. Datta (FC NHP 2022) https://indico.jlab.org/event/529/contributions/10270/attachments/8180/11693/F%26C MIT gmn%26bbcal 2022.pdf

#### **Nucleon Arm: Super Bigbite**

#### • SBS Magnet

- 2100A at 100% p,n separation!
- (2INFN + 6UVa) GEM layers
  - Fully utilised in GEp
- Hadron Calorimeter [HCAL] (242 Fe/Scintillator plate blocks)
  - ~700ps TOF and ~30% energy resolution

HCAL + BBCAL function as coincidence trigger



### CEBAF: Continuous Electron Beam Accelerator Facility

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Linearly polarised electron beams up to 12 GeV and around 85% polarisation to four experimental halls simultaneously

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Polarised <sup>3</sup>He Target

10 atm glass 'cell' comprised of pumping chamber (PC), transfer tubes (TT) and target chamber (TC).

PC Filled with  ${}^{3}$ He, N $_{2}$ , and 2 alkali metals (K-19 and Rb-85)

PC resides inside ceramic 'oven' at around 260 degrees C.

~650nm lasers directed into sides of PC via mirror system, with about 160 W total power.

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Polarised <sup>3</sup>He Target

Entire system located within set of Helmholtz (HH) coils.

Downstream transfer tube has heater strip to induce convection around cell.

<sup>3</sup>He gas polarised via spin exchange optical pumping (SEOP).

![](_page_21_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Rotate to match magnets

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

### RTDs for Temp monitoring

### Spin Exchange Optical Pumping (SEOP)

Alkali vapor polarized by optical pumping from laser radiation.

Collide with <sup>3</sup>He transferring spin via hyperfine interactions

$$P_{\rm He}(t) = P_{\rm Alk} \frac{\gamma_{se}}{\gamma_{se}(1+X) + \Gamma} \left(1 - e^{-t(\gamma_{se} + \Gamma)}\right)$$

Nitrogen Suppresses reradiation via quenching of excited atoms

Mix of Kb and K increases <u>efficiency</u> of polarization of the helium

High power diode lasers allow for larger <u>volume</u> of helium to be polarized

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### **Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)**

- Apply RF field to spins
  - $\circ \quad \text{Resonance} \to \text{Signal in Coils}$
- Meet Resonance Criteria Via Adiabatic Fast Passage (AFP)
- Destructive measurement AFP sweeps cause losses ~1%
- mV:% conversion factor required to get true polarisation value

$$D_x = \frac{US_x + DS_x}{2} \quad D_y = \frac{US_y + DS_y}{2}$$
$$D = \sqrt{D_x^2 + D_y^2}$$
$$S_{NMR} \approx D$$

![](_page_23_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### **Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR)**

EPR - Measure Zeeman Effect in K electrons

- Frequency sweep over alkali resonance modes
  - Signal when frequency == splitting.
- Signal is first derivative of absorption spectrum
- EPR non destructive AFP still causes losses.

$$c = \frac{S_{\text{NMR}}}{P_{\text{EPR}}(n_{\text{p}}\Phi_{\text{p}} + n_{\text{t}}\Phi_{\text{t}} + n_{\text{tt}}\Phi_{\text{tt}})}$$

![](_page_24_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### NMR + EPR

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{h} &= \Sigma + h\Delta \\ A_{N} &= \frac{\sigma_{+} - \sigma_{-}}{\sigma_{+} + \sigma_{-}} = \frac{\Delta}{\Sigma} \\ \Sigma &= \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \bigg|_{\text{Mott}} \frac{E_{f}}{E_{i}} \left( \frac{G_{E}^{2} + \tau G_{M}^{2}}{1 + \tau} + 2\tau G_{M}^{2} \tan^{2}(\theta/2) \right) \\ \Delta &= -2 \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \bigg|_{\text{Mott}} \frac{E_{f}}{E_{i}} \sqrt{\frac{\tau}{1 + \tau}} \tan(\theta/2) \left[ \sqrt{\tau(1 + (1 + \tau) \tan^{2}(\theta/2))} \cos\theta^{*} G_{M}^{2} + \sin\theta^{*} \cos\phi^{*} G_{M} G_{E} \right] \\ A_{\text{phys}} &= -\frac{2\sqrt{\tau(\tau + 1)} \tan(\theta/2) G_{E}^{n} G_{M}^{n} \sin\theta^{*} \cos\phi^{*}}{(G_{E}^{n})^{2} + (G_{M}^{n})^{2}(\tau + 2\tau(1 + \tau) \tan^{2}(\theta/2))} \\ &- \frac{2\tau\sqrt{1 + \tau + (1 + \tau)^{2} \tan^{2}(\theta/2)} \tan(\theta/2) (G_{M}^{n})^{2} \cos\theta^{*}}{(G_{E}^{n})^{2} + (G_{M}^{n})^{2}(\tau + 2\tau(1 + \tau) \tan^{2}(\theta/2))} \\ &\int A_{\perp} &= -\frac{G_{E}^{n}}{G_{M}^{n}} \frac{2\sqrt{\tau(\tau + 1)} \tan(\theta/2)}{(G_{E}^{n}/G_{M}^{n})^{2} + (\tau + 2\tau(1 + \tau) \tan^{2}(\theta/2))} \end{split}$$

#### **Statistical Uncertainty Handling**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Asymmetry in Polarisation measurement