Workshop on Muon Physics at the Intensity and Precision Frontiers (MIP 2024), Beijing, China, April 19-22, 2024



Transverse Spin Asymmetry as a New Probe of SMEFT Chirality-Flip Operators

Xin-Kai Wen (文新锴) Peking University

In collaboration with Bin Yan, Zhite Yu and C.-P. Yuan *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **131** (2023) 24, 241801 In collaboration with Hao-Lin Wang, Hongxi Xing and Bin Yan *arXiv:* 2401.08419, accepted by PRD

2024/04/21, PKU, Beijing

Bias warning: mostly on electron as an example, but work for muon as well.

Muon Inspires/Hunts New Physics



Muon-related technology develops.



Inspired by Qiang Li's slide@MIP2023

Muon gains more and more interest.

New Physics and SMEFT

BUT none new fundamental resonance has been discovered.





B. Grzadkowski, et al. *JHEP* 10 (2010)W. Buchuller, D. wyler, 1986

Powerful Tool @ EW

New Physics models excluded to Multi-TeV @ LHC. $\rightarrow \Lambda \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$

Xin-Kai Wen (xinkaiwen@pku.edu.cn)

SMEFT Chirality-Flip Operator

$$d\sigma = d\sigma_{\rm SM} + \sum_{i} \frac{C_i^{(6)}}{\Lambda^2} a_i^{(6)} + \sum_{ij} \frac{C_i^{(6)} C_j^{(6)}}{\Lambda^4} b_{ij}^{(6)}$$







interference~0 for tiny mass

X^3		φ^6 and $\varphi^4 D^2$		$\psi^2 arphi^3$	
Q_G	$f^{ABC}G^{A\nu}_{\mu}G^{B\rho}_{\nu}G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	Q_{φ}	$(arphi^\dagger arphi)^3$	$Q_{e\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{l}_{p}e_{r}\varphi)$
$Q_{\widetilde{G}}$	$f^{ABC} \widetilde{G}^{A\nu}_{\mu} G^{B\rho}_{\nu} G^{C\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{\varphi\Box}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)\Box(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)$	$Q_{u\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}u_{r}\widetilde{\varphi})$
Q_W	$\varepsilon^{IJK}W^{I\nu}_{\mu}W^{J\rho}_{\nu}W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$	$Q_{\varphi D}$	$\left(\varphi^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\varphi\right)^{\star}\left(\varphi^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi\right)$	$Q_{d\varphi}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}d_{r}\varphi)$
$Q_{\widetilde{W}}$	$\varepsilon^{IJK}\widetilde{W}^{I\nu}_{\mu}W^{J\rho}_{\nu}W^{K\mu}_{\rho}$				
$X^2 \varphi^2$		$\psi^2 X \varphi$		$\psi^2 \varphi^2 D$	
$Q_{\varphi G}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiG^{A}_{\mu\nu}G^{A\mu\nu}$	Q_{eW}	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi l}^{(1)}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{l}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}l_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{G}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{G}^{A}_{\mu u}G^{A\mu u}$	Q_{eB}	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \varphi B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi l}^{(3)}$	$(\varphi^\dagger i \overleftrightarrow{D}^I_\mu \varphi) (\bar{l}_p \tau^I \gamma^\mu l_r)$
$Q_{\varphi W}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiW^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I\mu\nu}$	Q_{uG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi e}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{e}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}e_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{W}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{W}^{I}_{\mu\nu}W^{I\mu\nu}$	Q_{uW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \tau^I \widetilde{\varphi} W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q^{(1)}_{\varphi q}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}q_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi B}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphiB_{\mu u}B^{\mu u}$	Q_{uB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_r) \widetilde{\varphi} B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q^{(3)}_{\varphi q}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}^{I}\varphi)(\bar{q}_{p}\tau^{I}\gamma^{\mu}q_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{B}}$	$\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi\widetilde{B}_{\mu u}B^{\mu u}$	Q_{dG}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} T^A d_r) \varphi G^A_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi u}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}u_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi WB}$	$\varphi^\dagger \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dW}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi d}$	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i\overleftrightarrow{D}_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{d}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi \widetilde{W}B}$	$\varphi^\dagger \tau^I \varphi \widetilde{W}^I_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$	Q_{dB}	$(\bar{q}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} d_r) \varphi B_{\mu\nu}$	$Q_{\varphi ud}$	$i(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\dagger}D_{\mu}\varphi)(\bar{u}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}d_{r})$

Non-interfering Leading effect

 f_{L}^+

 f_R

$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{L}L)$		$(\bar{R}R)(\bar{R}R)$		$(\bar{L}L)(\bar{R}R)$	
Q_{ll}	$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (\bar{l}_s \gamma^\mu l_t)$	Q_{ee}	$(\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r)(\bar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$	Q_{le}	$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (\bar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$
$Q_{qq}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu q_r)(\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu q_t)$	Q_{uu}	$(\bar{u}_p \gamma_\mu u_r) (\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	Q_{lu}	$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$
$Q_{qq}^{(3)}$	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu \tau^I q_r) (\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu \tau^I q_t)$	Q_{dd}	$(\bar{d}_p \gamma_\mu d_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	Q_{ld}	$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$
$Q_{lq}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r)(\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu q_t)$	Q_{eu}	$(\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r)(\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$	Q_{qe}	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (\bar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$
$Q_{lq}^{(3)}$	$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu \tau^I l_r) (\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu \tau^I q_t)$	Q_{ed}	$(\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu q_r)(\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$
		$Q_{ud}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{u}_p \gamma_\mu u_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$	$Q_{qu}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu T^A q_r) (\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu T^A u_t)$
		$Q_{ud}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{u}_p \gamma_\mu T^A u_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu T^A d_t)$	$Q_{qd}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$
				$Q_{qd}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu T^A q_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu T^A d_t)$
$(\bar{L}R)(\bar{R}L)$ and $(\bar{L}R)(\bar{L}R)$		<i>B</i> -violating			
Q_{ledq}	$(\bar{l}_p^j e_r)(\bar{d}_s q_t^j)$	Q_{duq}	$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\varepsilon_{jk}\left[(d_p^{\alpha})^TCu_r^\beta\right]\left[(q_s^{\gamma j})^TCl_t^k\right]$		
$Q_{quqd}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{q}_p^j u_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k d_t)$	Q_{qqu}	$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\varepsilon_{jk}\left[(q_p^{\alpha j})^TCq_r^{\beta k}\right]\left[(u_s^\gamma)^TCe_t\right]$		
$Q_{quqd}^{(8)}$	$(\bar{q}_p^j T^A u_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k T^A d_t)$	Q_{qqq}	$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\varepsilon_{jn}\varepsilon_{km}\left[(q_p^{\alpha j})^T C q_r^{\beta k}\right]\left[(q_s^{\gamma m})^T C l_t^n\right]$		
$Q_{lequ}^{(1)}$	$(\bar{l}_p^j e_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k u_t)$	Q_{duu}	$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\left[(d_p^{\alpha})^T C u_r^{\beta}\right]\left[(u_s^{\gamma})^T C e_t\right]$		
$Q_{lequ}^{(3)}$	$(\bar{l}_p^j \sigma_{\mu\nu} e_r) \varepsilon_{jk} (\bar{q}_s^k \sigma^{\mu\nu} u_t)$				

Table 2: Dimension-six operators other than the four-fermion ones.

Table 3: Four-fermion operators.

Xin-Kai Wen (Peking Univ.)

Data for Chirality-Flip Operator



New Physics with Chirality-Flip Operator

Direct & Dominant Effect

Dipole Operator: (g - 2)? EDM?



D.P. Aguillard et al., (Muon g-2), Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 16



same NP source ? Z only detected by colliders

Indirect probes of NP quantum effects

Scalar/Tensor Four-Fermion operator:

Leptoquark ? New scalar or gauge boson ?

$$R_{\pi} = \frac{\Gamma(\pi^+ \to e^+ \nu)}{\Gamma(\pi^+ \to \mu^+ \nu)}$$

 $R_{K}, R_{D^{(*)}}$

Low-energy data ?

CLFV?



How to probe Chirality-Flip operators at $O(1/\Lambda^2)$?

How to Probe Chirality-Flip Operator at $1/\Lambda^2$

Traditional method: <a>(*l*) $|C_{CF}|^2/\Lambda^4$, suffer from contaminations

Our proposal:

Transverse polarization effect of beams

Interference of the different helicity amplitudes

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{s} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \lambda & b_{\mathrm{T}} e^{-i\phi_0} \\ b_{\mathrm{T}} e^{i\phi_0} & 1 - \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$oldsymbol{s} = (b_1, b_2, \lambda) = (b_{\mathrm{T}} \cos \phi_0, b_{\mathrm{T}} \sin \phi_0, \lambda)$$

➢Breaking rotational invariance

Nontrivial azimuthal behavior

Transverse Spin Asymmetries

X.-K.W, BY, ZY, C.-P.Y, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 131 (2023) 24
R. Boughezal et al., *Phys.Rev.D* 107 (2023) 07
H.-L. W, X.-K.W, HX, BY *arXiv*: 2401.08419, accepted by *PRD*



Transverse Spin Polarization

Spin dependent amplitude square:

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 = \rho_{\alpha_1 \alpha_1'}(\boldsymbol{s}) \rho_{\alpha_2 \alpha_2'}(\bar{\boldsymbol{s}}) \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}(\phi) \mathcal{M}^*_{\alpha_1' \alpha_2'}(\phi)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}}\left(\theta,\phi\right)=e^{i\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\phi}\mathcal{T}_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}}\left(\theta\right)$$







STSAA@ l^+l^- collider: dipole operator $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\pm\pm}$, massless SM $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\pm\mp}$

DSA@*l*⁻*P* collider:

Four-F operator $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{-i,-j}$, massless SM $\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{ij}$



G. Moortgat-Pick et al. Phys. Rept. 460 (2008), JHEP 01 (2006)

A New Probe of Dipole Operators $(a)l^+l^-$ collider



Pinning down Dipole Operators $(a)l^+l^-$ collider

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\ell}_L \sigma^{\mu\nu} \left(g_1 \Gamma^e_B B_{\mu\nu} + g_2 \Gamma^e_W \sigma^a W^a_{\mu\nu} \right) \frac{H}{v^2} e_R + \text{h.c.}$$

$$A_{LR}^i = \frac{\sigma^i(\cos\phi > 0) - \sigma^i(\cos\phi < 0)}{\sigma^i(\cos\phi > 0) + \sigma^i(\cos\phi < 0)} = \frac{2}{\pi}A_R^i$$



Aligned Spin

$$\phi_0 = \bar{\phi}_0 = 0$$

Opposite Spin
 $(\phi_0, \bar{\phi}_0) = (0, \pi)$

 $\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}, \mathcal{L} = 5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$

$$egin{aligned} \Gamma^e_\gamma &= \Gamma^e_W - \Gamma^e_B \ \Gamma^e_Z &= c^2_W \Gamma^e_W + s^2_W \Gamma^e_B \end{aligned}$$

Much stronger sensitivity than other approaches by 1~2 orders

The sensitivity to Γ_Z^e is much stronger than $\Gamma_{\gamma}^e >$ Parity property of helicity amplitude

Pinning down Dipole Operators al^+l^- collider

For the imaginary parts of dipole couplings, things are similar

Aligned Spin

$$\phi_0 = \bar{\phi}_0 = 0$$

Opposite Spin
 $(\phi_0, \bar{\phi}_0) = (0, \pi)$

 $A_{UD}^{i} = \frac{\sigma^{i}(\sin\phi > 0) - \sigma^{i}(\sin\phi < 0)}{\sigma^{i}(\sin\phi > 0) + \sigma^{i}(\sin\phi < 0)} = \frac{2}{\pi}A_{I}^{i}$

$$\sqrt{s} = 250 \text{ GeV}, \mathcal{L} = 5 \text{ ab}^{-1}$$



Why the limit difference between the Aligned Spin and the Opposite Spin? > CP property Offering a new opportunity for directly probing potential CP-violating effects.

Xin-Kai Wen (Peking Univ.)

From Lepton Collider to Lepton-Ion Collider

Electron Ion Collider:

A. Accardi et al., *Eur.Phys.J.A* 52 (2016) 9, 268
R. A. Khalek et al., *Nucl.Phys.A* 1026 (2022) 122447
D. P. Anderle et al., *Front.Phys.(Beijing)* 16 (2021) 6, 64701

"The Next QCD Frontier : Understanding the glue that binds us all"

Muon–Ion collider at BNL:

D. Acosta and W. Li, Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A 1027 (2022) 166334

"The future QCD frontier and path to a new energy frontier of $\mu^+\mu^-$ colliders"

- Explore and image the spin and 3D structure of the nucleon
- Discover the role of gluons in structure and dynamics
- Precisely determine the spin-(in)dependent PDFs
- Probe the electroweak properties of the SM
- Search for potential NP effects
- ▶

As we expected:

- ✓ High Polarization $\sim 0.5-0.7$
 - ✓ High luminosity ~ 50-100 fb⁻¹
 - ✓ Moderate energy ~ 120 GeV-1TeV

B. Yan, *Phys.Lett.B* 833 (2022) 137384
H.-T. Li et al., *Phys.Lett.B* 833 (2022) 137300
R. Boughezal, et al., *Phys.Rev.D* 107 (2023) 7
Y. Liu et al., *Chin.Phys.C* 47 (2023) 4, 043113
B. Yan et al., *Phys.Lett.B* 822 (2021) 136697
V. Cirigliano, *JHEP* 03 (2021) 256



EICs as an example, MuICs work also well!

Transverse DSA @EICs

Transverse Double-Spin-Asymmetry (DSA)

H.-L. Wang, X.-K. Wen, H. Xing and Y. Bin, arXiv: 2401.08419, accepted by PRD

$$A_{TT} = \frac{\sigma \left(e^{\uparrow} p^{\uparrow} \right) + \sigma \left(e^{\downarrow} p^{\downarrow} \right) - \sigma \left(e^{\uparrow} p^{\downarrow} \right) - \sigma \left(e^{\downarrow} p^{\uparrow} \right)}{\sigma \left(e^{\uparrow} p^{\uparrow} \right) + \sigma \left(e^{\downarrow} p^{\downarrow} \right) + \sigma \left(e^{\uparrow} p^{\downarrow} \right) + \sigma \left(e^{\downarrow} p^{\uparrow} \right)}$$









 $h(x, \mu)$: transversity distribution

Z.-B. Kang et al., *Phys.Rev.D* 93 (2016) 1 C. Zeng et al., *Phys.Rev.D* 109 (2024) 5 JAM collaboration, *Phys.Rev.D* 106 (2022) 3

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{ledq} &= \left(\bar{L}^{j}e\right)\left(\bar{d}Q^{j}\right),\\ \mathcal{O}_{lequ}^{(1)} &= \left(\bar{L}^{j}e\right)\epsilon_{jk}\left(\bar{Q}^{k}u\right),\\ \mathcal{O}_{lequ}^{(3)} &= \left(\bar{L}^{j}\sigma^{\mu\nu}e\right)\epsilon_{jk}\left(\bar{Q}^{k}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u\right), \end{aligned}$$

 $A_{TT}^{SMEFT} \sim \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2} \cdot h(x,\mu) \cdot Re\left[C_{ledq} \cdot e^{-i2(\phi_1 + \phi_2)} + C_{lequ}^{(1,3)} \cdot e^{-i2(\phi_1 - \phi_2)}\right] \qquad 2\phi \text{ and flat shape}$

The azimuthal behavior due to parity property of bilinear in operators

Probing four-fermion operators (*a*)**EIC & EicC**



H.-L. Wang, X.-K. Wen, H. Xing and Y. Bin, arXiv: 2401.08419, accepted by PRD

- without contamination from the SM and other NP \geq
- without mass-suppression \succ

MIP 2024@Beijing

No.14

0.8

Probing four-fermion operators @EIC & EicC

H.-L. Wang, X.-K. Wen, H. Xing and Y. Bin, arXiv: 2401.08419, accepted by PRD

scalar/tensor four-fermion operator

$$\mathcal{O}_{ledq} = \left(\bar{L}^{j}e\right)\left(\bar{d}Q^{j}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{lequ}^{(1)} = \left(\bar{L}^{j}e\right)\epsilon_{jk}\left(\bar{Q}^{k}u\right),$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{lequ}^{(3)} = \left(\bar{L}^{j}\sigma^{\mu\nu}e\right)\epsilon_{jk}\left(\bar{Q}^{k}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u\right),$$

Transversity	Limits on $\operatorname{Re}[C_{ledq}](\operatorname{Im}[C_{ledq}])$			
	EIC (105 GeV)	$\rm EicC (16.7 GeV)$		
ZB. Kang et al [63]	5.16	34.60		
C. Zeng et al [64]	4.53	13.72		
JAM Collaboration [65]	5.12	29.69		

 $x \in [0.1, 0.8], Q \in [15, 65]$ GeV

 $0.01 \le y \le 0.95$

$$\left|P_{T,e}\right| = \left|P_{T,p}\right| = 0.7$$

- ✓ Our results are *stronger or comparable* to other $O(1/\Lambda^4)$ -approaches
- ✓ Enabling direct study of potential CP-violating effects.

EIC:
$$\sqrt{s} = 105$$
 GeV, $\mathcal{L} = 100$ fb⁻¹



Transverse Beam SSA @MuIC



- Polarized DIS
- Need transverse muon

Transverse Beam Single-Spin-Asymmetry (SSA)

$$A_{TU} = \frac{\sigma(e^{\uparrow}) - \sigma(e^{\downarrow})}{\sigma(e^{\uparrow}) + \sigma(e^{\downarrow})}$$

$$\mathbb{R}e\Gamma_{f} \to \cos(\phi_{S} - \phi_{l})$$
$$\mathrm{I}m\Gamma_{f} \to \sin(\phi_{S} - \phi_{l})$$

R. Boughezal, et al., Phys. Rev.D 107 (2023) 7





Transverse Target SSA @MuIC and EIC

l

How to probe quark dipole operator at $\mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^2)$?

Need transverse PDF

$$A_{UT} = \frac{\sigma \left(e^U p^{\uparrow} \right) - \sigma \left(e^U p^{\downarrow} \right)}{\sigma \left(e^U p^{\uparrow} \right) + \sigma \left(e^U p^{\downarrow} \right)}$$

R. Boughezal, et al., Phys. Rev.D 107 (2023) 7



The asymmetry is significantly larger than at the nominal EIC

MIP 2024@Beijing

 $q_{\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}(\text{Re}\Gamma_{f}+i\,\text{Im}\Gamma_{f}\gamma_{5})$

 P_X

Summary



- \checkmark The muon g-2 data and many NP models may hint SMEFT chirality-flip operators
- ✓ Chirality-flip operators are difficult to be probed since the leading effects $@1/\Lambda^4$
- ✓ We propose a new method to linearly probe them $@1/\Lambda^2$ via *transverse polarized* beams
- $\checkmark\,$ Simultaneously constraining well both Re & Im parts
 - without contaminations from other NP and SM, without mass-suppression
 - ➢ offering a new opportunity for directly probing potential CP-violating effects.
- ✓ Our bound have much stronger sensitivity than other approaches by 1~2 orders
- ✓ Future colliders (Z/Higgs/Top factory...)

Polarized Muon collider, Muon-Ion collider, hadron colliders, Electron-Ion Collider...

Thank you





Backup: Some Formulae

$$|\Theta,\chi\rangle_1 = \cos\frac{\Theta}{2}|h=+\rangle + \sin\frac{\Theta}{2}e^{i\chi}|h=-\rangle$$

Superposition of the two helicity states along polarization $\vec{s}(\Theta, \chi)$

 $T_{h\bar{h}} = \langle \phi, \dots | T | \chi, \bar{\chi} \rangle = \langle \phi = 0, \dots | T | \chi - \phi, \bar{\chi} - \phi \rangle \qquad 2\text{-to-2 rotational invariance}$

Ken-ichi Hikasa, Phys.Rev.D 33 (1986) 3203, PhysRevD.38 (1988) 1439

$$|\mathcal{M}|^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{s}, \bar{\boldsymbol{s}}, \theta, \phi\right) = \sum_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}} \rho_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\boldsymbol{s}\right) \bar{\rho}_{\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\bar{\boldsymbol{s}}\right) \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}}\left(i \to f; \theta, \phi\right) \mathcal{M}_{\alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}}^{\dagger}\left(i \to f; \theta, \phi\right)$$

 $s = (b_1, b_2, \lambda) = (b_T \cos \phi_0, b_T \sin \phi_0, \lambda) \qquad \rho = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{s})$ $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} (\theta, \phi) = e^{i(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\phi} \mathcal{T}_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} (\theta) \qquad |M|^2 = |M|^2_{\text{unpol}} - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_T \bar{\lambda}_T \text{Re}[T^*_{++}T_{--}] \\ -\frac{1}{2} \lambda_T \bar{\lambda}_T \text{Re}[e^{-2i\phi}T^*_{+-}T_{-+}] \\ +\frac{1}{2} \lambda_T \text{Re}[e^{-2i\phi}T^*_{+-}T_{-+}] \\ +\frac{1}{2} \lambda_T \text{Re}\left[e^{-i\phi}(T^*_{+-}T_{--} + T^*_{++}T_{-+})\right] \\ \mathcal{T}_{-\lambda_a, -\lambda_b, -\lambda_c, -\lambda_d} (\theta) = \eta \cdot (-1)^{\lambda - \mu} \cdot T_{\lambda_a, \lambda_b, \lambda_c, \lambda_d} (\theta) \qquad -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_T \text{Re}\left[e^{-i\phi}(T^*_{+-}T_{++} + T^*_{--}T_{-+})\right] \\ \eta = \frac{\eta_c \eta_d}{\eta_c \eta_b} \cdot (-1)^{s_a + s_b - s_c - s_d} \qquad X.\text{K.W, BY, ZY, C.-P.Y, works in progress}$

Bhung Sing-Kai (Peking Univ.)





Bhung Sing-Kai (Peking Univ.)

Backup: Polarized beam realization

Transverse polarization is more natural Sokolov-Ternov effect (92.4%, minutes-hours, 50GeV) Laser-assistant Spin-precession



Photon-based scheme:

Polarized positrons are produced via pair production in a thin target from circularly-polarized photons with energy of multi-MeV (up to about 100 MeV). The cost difference between an polarized source and an upgrade from a unpolarized source is small (~ 1%). At 500 GeV, loss of polarization <1%, at IP <0.25%.

Polarized electron source consists of a polarized high-power laser beam and a high- voltage dc gun with a semiconductor photocathode.

Only polarization parallel or anti-parallel to the guide fields of the damping ring is preserved. Need to avoid spin-orbit coupling resonance depolarizing effects.

The spin rotator systems between the damping rings and the main linacs *permit the setting of arbitrary* polarization vector orientations at the IP.

Polarized-photons source:

a high-energy electron beam ($>\sim 150$ GeV) passing through a short period, helical undulator. (E-166, I. SLAC)

Compton backscattering of laser light off a GeV energy-range electron beam. (KEK) II. In both schemes a polarization of about $|Pe+| \ge 90\%$ is reported.

Muons produced from pion decays are naturally polarized. The level of polarization in the lab frame depends on the initial pion energy and decay angle. D. Acosta and W. Li, Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A 1027 (2022) 166334

G. Moortgat-Pick et al. Phys. Rept. 460 (2008), hep-ph/0507011