



Joint Analysis between Super-Kamiokande atmospheric and T2K data IOP Joint APP, HEPP and NP Annual Conference 2024 Zhenxiong Xie King's College London Zhenxiong.xie@kcl.ac.uk





Neutrino Oscillation

Neutrino flavor (interaction) eigenstates are the superimposition of the mass eigenstates.

Mixing (PMNS matrix) of the Hamiltonian eigenvectors:

AtmosphericReactorSolar
$$\begin{bmatrix} v_e \\ v_\mu \\ v_\tau \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta_{CP}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta_{CP}} & 0 & c_{13} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 $c_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij}$

The flavor changes when the neutrino propagates long distance \longrightarrow Neutrino Oscillation Open questions:

- CP symmetry \longrightarrow value of δ_{CP}
- Mass ordering \longrightarrow sign of Δm_{32}^2
- Octant of $\theta_{23} \longrightarrow \theta_{23} > \frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\theta_{23} < \frac{\pi}{4}$



Super-Kamiokande (Super-K)

- Water Cherenkov neutrino experiment
- 50 kton of pure water, 22.5 kton of fiducial mass
- 11,129 inner detector (ID) PMTs (20 inch)
- 1885 outer detector (OD) PMTs (8 inch)
- Direction/particle ID are reconstructed from the Cherenkov rings









T2K experiment





- 295 km baseline, 2.5° off-axis

- $\nu_{\mu}/\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$ beam with a flux peaked at 0.6 GeV, it's run either in neutrino or antineutrino mode
- ND280 constrains the flux and cross section systematic uncertainties
- Use Super-K as the far detector





Motivation of the joint fit between Super-K atmospheric and T2K data

Atmospheric neutrinos in Super-K

- Resonance in earth mantle and core in Multi-GeV region, only for neutrinos in normal and anti-neutrinos in inverted mass ordering (MO)
- SK Atmospheric neutrinos are sensitive to MO



Accelerator neutrinos in T2K

- T2K has better sensitivity to δ_{CP} from ν_e appearance channel, and to Δm_{32}^2 , θ_{23} from ν_{μ} disappearance channel
- In T2K, δ_{CP} and MO have similar effect on the $\nu_e/\bar{\nu}_e$ event rates (degeneracy of oscillation parameters)







Motivation of the joint fit between Super-K atmospheric and T2K data







SK-T2K joint analysis



Systematic model

Flux:

- The beam and atmospheric flux models are independent

Cross-section:

- Low energy samples (T2K & SK Sub-GeV) with ND constraint
- High energy samples (SK high energy): modified SK model including additional systematics uncertainties

Detector:

- There is correlation between SK and T2K detector errors

*Details of the systematic model can be found in <u>A. Eguchi @ NNN2023</u>

Data

Super-K atmospheric

- Super-K phase IV (<u>PTEP 2019 (2019) 5</u>, <u>053F01</u>)
- 3244.4 days of data taking

T2K

- T2K run 1-10 (<u>Eur.Phys.J.C 83 (2023) 9</u>, <u>782</u>)
- Neutrino mode: 19.7×10^{20} POT
- Antineutrino mode: 16.3×10^{20} POT





Analysis method

- There are 4 analyses developed based on a common model for this joint fit: two Bayesian analyses and two frequentist analyses;
- There are differences in technical implementation, binning, and statistical methodology among the analyses methods.

Bayesian Analyses

- Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) to evaluate marginal likelihoods for the oscillation parameter of interest









Analysis method

- There are 4 analyses developed based on a common model for this joint fit: two Bayesian analyses and two frequentist analyses;
- There are differences in technical implementation, binning, and statistical methodology among the analyses methods.





*The plots are from one of the Bayesian analyses, which has compatible results with another. A. Eguchi@NNN2023

Bayesian results

- The constraint of the joint SK-T2K data is mainly from the T2K samples. Combined with the Super-K samples, the constraint becomes stronger than in the individual T2K-only fit.
- T2K-only data fit shows a preference for the upper octant, while the Super-K-only data fit shows a preference for the lower octant. When the data from both experiments are combined, the results does not have a strong octant preference.





10



*The plots are from one of the Bayesian analyses, which has compatible results with another.



δ_{CP} credible interval and Jarlskog invariant intervals

- $\delta_{CP} = 0$ or π is excluded by 2σ with a flat δ_{CP} prior.
- However, $\delta_{CP} = \pi$ is not excluded at the 2σ level in normal MO with a flat sin δ_{CP} prior.

Jarlskog invariant credible intervals

- $J_{CP} = s_{13}c_{13}^2 s_{12}c_{12}s_{23}c_{23}\sin\delta_{CP}$
- $J_{CP} = 0$ is excluded at 2σ with the flat δ_{CP} prior.
- The exclusion of $J_{CP} = 0$ at 2σ is not robust with respect to possible biases seen in studies of alternative models for the flat prior of $\sin \delta_{CP}$







2021 2022 2023

2020

2024

2025 2026

2027

Summary







Backup





Data and MC comparisons for the joint SK-T2K data (atmospheric samples)



- The predicted MC is at the best-fit point of the Joint SK+T2K fit.

cos zenith

cos zenith

cos zenith

NOIO

PC Thru

-O Data

MC

- Data and MC comparison for the SK data (Super-K phase IV) divided into 18 samples.
- Samples with one zenith angle bin are shown as reconstructed momentum distributions (first column)
- Others are shown as zenith angle distributions (second through fifth column)





Data and MC comparisons for the joint SK-T2K data (beam samples)



Data and MC comparisonfor the T2K data (T2K run1-10) divided into 5 samples.