## Multi-body final states production in electron-positron annihilation and their contributions to (g-2)<sub>μ</sub>

### Lingyun Dai Hunan University

with B.H. Qin, Jia-Yu Zhou, S.J.Wang, W. Qin, J. Portoles, et.al.

Based on: arxiv: 2403.14294, JHEP07 (2023) 037, RPP84 (2021) 076201, JHEP03 (2021) 092, PRD99 (2019) 114015, PRD97 (2018) 036012, PRD95 (2017) 056007, PRD94 (2016) 116061, PRD90 (2014) 036004, PLB736 (2014) 11, PRD88 (2013) 056001, et. al.

MIP 2024 Apr 2024, Beijing



#### **Outlines**



#### Introduction: muon g-2

- Why muon? life time is long: 2.2  $\mu s$ ,  $\tau$ ---2.9 × 10<sup>-7</sup> $\mu s$
- Sensitive to new physics (M<sup>2</sup>)

439 rounds in Fermi's ring!

- Elementary particle: g is close to 2.
  - Electron: g=2.00231930436152(56) [PDG2022], close to theoretical prediction  $g = 2[1 + \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} + O(\alpha^2)]$
  - Composite particle: g=5.6 for proton and g=-3.8 for neutron.
     See also Liang Li's

See also Liang Li's talk at Hunan university

Muon g-2: one of the most precise indicator of new physics





#### **J-PARC**

BNL E821 J-PARC E3 g-2: 0.46 ppm  $\rightarrow$  0.37 ppm ( $\rightarrow$ 0.1ppm) 50 times of number of events as large as BNL's to 0.46ppm

2001, 2009, 2025?

#### **FNAL**

Run1: only 6% of full statistics used now Run2-3: analyzing, factor 2 improvment Run4: 13 times as large as BNL's Run5: 20 times as large as BNL's

2017, 2021, 2023.....



#### uncertainty from SM

???       New physics?         g-2 theory v.s. experiment         large uncertainty         SM: HLbL, HVP	$a_{\mu} = a_{\mu}^{\text{QED}} + a_{\mu}^{\text{EW}} + a_{\mu}^{\text{QCD}}$ • HVP, HLbL?		
SM:QED+EW+QCD		values (×10 <sup>-11</sup> )	
Phys.Rev.Lett.126, 141801 (2021) Phys.Rev.D 73, 072003 (2006).	QED	116584718.931(104)	
	EW	153.6(1.0)	
	HVP	6845(40)	
	HLBL	92(18)	
	SM	116591810(43)	
Phys.Rept.887(2020)1 ←	exp.(BNL)	116592089(63)	
	exp.(FNAL)	116592040(54)	
	exp.(avg.)	116592061(41)	
	$a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{SM}}$ - $a_{\mu}^{\mathrm{exp}}$	251(59)	

Science and Technology Cooperation Program in High Energy Physics. This review benefited from discussions with O. Catà, N. Christ, L.Y. Dai, H. Davoudiasl, S. Fayer, S. Ganguly, A. Gasparian, S. Hashimoto, T. Iijima, K. Kampf, D. Kawall, I. Larin, Z. Pagel, M. Petschlies, A. Rebhan, K. Schilcher, K. Shimomura, E. Shintani, D. Steffen, S. Tracz, C. Tu, and T. Yamazaki.

#### QED

- The most contribution
- Precise prediction
- At 10-th order,  $O(\alpha^5)$

 $a_{\mu} = 116\ 584\ 718.951\ (0.080)\ \times\ 10^{-11}$ 



Aoyama *et.al.*, PRL109 (2012) 111808

#### **EW+Strong interactions**

Precise prediction

$$a_{\mu} = 153.6 (1.0) \times 10^{-12}$$



Strong interactions: pQCD---high energy region



Phys.Rept.887(2020)1

#### 2. Framework

#### Hadronic Part: Methods from SM

- LQCD
- Data-driven solutions from experiment
- Amplitude analysis: model independent

- Only one physical amplitude!
- It should satisfy the fundamental QFT principles
- It should be compatible with the exp results

#### **Amplitude analysis: FSI**

- Most resonances decays into light pseudoscalars
- FSI needs to be taken into account to perform an amplitude analysis
- Methods: KM, N/D, AMP, Roy equation, PKU, Pade, LSE, BSE, ChEFT, *et.al.*



#### **Different energy regions**

- QCD: high energy region
- Dispersive approach: Roy, KT, PKU, etc., difficult to deal with multi-body rescattering
- ChPT: works in the very low energy region
- RChT: extend to a bit higher energy region



$$a_{\mu}^{\text{had}} = \left(\frac{\alpha_e(0)m_{\mu}}{3\pi}\right)^2 \int_{s_{\text{thr}}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s \frac{\hat{K}(s)}{s^2} R_{\text{h}}(s)$$

Low energy physics dominates

#### RChT

Resonances included as new degrees of freedom

$$R \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{8} \lambda_i \phi_R^i$$

• Construct Lagrangians by discrete and chiral symmetries  $\mathcal{L}_{kin}^{R} = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla^{\lambda} R_{\lambda \mu} \nabla_{\nu} R^{\nu \mu} \rangle + \frac{M_{R}^{2}}{4} \langle R_{\mu \nu} R^{\mu \nu} \rangle, \quad R = V, A,$ 

$$\mathcal{L}^R_{\mathrm{kin}} = rac{1}{2} \langle 
abla^\mu R 
abla_
u R - M^2_R R^2 
angle \,, \qquad R = S, P \,.$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(4)}^{R} = \sum_{i=1}^{22} \lambda_{i}^{V} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{V} + \sum_{i=1}^{17} \lambda_{i}^{A} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{A} + \sum_{i=1}^{18} \lambda_{i}^{S} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{S} + \sum_{i=1}^{13} \lambda_{i}^{P} \mathcal{O}_{i}^{P}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{RR} = \sum_{(ij)n} \lambda_{n}^{R_{i}R_{j}} \mathcal{O}_{n}^{R_{i}R_{j}},$$

$$i \quad \text{Operat}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{RRR} = \sum_{(ijk)} \lambda_{n}^{R_{i}R_{j}R_{k}} \mathcal{O}_{n}^{R_{i}R_{j}R_{k}}.$$

i	Operator $\mathcal{O}_i^{RR}$ , $R = V, A$	Operator $\mathcal{O}_i^{SS}$	Operator $\mathcal{O}_i^{PP}$
1	$\langle  {\cal R}_{\mu u} {\cal R}^{\mu u}  {\it u}^lpha {\it u}_lpha   angle$	$\langle{\tt S}{\tt S}{\tt u}_\mu{\tt u}^\mu angle$	$\langle  {\cal P}  {\cal P}  u_\mu u^\mu   angle$
2	$\langle {\cal R}_{\mu u} {\it u}^lpha {\cal R}^{\mu u} {\it u}_lpha angle$	$\langle{\sf S}{\it u}_{\mu}{\sf S}{\it u}^{\mu} angle$	$\langle {\cal P} {\it u}_{\mu} {\cal P} {\it u}^{\mu}  angle$
3	$\langle {\cal R}_{\mulpha} {\cal R}^{ ulpha} {\it u}^{\mu} {\it u}_{ u}  angle$	$\langle$ S S $\chi_+$ $ angle$	$\langle P P \chi_+ \rangle$
4	$\langle {\cal R}_{\mulpha} {\cal R}^{ ulpha} {\it u}_{ u} {\it u}^{\mu}  angle$		
5	$\langle{\sf R}_{\mulpha}({\it u}^{lpha}{\sf R}^{\mueta}{\it u}_{eta}+{\it u}_{eta}{\sf R}^{\mueta}{\it u}^{lpha}) angle$		
6	$\langle {\cal R}_{\mu u} {\cal R}^{\mu u} \chi_+ angle$		
7	$i\langle {\cal R}_{\mulpha} {\cal R}^{lpha u} f_{+eta u} angle g^{eta\mu}$		

#### Tensors

 Tensors included as new degrees of freedom

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a_2^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{f_2^8}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{f_2^0}{\sqrt{3}} & a_2^+ & K_2^{*+} \\ a_2^- & -\frac{a_2^0}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{f_2^8}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{f_2^0}{\sqrt{3}} & K_2^{*0} \\ K_2^{*-} & \bar{K}_2^{*0} & -\frac{2f_2^8}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{f_2^0}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix}_{\mu\nu}$$

- Effective Lagrangians
- Linearly independent terms
  - Equations of motion
  - Total derivative
  - Schouten identity

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{TJP}}^{1} = i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \langle [T^{\mu\alpha}, f_{+}^{\rho\sigma}] \nabla_{\alpha} u^{\nu} \rangle,$   $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{TJP}}^{2} = i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \langle [\nabla^{\nu}T_{\alpha}^{\mu}, f_{+}^{\rho\sigma}] u^{\alpha} \rangle,$   $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{TJP}}^{3} = i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \langle [\nabla^{\nu}T_{\alpha}^{\mu}, f_{+}^{\rho\alpha}] u^{\sigma} \rangle.$   $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{TVP}}^{1} = i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \langle [T^{\mu\alpha}, V^{\rho\sigma}] \nabla_{\alpha} u^{\nu} \rangle,$  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{TVP}}^{2} = i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \langle [\nabla^{\nu}T^{\mu}, V^{\rho\sigma}] u^{\alpha} \rangle.$ 

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{TVP}}^{3} = i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \langle [\nabla^{\nu}T_{\alpha}^{\mu}, V^{-1}]u^{\nu} \rangle,$$
  
$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{TVP}}^{3} = i\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \langle [\nabla^{\nu}T_{\alpha}^{\mu}, V^{\rho\alpha}]u^{\sigma} \rangle.$$

$$\nabla_{\mu}u^{\mu} = \frac{i}{2}\left(\chi_{-} - \frac{1}{n_{f}}\langle\chi_{-}\rangle\right)$$

 $\langle \nabla_{\mu} (ABC \cdots) \rangle = \langle (\nabla_{\mu}A) BC \cdots \rangle + \langle A (\nabla_{\mu}B) C \cdots \rangle + \langle AB (\nabla_{\mu}C) \cdots \rangle + \cdots$ 

 $g_{\alpha\lambda}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + g_{\alpha\mu}\varepsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\lambda} + g_{\alpha\nu}\varepsilon_{\rho\sigma\lambda\mu} + g_{\alpha\rho}\varepsilon_{\sigma\lambda\mu\nu} + g_{\alpha\sigma}\varepsilon_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} = 0$ 

#### **Power-counting**

- 1/Nc expansion,
  - Loop diagrams are suppressed
  - Uncertainty ~1/3
- 'Chiral counting' by integrating out resonances
  - Those generating O(p<sup>6</sup>) ChPT Lagrangians

 $\langle R_a \chi(p^4) \rangle, \langle R_a R_b \chi(p^2) \rangle$  and  $\langle R_a R_b R_c \rangle$ .

Dai et.al., PRD99 (2019) 114015

#### Matching GF: reduce LECs

 Matching GF between QCD and ChEFT in the high energy region, using large Nc and OPE.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{SAA}^{ijk} \end{pmatrix}_{\mu\nu} = i^2 \int d^4x \, d^4y \, e^{i(p_1 \cdot x + p_2 \cdot y)} \, \langle 0|T \left\{ S^i(0) A^j_\mu(x) A^k_\nu(y) \right\} |0\rangle$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{SVV}^{ijk} \end{pmatrix}_{\mu\nu} = i^2 \int d^4x \, d^4y \, e^{i(p_1 \cdot x + p_2 \cdot y)} \, \langle 0|T \left\{ S^i(0) V^j_\mu(x) V^k_\nu(y) \right\} |0\rangle$$

$$S^i(x) = \left( \bar{q} \lambda^i q \right) (x) \qquad V^i_\mu(x) = \left( \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \frac{\lambda^i}{2} q \right) (x) \qquad A^i_\mu(x) = \left( \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{\lambda^i}{2} q \right) (x)$$

$$p_{1}^{\mu} \left(\Pi_{SAA}^{ijk}\right)_{\mu\nu} = -2 d^{ijk} B_{0} F^{2} \frac{(p_{2})_{\nu}}{p_{2}^{2}} p_{1}^{\mu} \left(\Pi_{SVV}^{ijk}\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$
$$p_{2}^{\nu} \left(\Pi_{SAA}^{ijk}\right)_{\mu\nu} = -2 d^{ijk} B_{0} F^{2} \frac{(p_{1})_{\mu}}{p_{1}^{2}} p_{2}^{\nu} \left(\Pi_{SVV}^{ijk}\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Dai et.al., PRD99 (2019) 114015



(<sup>q</sup>/µ/5 2<sup>q</sup>)(x)

#### SAA

#### P and Q are the Lorentz structure of momentum, they vanish by timing p<sub>1μ</sub> and p<sub>2ν</sub>.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Pi_{SAA}^{ijk} \end{pmatrix}_{\mu\nu} = d^{ijk}B_0 \left[ -2F^2 \frac{(p_1)_{\mu}(p_2)_{\nu}}{p_1^2 p_2^2} + \mathcal{F}_A \left( p_1^2, p_2^2, q^2 \right) P_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{G}_A \left( p_1^2, p_2^2, q^2 \right) Q_{\mu\nu} \right]$$

$$P_{\mu\nu} = (p_2)_{\mu} (p_1)_{\nu} - p_1 \cdot p_2 g_{\mu\nu},$$

$$Q_{\mu\nu} = p_1^2 (p_2)_{\mu} (p_2)_{\nu} + p_2^2 (p_1)_{\mu} (p_1)_{\nu} - p_1 \cdot p_2 (p_1)_{\mu} (p_2)_{\nu} - p_1^2 p_2^2 g_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left( \Pi_{SAA}^{ijk} \right)_{\mu\nu} (\lambda p_1, \lambda p_2) = -2 \, d^{ijk} \, B_0 F^2 \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \frac{1}{p_1^2 p_2^2 q^2} \left[ q^2 \left( p_1 \right)_{\mu} \left( p_2 \right)_{\nu} + Q_{\mu\nu} - p_1 \cdot p_2 \, P_{\mu\nu} \right] + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^3} \right) \\ &\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left( \Pi_{SAA}^{ijk} \right)_{\mu\nu} \left( \lambda p_1, p_2 \right) = -2 \, d^{ijk} \, B_0 F^2 \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\left( p_1 \right)_{\mu} \left( p_2 \right)_{\nu}}{p_1^2 p_2^2} + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \\ &\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left( \Pi_{SAA}^{ijk} \right)_{\mu\nu} \left( p_1, \lambda p_2 \right) = -2 \, d^{ijk} \, B_0 F^2 \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\left( p_1 \right)_{\mu} \left( p_2 \right)_{\nu}}{p_1^2 p_2^2} + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \\ &\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \left( \Pi_{SAA}^{ijk} \right)_{\mu\nu} \left( \lambda p_1, q - \lambda p_1 \right) = \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \right) \end{split}$$

#### SAA matching

Constrains

$$\begin{split} \hat{L}_5 &= \hat{C}_{12} = \hat{C}_{80} = \hat{C}_{85} = 0, \\ \lambda_6^A &= \lambda_{16}^A = \lambda_{12}^S = \lambda_{16}^S = 0, \\ \lambda_6^{AA} &= -\frac{F^2}{16F_A^2}, \\ \lambda_1^{SA} &= \frac{\lambda}{4\sqrt[6]{2}F_A} \left( c_d - \frac{F^2}{8c_m} \right), \\ \lambda_2^{SA} &= -\frac{c_d}{2\sqrt{2}F_A}. \end{split}$$

15 couplings, 4 of them remain  $\lambda_{17}^A$   $\lambda_{17}^S$   $\lambda_{18}^S$   $\lambda_{18}^{SAA}$  Also from  $\Pi_{SS-PP}^{ij}(t)$   $F_S^{ij}(t)$ , one can knows three more couplings, only 1 remain V. Cirigliano, et.al., NPB753 (2006) 179  $\lambda_{17}^S = \lambda_{18}^S = 0$ ,  $\lambda_{17}^A = 0$ ,  $\lambda_{17}^A = 0$ ,

#### **Building amplitudes**

RChT in the resonance region, excited states?



#### **Building amplitudes**

We give a combined analysis on several channels:  $\pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-, \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0, \pi^+\pi^-\eta \pi^0\gamma \text{ and } \eta\gamma$ 

 ρ-ω mixing, origined from Gasser&Leutwyler's

Not much freedom for Fit

It is 1, from QCD as well as disersion relation constraints

Gasser&Leutwyler, Phys.Rept.87 (1982) 77

Guerrero&Pich, PLB 412 (1997) 382

 $+\beta'_{\pi\pi}BW(M_{\rho'},\Gamma_{\rho'},Q^{2})+\beta''_{\pi\pi}BW(M_{\rho''},\Gamma_{\rho''},Q^{2})\Big)$ 

 $-\frac{F_V G_V}{\Gamma^2} Q^2 \left( BW(M_\omega, \Gamma_{\omega, \cdot}, Q^2) + \beta'_{\pi\pi} BW(M_{\omega'}, \Gamma_{\omega', \cdot}, Q^2) \right)$ 

 $\exp\left[\frac{-s}{96\pi^2 F^2} \left(\operatorname{Re}\left[A[m_{\pi}, M_{\rho}, Q^2] + \frac{1}{2}A[m_K, M_{\rho}, Q^2]\right]\right)\right]$ 

 $-\beta_{\pi\pi}^{'"}BW(M_{\omega^{''}},\Gamma_{\omega^{''}},Q^2)\right)\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\theta_V\cos\delta-\sin\delta^\omega\right)\sin\delta^\omega\right)$ 

 $F_V^{\pi} = \left(1 + \frac{F_V G_V}{F^2} Q^2 \left(BW(M_{\rho}, \Gamma_{\rho_{\gamma}}, Q^2)\right)\right)$ 

 $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\sin\theta_V\sin\delta^\rho + \cos\delta\right)\cos\delta$ 

#### ππ: Now closer to KLOE and BESIII's

#### Latest exp: CMD-3, large discrepancy



ππ

#### KK

- KK: data in the  $\phi$  'peak' have large discrepancy
- $K_LK_S$ : further direct constraints on  $\pi\pi$ , KK channels



## πγ

•  $\pi\gamma$ : helps to constrain  $\pi\pi$ , KK channels:  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\phi$ 



#### • $\eta\gamma$ : helps to constrain KK, and parameters of $\rho$ , $\omega$ , $\phi$

ηγ



#### πππ, ππη

πππ: needs more precise data in the ω φ region
 ππη: check our model



#### ΚΚπ

 KKπ: angular distributions are helpful to constrain amplitudes



Three body rescattering can improve it

#### **R** value

#### Cross sections needs to be corrected

$$R_{\rm h}(s) = \frac{3s}{4\pi\alpha_e^2(s)} \,\sigma\left(e^+e^- \to \text{ hadrons }\right)$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\Pi_{\operatorname{had}}(s) = -\frac{\alpha_e(0)s}{3\pi} \operatorname{P} \int_{s_{\operatorname{th}}}^{\infty} \frac{R(s')}{s'(s'-s)} ds'$$

#### R values are input from PDG



Davier *et.al.*, EPJC 80 (2020) 3, 241

#### g-2: HVP-LO

#### Other channels are taken from data-driven or QCD

$J/\psi$ (BW integral)	$6.28\pm0.07$
$\psi(2S)$ (BW integral)	$1.57\pm0.03$
$R  \text{data} \left[ 3.7 - 5.0 \right]  \text{GeV}$	$7.29 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.30 \pm 0.00$
$R_{\rm QCD}  [1.8 - 3.7   {\rm GeV}]_{uds}$	$33.45 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.65_{\rm dual}$
$R_{\rm QCD}  [5.0 - 9.3   {\rm GeV}]_{udsc}$	$6.86\pm0.04$
$R_{\rm QCD} [9.3 - 12.0 \text{ GeV}]_{udscb}$	$1.21\pm0.01$
$R_{\rm QCD} [12.0 - 40.0 \text{ GeV}]_{udscb}$	$1.64\pm0.00$
$R_{\rm QCD} [> 40.0 \text{ GeV}]_{udscb}$	$0.16\pm0.00$
$R_{\rm QCD} [> 40.0 \text{ GeV}]_t$	$0.00\pm0.00$

• HVP-LO: 694.10±3.14× 10<sup>-10</sup> <sup>708.</sup> • Ours:  $a_{\mu}$ =11659181.1 ±3.5 × 10<sup>-11</sup>

 $708.7(5.3) \times 10^{-10}$ 11
Nature 593 (2021)
7857, 51-55

#### HVP

- Ours:  $a_{\mu}$ =11659181.1 ±3.5 × 10<sup>-11</sup>
- It differs 4.5σ from latest experiment's
  - 3.9σ If HLBL part repleaced with latest LQCD's

[hep-lat] 1.0 4.5 σ 0.8 0.6 Wang, Fang, Dai 0.4 This work Lattice 0.2 Nature(2021) T. Aoyama et al Exp Phys. Rept. 887, 1 PRL126, 141801 0.0 18.0 18.5 19.0 19.5 20.0 20.5 21.0  $a_{ii} \times 10^9 - 1165900$ 

T. Blum, et.al.,

arxiv:2304.04423

#### Experiment

#### Future experiments?



Guangshun Huang, talk at HNU

#### Four body final states?

## Four body final states are important: $\pi\pi\pi\pi$ , $\pi\pi KK$ channels, etc.



#### HVP: NLO, NNLO?



#### 4、Summary



**RChT** 

HVP

Amplitude analysis connects QFT principles and Exp. FSI needs to be considered when performing amplitude analysis.

RChT+FSI are powerful to work in the intermediate energy region, between ChPT and QCD.

Our g-2 has a significant discrepancy with the latest FNAL's. Processes of multi-body channels needs to be studied.  $\pi\pi\pi\pi$ ,  $\pi\pi$ KK?

Next?

Further study of light hadrons is neccessary to give a more reliable answer to muon g-2; Discrepancy between LQCD v.s. data driven; Improving ChEFT+FSI?



# Thank You For your patience!