U.S. NAVAL LRESEARCH LABORATORY



PIC-Simulations of an Intense Electron Beam Plasma using EMPIRE

Nancy D. Isner¹, Stephen B. Swanekamp², Chris H. Moore³, Keith L. Cartwright³

¹CTI, HII-Mission Technologies, Alexandria, VA 22315 ²Plasma Physics Division, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC, USA ³Sandia National Laboratory, Albuquerque, NM 87123 USA

Distribution Statement A: Approved for public release: distribution unlimited

Work supported by Sandia National Laboratories (SNL), a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia under the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.



MeVArc 2024, Tahoe City, CA

Model of the Febetron experiment and how we generate and intense electron beam



- Pulsed power generator creates an electron beam with conditions at 100 ns, 80 kV, 4 kA
- The electron beam is injected into a low-pressure gas cell
- Beam electrons enter the cell and impact and ionize the gas





Experimental shots at 1 Torr show the beam current and energy profiles



- Examples of estimated beam current and beam energies from a series of "high current" shots
- The shaded regions are the spread in beam energy from calculated from ITS.
- By varying these shots over pressure we can study the peak electron density





Electron beam modeling dynamics are chosen by what is seen in the physical model

 Choosing a model and the chemistry for a beam-plasma system will depend on the gas pressure and the electron beam current density and one can chose either

а

- Weakly Ionized (molecular interactions) or Advanced Chemistry (excited interactions)
- Fluid or Kinetic Modeling
- The RBM [1] is fluid treatment of the governing equations and uses the weakly ionized chemistry
- The kinetic description is being developed with EMPIRE [2]

[1] A. S. Richardson et al., "Modeling intense-electron-beam generated plasmas using a rigid-beam approximation," Phys. Plasmas, vol. 28, no. 9, p. 093508, 2021, doi: 10.1063/5.0058006.
[2] M.T. Bettencourt et al., "EMPIRE-PIC: A Performance Portable Unstructured Particle-in-Cell Code", https://www.osti.gov/pages/biblio/1822232







Red is the anode, Blue is the cathode, Orange are the conducting surfaces



Start by modeling the Vacuum Diode

- Empire simulates the vacuum region of space
- Here our cubit model has the anode in red and the cathode in blue and the grey region is the simulation region.
- The faint blue lines that you can see are where we have place our diagnostics for current and voltage.
- The voltage pulse was with a rise time of $\tau = 100$ ns and $V_0 = 100$ kV

$$-V = V_0 \sin\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{2\tau}\right)t\right)^2$$





History traces of the voltage, current and electrons of the vacuum diode



- Here we have the voltage and current traces over time which show the vacuum diode reaching 100 kV and 4 kA.
- At the diode region there is some voltage lost due to inductance



Comparison of time stepping methods for the Electric Field in the Febetron Diode which showed more numerical smoothing was needed



Time Integration: Friedman



Time Integration: Backward Euler



Fri Mar 1 09:43:55 2024

Inlet

 $B \cdot dl$

(Inlet)

Beam Inlet

- Grey and red surface is the vacuum region.
- Treating outer grey surfaces as perfectly electrical conductors
- For Empire we use beam type emission and set the region of input to be through the Beam Inlet
- The initial energy is 100 keV and the total current is 4 A, we note that this is different from the experiment but this is because we are testing at vacuum.

Back plate

 $B \cdot dl$

(Net)

Center

Beam Region









Result of Electric Field and Current Enclosed for Febetron gas cell at vacuum





user: ndisner Tue May 16 12:11:14 user: ndisner Tue May 16 11:50:04 2023

0.10

0.12



Added in 24 reactions for a weakly-ionized nitrogen model

 $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(X_1) + 0.0 \ eV \ (momentum \ transfer) \ 15. \ e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(A_3(v_{10+})) + 7.8 \ eV \ (electronic)$ 1. 2. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(rot) + 0.02 \ eV \ (rotational)$ 16. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(B'_3) + 8.16 eV$ (electronic) 3. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(v_1) + 0.29 eV$ (vibrational) 17. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(a'_1) + 8.4 \ eV \ (electronic)$ 4. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(v_2) + 0.59 eV$ (vibrational) 18. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(a_1) + 8.55 \ eV \ (electronic)$ 5. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(v_3) + 0.88 \ eV$ (vibrational) 19. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(w_1) + 8.89 eV$ (electronic) 20. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow \begin{cases} e + N_2(C_3) + 11.03 \ eV \ (electronic) \\ e + 2N + 11.03 \ eV \ (dissociation) \end{cases}$ $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(v_4) + 1.17 eV$ (vibrational) 6. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(v_5) + 1.47 \ eV \ (vibrational)$ 7. 8. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(v_6) + 1.76 eV$ (vibrational) 21. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow \begin{cases} e + N_2(E_3) + 11.87 \ eV \ (electronic) \\ e + 2N + 11.87 \ eV \ (dissociation) \end{cases}$ 9. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(v_7) + 2.06 eV$ (vibrational) 10. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(v_8) + 2.35 \ eV$ (vibrational) 22. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow \begin{cases} e + N_2(a_1'') + 12.25 \ eV \ (electronic) \\ e + 2N + 12.25 \ eV \ (dissociation) \end{cases}$ 11. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(A_3(v_{0-4})) + 6.17 eV (electronic)$ 12. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(A_3(v_{5-9})) + 7.0 \ eV \ (electronic)$ 23. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + 2N + 13.0 \ eV$ (dissociation) 13. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(B_3) + 7.35 \ eV$ (electronic) 24. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow 2e + N_2^+ + 15.6 eV$ (ionization) 14. $e + N_2(X_1) \rightarrow e + N_2(W_3) + 7.36 \, eV \, (electronic)$

Result of Electric Field and Current Enclosed for Febetron gas cell at 0.1 torr





Result of Electric Field and Current Enclosed for Febetron gas cell at 1 torr













- The Rigid Beam model with weakly-ionized chemistry set agreed well in a 1-10 Torr pressure range but a more model is needed for lower pressure cases.
- A kinetic model for the Febetron experiment is being developed using EMPIRE, showing some ongoing results
- The model has proven successful and fast for testing and modeling key parameters in electron beam plasmas.
- Adding in a simple chemistry for molecular nitrogen showed current runoff in a couple pressure cases and we are still working to resolve this numerically



- Resolve the instabilities of the background gas to see the beam evolve over time and space
- Use the outputs from the vacuum diode as an input to the gas cell
- Add in material dependencies for electron scattering through a thin foil



Thank you, Questions?









