# MeVArc 2024

Tahoe City, USA

# Catarina Serafim

Study of different materials in high voltage breakdown tests in the DC system, before and after H- low voltage irradiation

Supervisors: Sergio Calatroni, CERN Flyura Djurabekova, University of Helsinki

6<sup>th</sup>, March, 2024







#### **Procedure**

#### 1<sup>st</sup> pair of electrodes



## 2<sup>nd</sup> pair of electrodes



## Irradiation setup – LINAC4 source test stand – Irradiation affects study





In this test stand, specific hardware was developed to use a cathode as the target for irradiation.



Schematic from Alessandra Lombardi

## Irradiation setup – LINAC4 source test stand – Irradiation affects study





Low Energy H- beam	45 keV
Duration	40 hours
Pulse duration	600 µs
Repetition Rate	0.83 Hz
Peak current	20 mA
Deposition of particles	1.2x 10^19
on the target	H⁻p/cm²

Schematic from Alessandra Lombardi

Pictures of each electrode from each material after irradiation testing.





#### SS316 - Irradiated Electrodes - SEM





## <u>CuBe2 electrodes</u> (non-irradiated, LES tested)



Catarina SERAFIM | MeVArc 2024

## Optical Microscope - CuBe2 electrodes (non-irradiated, LES tested)

## Maximum field: 110 MV/m Stable field: 90 MV/m

2mm

11





Catarina SERAFIM | MeVArc 2024

#### SEM CuBe2 electrodes (non-irradiated and LES tested)



## <u>CuBe2 cathode – irradiated</u>



*Dismounting of CuBe cathode after irradiation* 

Optical imaging of the surface using lens 80x.



# CuBe2 cathode irradiated after LES testing This electrodes have reached a maximum field of 45 MV/m.





## Conclusion of LES Tests for all Materials

	Non-ir	radiated	Irradiated			
	Max Field (MV/m)	<pre>&lt; Field Stable Field Max Field V/m) (MV/m) (MV/m)</pre>		Stable Field (MV/m)		
SS316LN	120	120	62.5	60		
CuBe2	110	100	40	16.7		
TiAl6V4	110	100	95	90		
CuCr1Zr	87.5	82.5	29	25		
Cu-OFE	80	80	80	80		
Nb (BCP)	94	80	42	21.7		
Та	60	60	38.1	24		

- ✓ Cu-OFE, TiAl6V4, CuBe2 and SS316 seem to be the best materials in reaching a stable field.
- ✓ We see very big differences in the fields reached between irradiated pairs and non irradiated pairs.
- ✓ Carbon deposition from irradiation seems to be directly correlated with breakdowns appearance.
- ✓ The blistering effect from irradiation doesn't seem to provoke a decrease of performance on the electrodes.

The following imagens are from the irradiated cathode, submitted to high pulsing test. Similar to previous irradiations, we have observed a carbon content inside the irradiated zone, around 10%. In the irradiated zone, exfoliation of this carbon layer was observed (white spots).







We have observed that the breakdowns are only concentrated inside the exfoliated zones.

The zones without those exfoliations, i.e. with a protective carbon layer, are free from breakdowns, even in the zones with high density of blisters.



Zone with a higher density of blisters. The blisters have no influence on the triggering of breakdowns.





Catarina SERAFIM | MeVArc 2024





## Chemical analysis

Electron Image 4





50µm

Spectrum 6			Spectrum 7			Spectrum 8			9	Spectrum 4			Spectrum 5		
Element	Line Type	Wt%	Element	Line Type	Wt%	Element	Line Type	Wt%		Element	Line Type	Wt%	Element	Line Type	Wt%
С	K series	1.25	С	K series	1.15	С	K series	8.86		С	K series	1.38	С	K series	11.04
Cu	L series	98.75	Cu	L series	98.85	Cu Ca	L series tarina SERA	91.14 FIM   MeVArc 202	4	Cu	L series	98.62	Cu	L series	88.96 27

#### The CuBe2 electrodes

CuBe2 non-irradiated achieved 90 MeV/m



CuBe2 irradiated (without plasma cleaning) achieved 45 MeV/m



CuBe2 irradiated (with plasma cleaning)



#### **Repeat LES testing after Plasma Treatment**



Is the carbon preventing the electrodes from reaching a high field?

Plan for Plasma cleaning treatment + Repeat LES testing





Data from a Cu sample previously analyzed after being exposed to irradiation (same parameters as the electrodes) As we approach de irradiation zone we see an increase of the thickness of the C with a factor of aprox.2 Catarina SERAFIM | MeVArc 2024 Cu layer aprox. 56 nm



## Plasma cleaning of H- irradiated CuBe cathode and reference Cu sample irradiated



#### viewport





#### **Plasma treatment parameters**

Plasma source: ibss alumina tube 300W prototype,  $\varphi = 0.147$  in p = 4E-3 mbar, pure oxygen (pressure)

 $P_{rf} = 50 W$  (pl.source rf power)

 $L = 610 \text{ mm} \pm 10 \text{ mm}$  (distance samples - plasma source);  $D_{ch} =$ 100 mm

a-C dth/dt in these conditions = 0.015 nm/s (removal rate) Plasma ion energy in these conditions : 35 eV (measured by RFEA)

Treatment duration = 1h 15 min (nominally 68 nm of a-C) na SERAFIM | MeVArc 2024

#### After 3 hours of treatment in total

Seems that the carbon layer on the surface has significant smaller thickness. From SEM we see this layer being more transparent.

Big discoloration between regions with and without carbon are not so evident as before. Decrease by factor of 2 of the percentage of Carbon.

Between 4 -5 % of C. Other regions around 1%



100 μm	EHT = 20.00 kV WD = 8.2 mm	Catarina Serafim Date: 18 Sep 2023	100 μm EH	IT = 20.00 kV D = 8.6 mm	Catarina Serafim Date: 18 Sep 2023	10 μm	EHT = 20.00 kV WD = 8.6 mm	Catarina Serafim Date: 18 Sep 2023
	Signal A = SE2 Sample ID = CuBe_plasmacl_trial2_	Mag = 200 X	Sigr	nal A = SE2 Sample ID = CuBe_plasmacl_trial2_	Mag = 200 X		Signal A = SE2 Sample ID = CuBe_plasmacl_trial2_	Mag = 1.00 K X





In total 6h hours of treatment was preformed. The quantity of C in the surface is now significantly lower. We have concluded that because of the roughness of the surface (due to breakdowns from the LES test), C seems to be very difficult to be removed in these regions (see next images). For the other hand, the regions without breakdowns seem to be cleaned (1-2% of C).





Imaging using Backscattered detector. Darker color means the detection of lighter elements (in our case C).





40 µm

WD = 8.8 mm

Sample ID = 3rdplasmacleaning\_cathode

Date: 27 Sep 2023 Mag = 372 X



Catarina SERAFIM | MeVArc 2024

## CuBe2 breakdown test

The followings plots are from the same set of electrodes:

- On the left before plasma treatment
- On the **right after plasma treatment**



#### After the plasma cleaning, we decided to re-test the electrodes in the LES system

CuBe2 non-irradiated achieved 90 MeV/m



CuBe2 irradiated (without plasma cleaning) achieved 45 MeV/m



CuBe2 irradiated achieved 82 MeV/m (with plasma cleaning)



- We have concluded that the plasma treatment was a success for Carbon removal from the surface. The electrode for the trial was CuBe2. This electrode has been exposed to irradiation and also has been tested with high pulsing voltage in a dedicated system (LES), which has triggered the breakdowns on the surface.
- The next step was to preform the treatment also in two different electrodes (Cu-OFE and Stainless Steal) right after the irradiation (without high voltage testes). We hope to eliminate completely the C residuals that we still see on CuBe after 6h hours of treatment. Catarina SERAFIM | MeVArc 2024 38



Carbon layer that waspartially exfoliated from the surface

Blisters caused by the irradiation with H- beam

Regions without carbon (whiteregions). C has beencompletely exfoliated

'Bubbles' of C – implementation of H between C layer and Cu surface

Catarina SERAFIM | MeVArc 2024











SEM Images (secondary electron detector) of Cu-OFE electrode after being exposed with H- beam



# **Cu-OFE electrodes after irradiation (not plasma treated)**



# <u>Cu-OFE electrodes after irradiation and plasma cleaning</u>



In general, some fragments of the C layer are still visible in the surface. Chemical analysis was preformed in different areas before and after plasma cleaning.

- Before plasma treatment we had 9-12% of C
- After plasma cleaning we have 1-3% of C

Cu-OFE and CuBe2 have shown good conditioning results after the treatment. Next Step will be to test SS316, which achieved 120 MV/m (non-irradiated).



#### Plasma treated electrodes 2023/2024



CuBe2



*Cu-OFE* Catarina SERAFIM | MeVArc 2024



SS316

Catarina Serafim

## Thank you