Precision measurements with Z boson decays at the LHC

Miguel Ramos Pernas

on behalf of the ATLAS, CMS, and LHCb collaborations



University of Warwick

miguel.ramos.pernas@cern.ch



SM@LHC, Rome, 07/05/2024









European Research Council

The importance of the Z precision measurements

Precision measurements with the Z boson are fundamental to understand the SM, complementary to those with W bosons:

- Electroweak model validation
- QED corrections and Final State Radiation (FSR)
- Perturbative and non-perturbative QCD
- Parton distribution functions (PDFs)

Pros and cons of doing precision measurements in a hadron collider like LHC:

- Higher production rates with access to the proton structure
- QCD takes an important role and events are more busy





Miguel Ramos Pernas

Studying processes with the Z boson



Miguel Ramos Pernas

ATLAS, CMS and LHCb





- Complementary detectors, which provide almost a 4π coverage
- Similar working environment: proton-proton, same energy, ...
- Interesting constraints on the physics modelling can be put combining the information from all of them (e.g. PDF uncertainties)

[JINST 3 (2008) S08005]

ATLAS, CMS and LHCb



Both ATLAS and CMS cover the central pseudorapidity region in a more hermetic environment

- Measurements of the hadronic recoil are possible with high precision (big implications in e.g. the measurement of the W transverse momentum)
- Electron modes can be studied in detail with the information from the ECAL
- The high pile-up can cause problems for some precision measurements, but dedicated runs are prepared for these

In LHCb the high pseudorapidity region is covered

- Access to low and high Bjorken-x regions of the phase-space
- Provides valuable information for the physics modelling in the low transverse momentum region
- Complements the other detectors at the LHC
- Lower pile-up, but events are more populated in the high pseudorapidity range

Miguel Ramos Pernas

The LHC combination: close to a 4π detector

- Having three experiments with complementary coverage has big implications in the modelling and specially in the Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)
 - Central Bjorken-x for ATLAS and CMS compared to low/high for LHCb 0
- Overall it provides useful information of the quark content of the proton:
 - In standalone Z processes we test quark/anti-quark interactions 0
 - With associated production we can directly test gluon and single-quark PDFs (e.g. 0 Z + charm)





NNPDF, [CERN

Cross-section studies

The measurement of differential cross-sections is crucial to understand the modelling of the boson production cross-section

- Generator tuning: perturbative and non-perturbative contributions, resummation, scales...
- PDF fits of the different quark species as a function of Q² and Bjorken-x

$$\sigma(x, Q^2) \sim \sum_{a=g,u,\bar{u},\dots} C_a * f_{a,A}(x, Q^2) + \text{remainder } \mathcal{O}(1/Q^2)$$





Cross-section studies

At the LHC the three experiments have provide measurements at different energies, including Run 3 data!

- ATLAS at <u>2.76</u>, <u>5.02</u>, <u>7</u>, <u>8</u>, <u>13</u> and <u>13.6</u> TeV
- CMS at <u>2.76</u>, <u>5.02</u>, <u>7</u>, <u>8</u>, <u>13</u> and <u>13.6</u> TeV
- LHCb at <u>5.02</u>, <u>7</u>, <u>8</u> and <u>13</u> TeV

The measurement of the luminosity is crucial at the LHC, otherwise just a shape comparison can be made

- Several developments done to construct luminometers in ATLAS [ATL-DAPR-PROC-2024-001], CMS [EPJC 83 (2023) 673], and LHCb [LHCB-TDR-022]
- Experiments target ~1% uncertainty for Run 3 and Run 4

The momentum and recoil resolution are the biggest sources of uncertainty

- Benefit from low pile-up runs
- Adopt new techniques for calibration (inputs from the W mass measurement?)





Angular coefficients

- The angular cross-section of bosons (S=1) can be expressed as a function of 9 harmonic spherics, with their accompanying coefficients A_i
- All the A_i are highly sensitive to next-to-leading order corrections, in such a way that all of them are close to zero at LO except for A₄ (related to the weak mixing angle)



Angular coefficients

The analysis is similar to that of a cross-section measurement but:

- The cross-section is studied as a function of the decay angles as well as transverse momentum and rapidity
- More coarse binning is needed to optimize the sensitivity



Pythia @ LO gives a poor

Angular coefficients

The angular coefficients have now been studied by the three experiments at different energies:

- ATLAS @ 8 TeV [EPJC 84 (2024) 315]
- CMS @ 8 TeV [PLB 750 (2015) 154]
- LHCb @ 13 TeV [PRL 129 (2022) 091801]

Useful information can be extracted to study calculations at N(N)LO

- In general, the angular coefficients are well predicted at fixed order
 - \circ The only exception is A₄, which is highly affected by the PDFs
- Overall, it is an interesting area to study spin-momentum correlations of the proton, specially through the Lam-Tung relation



- It is an useful quantity to test the custodial symmetry of the Standard Model
- Measuring the weak-mixing angle can be seen as an indirect measurement of the W boson mass:

$$\sin^2 heta_W = 1 \, - \, rac{m_W^2}{m_Z^2}$$

- The treatment of A₄ deserves a special attention, since condenses information about the vector and axial-vector couplings of the Z _____ boson
- It becomes interesting to perform singular measurements that maximize the sensitivity to the weak mixing angle (e.g. dilepton mass)
- The measurement is more challenging at the LHC compared to LEP, since it condenses information on the proton polarization



The definition of **"forward"** and **"backward"** is more ambiguous due to the **difference between quark** and **hadron level** information

$$A_{\rm fb} = \frac{\sigma_f - \sigma_b}{\sigma_f + \sigma_b} = \frac{\sigma(\cos\theta^* > 0) - \sigma(\cos\theta^* < 0)}{\sigma(\cos\theta^* > 0) + \sigma(\cos\theta^* < 0)}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta^*} \propto 1 + \cos^2\theta^* + \frac{8}{3}A_{\rm fb}\cos\theta^*$$

$$\cos\theta^* = \frac{2(p_\ell^+ p_{\bar{\ell}}^- - p_{\ell}^- p_{\bar{\ell}}^+)}{m_{\ell\bar{\ell}}\sqrt{m_{\ell\bar{\ell}}^2 + p_{T,\ell\bar{\ell}}^2}} \operatorname{sign}(p_{z,\ell\bar{\ell}})$$

$$p_{\ell(\bar{\ell})}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(E_{\ell(\bar{\ell})} \pm p_{z,\ell(\bar{\ell})} \right)$$

- There is a strong dependency of the forward-backward asymmetry with the mass of the dilepton system
 - This is caused by the interference between vector and axial-vector terms, hence the interference between the Z boson and virtual photon contributions
- The weak mixing angle is proportional to the cosine of the angle with respect to the quark parton, so events in the center of the distribution have less sensitivity (they dilute the measurement); therefore to enhance the sensitivity one can
 - weight events as a function of the cosine of θ^*
 - make a measurement as a function of the invariant mass, rapidity...



- The measurement of the weak mixing angle at the LHC is highly dependent on the interplay between proton-level and quark-level quantities (especially in the definition of the *z* axis)
- These effects are reduced in the forward region (LHCb), where the dilution between proton and parton level is reduced
 - A high-x parton (typically a valence quark) interacts with a low-x parton (typically a sea anti-quark)
 - Enhanced sensitivity at ATLAS and CMS in the HL-LHC era due to the extended coverage in the forward direction
 - CMS used the forward calorimeters in its new measurement! [CMS-PAS-SMP-22-010]
- Mitigating the effect of the PDF uncertainties becomes crucial through e.g. profiling







• New measurement by CMS with 13 TeV data! • $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}(\text{CMS} @ 13\text{TeV}) = 0.23157 \pm 0.00031$

- ATLAS and LHCb still need to process the data collected at 13 TeV
- The HL-LHC has a big potential to drastically improve the accuracy
 - Improved detectors in the forward region
 - x10 more luminosity
- Beating the LEP experiments is possible in the future

 $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^{\text{lept}}(\text{LEP}) = 0.23221 \pm 0.00029$

 $\sin^2 \theta_{\rm eff}^{\rm lept}$ (Tevatron) = 0.23148 ± 0.00033

Z invisible width

- The study of the invisible Z boson width has been important historically to determine the number of light neutrino families interacting through weak neutral currents
- For extracting the invisible width in a hadron collider, we need to compare the neutrino production to a known, well controlled, process like

 $\Gamma(Z \to \nu \bar{\nu}) = \frac{\sigma(Z + \text{jets})\mathcal{B}(Z \to \nu \bar{\nu})}{\sigma(Z + \text{jets})\mathcal{B}(Z \to \ell \bar{\ell})} \Gamma(Z \to \ell \bar{\ell})$

- The measurement relies on having a hermetic detector and a precise measurement of the hadronic recoil, and therefore the missing transverse energy
 - To measure the recoil with high precision, the measurement must be restricted to values of the boson and jet transverse momentum higher than ~100 GeV





Much easier to do in an electron-positron collider via an energy scan

Z invisible width

The measurement is highly dependent on the $p_T^{\text{miss.}}$ measurement, so the modelling needs to be validated as a function of a quantity sensitive to it:

- ATLAS used the Z boson transverse momentum
- CMS used the measurement of the recoil

The dominant background from W+jets can be efficiently controlled with simulation and verified on data (~38% of the events). Other backgrounds (~15% of the events) can be controlled through data-driven approaches or from simulation





[PLB 842 (2023) 137563]

Miguel Ramos Pernas

Conclusions

The different experiments at the LHC offer a wide variety of ways of checking the consistency of the Standard Model with an unprecedented level of precision:

- Fundamental parameters, via model-dependent measurements
- Differential unfolded distributions, for which having more data and adopting new calibration techniques becomes crucial to reduce the experimental uncertainties

The study of Z bosons at the LHC constitutes a very important area to understand the Standard Model and redefine its boundaries

- We can efficiently validate procedures through different channels: electrons/muons, transverse momentum/recoil...
- It allows to understand backgrounds and the detector response in searches for physics beyond the standard model
- Has a nice interplay with W boson precision measurements (see the next talk by <u>Marco Cipriani</u>)

Many important measurements to come in the near future, and a very promising scenario awaits with HL-LHC, where beating LEP is possible in measurements like the weak mixing angle!

Thank you!



Calibrations using quarkonia

- The challenging part is to control with high accuracy the detector aspects
 - Momentum scaling (momentum)
 - Corrections to the resolution (momentum)
 - Efficiencies (transverse momentum in mass measurements)
- Corrections are typically applied as a function of η and ϕ to account for detector biases in bins of the transverse momentum
- Benefit from new quarkonia mass measurements e.g. Y(1S) [Phys. Lett. B839 (2023), 137766] (reduction of the uncertainty by a factor of two)





Calibrations using quarkonia



Overview of the dimuon samples (@ LHCb)



[LHCb-CONF-2016-005]

Upsilon resonances for calibration



The strong coupling constant



- Measurement by ATLAS [CERN-EP-2023-200 (submitted to Nature)] (Z-only), previous [JHEP 07 (2023) 85] (multijet)
- Measurement by CMS [JHEP 06 (2020) 018] (W and Z)
- No measurement yet at LHCb, but different possibilities are being explored
 - \circ ~ Find jet observables sensitive to the strong-coupling constant
 - Using the Z boson transverse momentum sounds challenging due to the partial reconstruction of the recoil

Dedicated talk on measurements at ATLAS and CMS by <u>Massimo Corradi</u> after the coffee break



Dependency of the weak mixing angle



Miguel Ramos Pernas

Modelling the Z transverse momentum



Miguel Ramos Pernas