

# Particle reconstruction for dual-readout calorimeter using deep learning



YunJae Lee (University of Seoul) on behalf of the Korean Dual-readout Calorimeter Team

#### Introduction

- Dual-readout calorimeters utilize two readouts from scintillation and Cerenkov fibers to measure energy, yielding high hadronic energy resolution.
- It can reconstruct the energy, position and have intrinsic particle identification capabilities distinguishing between electromagnetic and hadronic shower.
- We explore deep learning algorithms to optimize particle reconstruction across the calorimeter and to extend the identification of particle types.



Schematic layout of the IDEA detector<sup>1)</sup>

#### Dual-readout calorimeter

- Ratio of hadronic component and EM component(*h/e*) is differed by material.
  - Scintillation fibers react to both EM and hadronic particle, Cerenkov fiber reacts to EM particle only.
  - Scintillation part  $(h/e)_S$  larger than Cerenkov part  $(h/e)_C$ .



#### Point cloud format

- Point cloud is efficient for sparse data with geometric information.
  - Position of reconstructed hit and its energy value became point component.
  - Timing(depth) information from waveform is used as additional channel.
- 5 channels( $\phi, heta,$  Timing, Scintillation and Cerenkov energy) inputs.
  - Maximum 1024 points are utilized for data efficiency.





• Model is trained to predict incident energy and direction of shower in addition to particle identification.







- EM shower fraction( $f_{em}$ ) is directly measured by scintillation and Cerenkov responses.
  - Intrinsic capability of particle identification using  $f_{em}$ =1 for EM shower,  $f_{em}$ <1 for hadron shower.
  - Hadronic energy can be measured with better resolution.





#### Simulation Setup

- Projective wedge geometry of dual-readout calorimeter.
  - Array of scintillation and Cerenkov fibers are implanted in copper towers.
  - SiPM readouts count optical photon at end of each fiber.
- GEANT4 for calorimeter and shower simulation.
  - Particle gun simulated at center of calorimeter.
  - $e^-$ , gamma,  $\pi^+$ ,  $\pi^0$  are generated with energy between 10-100 GeV.
  - Incident direction cover region of  $3.4^{\circ} \times 80^{\circ}$ ( $\Delta \phi$ : 0.06,  $\Delta \theta$ :1.4) on barrel and endcap.

Scintillation fiber Cerenkov fiber SiPM readout

•  $C = E[f_{em} + \frac{1}{(e/h)_c}(1 - f_{em})]$  •  $E = \frac{S - \chi C}{1 - \chi}$ 



Dual readout Calorimeter (cross-section)

- gamma and  $e^-$  showers are considered as same type.
- Model distinguishes different types of showers.
- Reconstructed  $\phi$ ,  $\theta$  directions of shower follow linear fit with incident direction.



Energy reconstruction



#### Performances by particles

- Error of  $\pi^+$  energy reconstruction increase at higher energy due to leakages.
- PID performances for  $e^-$ , gamma and  $\pi^0$  are drop at 90-100 GeV.
  - Opening angle of  $\pi^0$  decay is getting very narrow over 80 GeV.
- Particle reconstruction performances are compared at  $\Delta\theta$ :1.4(0.25 1.63)
- Energy reconstruction errors stay under 5 GeV at different θ.
- PID performances increase by  $\theta$  at endcap but decreased at barrel.



#### Summary

#### Deep learning model

- One of ML methods, which are based on neural networks
  - In each layer, weighted sum of inputs and bias are passed through subsequent layer.
  - Neural networks model can fit arbitrary dataset to necessary output.
- PointMamba<sup>3)</sup> model is applied for particle reconstruction
  - Based on selective state space model.
  - Point cloud processing model is proposed for 3d shape classification and segmentation.
  - Set abstraction of sampling and grouping extracts hierarchical features from point cloud.





## Deep learning implementation has been studied to extended particle identification.

- Dual-readout calorimeters need geometrically efficient data format which is point cloud of energy deposits.
- Point cloud based model have capability of particle identification and reconstruction.
- Particle identification performance don't decrease on multi-task learning.

#### References

- 1) Abada, A., Abbrescia, M., AbdusSalam, S.S. et al. (2019) Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. 228, 261–623
- 2) Lee, S., Livan, M. and Wigmans, R. (2018) Nuclear Instruments and Methods A 882, 148-157
- 3) Dingkang Liang, Xin Zhou et al., arXiv:2402.10739
- 4) Charles R. Qi et al. (2017) Advances in neural information processing systems 30

YunJae Lee Email : yunjae.lee@cern.ch Computational physics lab, University of Seoul

### CALOR 2024 Tsukuba